arXiv:1605.04822v1 [math.AP] 16 May 2016

Mixing solutions for the Muskat problem

A. Castro, D. Córdoba & D. Faraco

May 17, 2016

Abstract

We prove the existence of mixing solutions of the incompressible porous media equation for all Muskat type H^5 initial data in the fully unstable regime.

Contents

1 Introduction and the main theorem . . . 1
2 The concepts of mixing solution and subsolution . . . 6
3 H-principle: Subsolutions yield weak solutions . . . 8
4 Constructing a subsolution for the IPM system . . . 11
4.1 Constructing a subsolution. Part 1 . . . 11
4.1.1 The equations in (s, λ)-coordinates and the choices of ρ and $\mathbf{m}$ . . . 12
4.1.2 The velocity $\mathbf{u}$ . . . 14
4.2 Constructing a subsolution. Part 2. . . . 18
4.2.1 First manipulations of the equation and of the mean velocity $\mathcal{M}u_2$ . . . 20
4.2.2 A priori energy estimates . . . 22
4.2.3 The regularized system and local existence . . . 26
5 Commutator estimates . . . 28
5.1 The commutator $\mathfrak{c}_1 f$ . . . 29
5.2 The commutator $\mathfrak{c}_2 f$ . . . 39
6 Coercivity of $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ for small t . . . 42
7 Mixing solutions in the stable regime . . . 50
A The change of variables . . . 50
B The Kernel $K_\varepsilon(x, y)$ . . . 51
C Proof of lemma 4.7 . . . 53
D Proof of lemma 4.8 . . . 68
E Fourier transform of $K_A(x)$ . . . 75
F Estimate on the symbol $\tilde{m}$, on the K-kernels and on the coefficient $a(x, t)$ . . . 77
F.1 Estimates on the symbol $\tilde{m}$ . . . 77
F.2 Estimates on the K-Kernels . . . 82
F.3 Estimates on the coefficient $a(x, t)$. . . . 85

1 Introduction and the main theorem

The dynamics of an incompressible fluid in an homogeneous and isotropic porous media is modeled by the following system

$$\partial_t \rho + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{1.1}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{1.2}$$

$$\frac{\nu}{\kappa}\mathbf{u} = -\nabla p - \rho \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.3}$$

where ρ is the density, $\mathbf{u}$ is the incompressible velocity field, p is the pressure, ν is the viscosity, κ is the permeability of the media and $\mathbf{g}$ is the gravity. The first equation represents the mass conservation law, equation (1.2) the incompressibility of the fluid and equation (1.3) is Darcy's law, which relates the velocity of the fluid with the forces acting on it. In this paper we will consider $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$. As usual, we will refer to the system (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) as the IPM system.

The Muskat problem deals with two incompressible and immiscible fluids in a porous media with different constant densities ρ^+ and ρ^- and different constant viscosities. In this work we will focus on the case in which both fluids have the same viscosity. Then one can obtain the following system of equations from IPM

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega^{\pm}(t) \tag{1.4}$$

$$\nabla^{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega^{\pm}(t) \tag{1.5}$$

$$\frac{\nu}{\kappa}(\mathbf{u}^+ - \mathbf{u}^-) \cdot \mathbf{t} = -g(\rho^+ - \rho^-)(0,1) \cdot \mathbf{t} \quad \text{on } \Gamma(t) \tag{1.6}$$

$$(\mathbf{u}^+ - \mathbf{u}^-) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Gamma(t) \tag{1.7}$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{x}, t), t) \quad \text{in } \Omega^+(0) \tag{1.8}$$

$$\Omega^+(t) = \mathbf{X}(\Omega^+(0), t) \tag{1.9}$$

where $\mathbf{u}^{\pm}$ is the restriction of the velocity to the interface, $\Gamma(t) = \partial\Omega^+(t) \cap \partial\Omega^-(t)$, between both fluids , $\mathbf{n}$ is the normal unit vector to $\Gamma(t)$ pointing out of Ω^+, $\mathbf{t}$ is a unit tangential vector to $\Gamma(t)$, $\Omega^{\pm}$ is the domain occupied by the fluid with density $\rho^{\pm}$ and therefore $\Omega^- = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega^+}$. Without any lost of generality we will take from now on $g = \nu = \kappa = 1$.

The same system of equations governs an interface separating two fluids trapped between two closely spaced parallel vertical plates (a "Helle Shaw cell"). See [27].

We also assume that $\Omega^+(0)$ is open and simple connected, that there exist a constant C such that $\{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 < C\} \subset \Omega^+(0)$ (the fluid with density ρ^+ is below) and that the interface $\Gamma(0)$ is asymptotically flat at infinity with $\lim_{x_1 \to -\infty} x_2 = \lim_{x_1 \to \infty} x_2 = 0$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma(0)$. This type of initial data will be called *of Muskat type*.

In this situation one can find an equation for the interface between the two fluids. Indeed, if we take the parametrization

$$\Gamma(t) = \{\mathbf{z}(s,t) = (z_1(s,t),\, z_2(s,t)) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$$

the curve $\mathbf{z}(s,t)$ must satisfy (see [3] and [13])

$$\partial_t \mathbf{z}(s,t) = \frac{\rho^+ - \rho^-}{2\pi} P.V. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{z_1(s,t) - z_1(s',t)}{|\mathbf{z}(s,t) - \mathbf{z}(s',t)|^2} (\partial_s \mathbf{z}(s,t) - \partial_s \mathbf{z}(s',t)) ds', \tag{1.10}$$

where *P.V.* denotes the principal value integral. In addition, by solving these equations yields a solution to the Muskat problem. At the same time the solutions of the Muskat problem are weak solutions of the IPM system.

The behaviour of the equation (1.10) strongly depend on the order of the densities ρ^+ and ρ^-. The problem is locally well possed in Sobolev spaces, H^3 (see [13]), if the interface is a graph and $\rho^+ > \rho^-$, i.e. stable regime (see also [10] and [14] for improvements of the regularity). Otherwise, we are in the unstable regime and the problem is ill-posed in H^4. This is a consequence of the instant analyticity proved in [3] in the stable case (see also [13] for ill-possedness in H^3 for an small initial data).

This behaviour is easy to believe since $\mathbf{F}(s,t) = \partial_s^{4)}\mathbf{z}(s,t)$ satisfies that

$$\partial_t \mathbf{F} = -\sigma(s,t)\Lambda\mathbf{F} + a(s,t)\partial_s\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{R}(s,t).$$

where $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $a(s,t)$ and $\mathbf{R}$ are lower order terms and the Rayleigh-Taylor function $\sigma(s,t)$ reads

$$\sigma(s,t) = (\rho^+ - \rho^-)\frac{\partial_s z_1(s,t)}{|\partial_s \mathbf{z}(s,t)|^2}.$$

A quick analogy with the heat equation indicates that for $\sigma(s,t)$ positive everywhere the problem is well-possed (we are in the stable case). If $\sigma(s,t)$ is negative the equation resembles a backwards heat equation in this region and therefore instabilities arise.

However, in the present paper, we show that there exists weak solutions to the IPM system starting with an initial data of Muskat type in the fully unstable regime, i.e., $\rho^+ < \rho^-$ and $\partial_s z_1(s,0) > 0$ everywhere. The initial interface will have Sobobev regularity and in addition these solutions will have the following structure: there will exist domains $\Omega^{\pm}(t)$ where the density will be equal to $\rho^{\pm}$ and a mixing domain $\Omega_{mix}(t)$ such that for every space-time ball contained in the mixing area the density will take both values ρ^+ and ρ^-. We will call these solutions mixing solutions (see the forthcoming definition 2.2). In figures 1 and 2 we present the main features of this kind of solutions.



Figure 1: A Muskat type initial data in fully unstable regime.

Theorem 1.1 *Let* $\Gamma(0) = \{\boldsymbol{z}^0(s) = (z_1^0(s), z_2^0(s)) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ *with* $\boldsymbol{z}^0(s) - (s,0) \in H^5$. *We will assume that* $\Gamma(0)$ *is run from left to right and that* $\frac{\partial_s z_1^0(s)}{|\partial_s \boldsymbol{z}^0(s)|} > 0$. *Let us suppose that* $\rho^+ < \rho^-$. *Then there exist infinitely many "mixing solutions" starting with the inital data of Muskat type given by* $\Gamma(0)$ *(in the fully unstable regime) for the IPM system.*

Remark 1.2 *We would like to emphasize that the initial interface has Sobolev regularity, thus the Muskat problem is ill-posed in the Hadamard sense (see for example [13]). Therefore the creation of a mixing zone provides a mechanism to solve the IPM system in a situation where solutions of Muskat are not known.*



Figure 2: A mixing solution a time $t > 0$ starting in the configuration of fig. 1

.

Remark 1.3 *Notice that these "mixing solutions" do not change the values that the density initially takes and that in any space-time ball $B \subset \Omega_{mix}(t) \times (0,T)$, ρ takes both values, i.e there is total mixing.*

The method of the proof is based on the adaptation of the method of convex integration for the incompressible Euler equation in the Tartar framework developed recently by DeLellis and Székelyhidi (see [2], [8], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [32] and [31] for the incompressible Euler and for another equations [4], [5], [6], [1] and [29]).

Very briefly, the version of convex integration used initially by De Lellis and Székelyhidi understands a nonlinear PDE, $F(\rho, u) = 0$ as a combination of a linear system $L(\rho, u, q) = 0$ and a pointwise constraint $(\rho, u, q) \in K$ where K is a convenient set of states and q is an artificial new variable. Then L gives rises to a wave cone Λ and the geometry of the Λ hull of K, K^{Λ}, rules whether the convex integration method will yield solutions. An h-principle holds in this context: if for a given initial data there exists an evolution which belongs to K^{Λ}, called a subsolution, then one find infinitely many weak solutions.

For the case of the IPM system, in [12], the authors initiated this analysis and used version of the convex integration method which avoids the computation of Λ hulls based on T4 configurations, key in other applications of convex integration, e.g to the (lack of) regularity of elliptic systems [25, 24, 23]. Keeping the discussion inprecise , their criteria amounts to say that $(0,0)$ must be in the convex hull of $\Lambda \cap K$ in a stable way. Shvydkoy extended this approach to a general family of active scalars, where the velocity is an even singular integral operator, in [28]. Recently, in [22], Isett and Vicol using more subtle versions of convex integration show the existence of weak solution for IPM with $C^{\alpha}-$regularity. All of these solutions, increase the modulus of the density. We remark that the solutions in theorem 1.1 do not change the values of the density.

Székelyhidi refined the result of [12] in [30] computing explicitly the Λ-hull for the case of IPM. Notice that this increase the number of subsolutions (and thus the solutions available). In fact,

Székelyhidi showed that for the case of a flat interface in the unstable regime there exist a subsolution and thus proved theorem 1.1 in this case.

The main contribution of this work is a new way to construct such subsolutions, inspired by previous studies in contour dynamics, which we believe of interest in related problems. Let us describe it briefly. The mixing zone (that is where the subsolution is not a solution) will be a neighborhood of size $2\epsilon(t)$ of a suitable curve $\mathbf{z}(s,t)$ evolving in time according to a suitable evolution equation. Namely, if $\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda) = \mathbf{z}(s) + (0,\lambda)$ we will declare the mixing zone Ω_{mix} to be

$$\Omega_{mix} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,:\, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(s,\lambda) \quad \text{for} \quad (s,\lambda) \in (-\infty,\infty) \times (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\}.$$

and inside the mixing zone, the density of the subsolution will be simply $\rho = \frac{\lambda}{\epsilon}$. Let us observe, that at the boundary of the mixing zone, the subsolution must become a solution ($|\rho| = 1$). In order to construct a subsolution we will need to impose the following equations for $\mathbf{z}(s,t)$ and $\varepsilon(t)$,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{z}(s,t) = \mathcal{M}\mathbf{u}(s) \qquad \mathbf{z}(s,0) = \mathbf{z}^0(s) \tag{1.11}$$

$$\partial_t \varepsilon(t) = c \qquad \varepsilon(0) = 0, \tag{1.12}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}\mathbf{u}(s) = -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\partial_s \mathbf{z}(s) - \partial_s \mathbf{z}(s'))\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{z_1(s) - z_1(s')}{|\mathbf{z}(s) - \mathbf{z}(s') + (\lambda - \lambda')(0,1)|^2} d\lambda' ds' d\lambda.$$

Here $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{u}$ can be understood as a suitable double average of the velocity in the Muskat case. It turns out that it is possible but rather difficult to obtain uniform estimates for the operator $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{u}$ in order to obtain existence for this system. The situation reminds that of the Muskat problem but it is different as, on one hand, the kernel is not so singular but, on the other hand, we need to obtain estimates which are independent of ϵ since for $\varepsilon = 0$ the problem is ill-posed.

In fact, after regularizing the equation in various ways, the needed a priori estimate bold down to understanding the evolution of the following equation for $F(x,t) = \partial_x^5 f(x,t)$

$$\partial_t F(x,t) = \int K_{\partial_x f(x,t)}(x-y)\partial_x F(y,t)dy + a(x,t)\partial_x F(y,t)dy + G(x,t) \tag{1.13}$$

for a suitable kernel $K_A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R},\ A \in \mathbb{R}$, where $G(x,t)$ and $a(x,t)$ are low order functions.

If we freeze coefficients, taking x constant, the relevant multiplier is

$$m(\xi,t) = e^{-\int_0^{t\xi} \hat{K}_A(\tau)d\tau}.$$

It turns out that independently of A, $m(\xi,t)$ is comparable with the Fourier multiplier $\frac{1}{1+t|\xi|}$. Thus denoting $\widehat{\mathcal{D}^{-1}f} = \frac{1}{1+t|\xi|}\hat{f}(\xi)$ we can only aim to control

$$\left\|\mathcal{D}^{-1}f\right\|_{L^2}.$$

The main goal in the proof of theorem 1.1 is to bound the above quantity also in the case of (1.13). In section 4 we show how the theory of pseudo-differential operators reduced the problem to estimate two commutators $\mathfrak{c}_1 f$ for the K_A term and $\mathfrak{c}_2 f$ for the transport term. To estimate these commutators is in fact the most technical part of the paper (together with section 6) and we deal with them by brute force in combination with pseudo-differential operator theory in section 5. In the end we get an estimate of the type

$$\partial_t \|\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} D^{-1} F\|_{L^2} \leq C\left(\|D^{-1}F\|_{L^2}\right)$$

for some pseudo-differential operator $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Furthermore, in order to conclude the proof, we need also to show (see section 6) that

$$\|\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} D^{-1} F\|_{L^2} \geq C \|D^{-1} F\|_{L^2}.$$

Rather pleasantly it turns out that once $\mathbf{z}$ and ϵ are shown to exist we can find the corresponding density ρ and velocity $\mathbf{u}$ and show that they belong to the suitable Λ hull for small time.

Once a subsolution is created then, by now standard machinery, convex integration applies to create a wek solution though an additional observation is needed to obtain the mixing property (see section 3).

The method of the proof seems robust to prove existence of weak solutions in a number of free boundary problems in an unstable regime. As it was remarked by Otto and Székelyhidi ([26] and [30]) the underlying subsolution seems to capture the relevant properties of the solution as it is the growing rate of the mixing zone or the volume proportion of the mixing. It seem to us that the creation of a mixing zone in the lines of this work, might end up in to a canonical way of turning ill-posed problems into well posed ones, at the price of loosing uniqueness at least at the microscopic level (this line of thought has been already expressed in [26] and [30]). At the end of the paper we add a remark showing that surprisingly the mixing solutions are also present in the stable regime in the case of straight interfaces except in the horizontal case.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we introduce the rigorous definition of mixing solutions and subsolution. In section 3 we explain how the convex integration theory allow us to obtain a mixing solution from a subsolution. Section 4 is divided in two parts. In the first part, section 4.1, we construct a subsolution for the IPM system assuming the existence of solution for the system (1.11), (1.12). In the second part, section 4.2, we will show the existence of solutions for the system (1.11), (1.12). This proof will need some estimates that we will prove in the following sections. In section 5 we deal with the two main commutators that arise in the equation (1.11). In section 6 we show the coercivity of a pseudo-differential operator that arises in section 4.2. In section 7 we show how to construct mixing solutions in the stable regime. Finally in the appendix we prove some lemmas that will be use along the paper including, estimations of the low order term and estimations on the kernel of the main velocity $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{u}$.

2 The concepts of mixing solution and subsolution

Following [30] we rigorously defined the concept of "mixing solution" in the statement of theorem 1.1. We would like our solutions to mix in every ball of the domain and thus we incorporate this into the definition. Firstly, since we are working in unbounded domains, we give a definition of weak solution in which we prescribed the behaviour of the density at ∞. In the following $\mathcal{R}_i$, with $i = 1, 2$ are the Riesz-transform and $\mathcal{BS}$ is the Biot-Savart convolution. Recall that for a smooth function f these operators admit the kernel representations,

$$\mathcal{R}_i f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x_i - y_i}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^3} f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}, \qquad \mathcal{BS} f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})^\perp}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^2} f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Definition 2.1 *Let $T > 0$ and $\rho_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The density $\rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T])$ and the velocity $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T])$ are a weak solution of the IPM system with initial data ρ_0 and if and only if the weak equation*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho \left(\partial_t \varphi + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, 0) \rho_0(\boldsymbol{x}) dx$$

holds for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^2)$*, and*

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathcal{BS}(-\partial_{x_1}\rho). \tag{2.1}$$

Notice that we have interpreted the incompressibility of the velocity field and Darcy's law with (2.1). In fact, for $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} =& 0, \\ \nabla^\perp\cdot\mathbf{u} =& -\partial_{x_1}\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

together with the condition that $\mathbf{u}$ vanishes at infinity (the boundary condition) are equivalent to

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{BS}(-\partial_{x_1}\rho) = \left(\mathcal{R}_2\mathcal{R}_1\rho, -\mathcal{R}_1\mathcal{R}_1\rho\right).$$

Thus, they are consistent with definition 2.1. Definition 2.1 extends the concept of solution of the system (2.2) plus vanishing boundary condition for densities which do not necessarily vanish at infinity. Notice that incompressibility and Darcy's law are automatically satisfied by our solution in the weak sense. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\varphi d\mathbf{x} =& 0 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla^\perp\varphi d\mathbf{x} =& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho\partial_{x_1}\varphi d\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Definition 2.2 *The density* $\rho(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ *and the velocity* $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ *are a "mixing solution" of the IPM system if they are a weak solution and also there exist, for every* $t\in[0,T]$*, open simply connected domains* $\Omega^\pm(t)$ *and* $\Omega_{mix}(t)$ *with* $\overline{\Omega^+}\cup\overline{\Omega^-}\cup\Omega_{mix} = \mathbb{R}^2$ *such that, for almost every* $(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^2\times[0,T]$*, the following holds:*

$$\rho(x,t) = \begin{cases} \rho^\pm & \text{in } \Omega^\pm(t) \\ (\rho-\rho^+)(\rho-\rho^-) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{mix}(t) \end{cases}.$$

For every $r>0, x\in\mathbb{R}^2, 0<t<T$ $B((x,t),r)\subset\cup_{0<t<T}\Omega_{mix}(t)$ *it holds that*

$$\int_B(\rho-\rho^+)\int_B(\rho-\rho^-)\neq 0$$

For sake of simplicity and without any lost of generality we will fix the values of the density to be

$$\rho^\pm = \mp 1. \tag{2.3}$$

The concept of subsolution is rooted in the Tartar framework understanding a non linear PDE as a linear PDE plus a non linear constraint. In our context the linear constraint is given by

$$K = \{(\rho,\mathbf{u},\mathbf{m})\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2 : \mathbf{m} = \rho\mathbf{u}, |\rho| = 1\}$$

As observed by Székelyhidi the set K contains unbounded velocities which is slightly pleasant. Thus for a given $M>1$ we define

$$K_M = \{(\rho,\mathbf{u},\mathbf{m})\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2 : \mathbf{m} = \rho\mathbf{u}, |\rho| = 1, |\mathbf{u}|\leq M\}$$

Subsolutions raised a relaxation of the nonlinear constraint. In the framework of the IPM system the relaxation is given by the mixing hull, the Λ lamination hull for the associated wave cone Λ (see [12, 30] for a description of Λ). In [30], the author computed the laminations hulls of K and K_M.We take them as definitions.

Definition 2.3 *We defined the mixing hulls for IPM by*

$$K^{\Lambda}=\left\{(\rho,\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{m})\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2\,:\,\left|\boldsymbol{m}-\rho\boldsymbol{u}+\frac{1}{2}\left(0,1-\rho^2\right)\right|<\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\rho^2\right)\right)\right\} \tag{2.4}$$

For a given $M>1$, the M-mixing hull K^{Λ}_M are the elements in K^{Λ} which additionally satisfy that

$$|2\boldsymbol{u}+(0,\rho)|^2<M^2-(1-\rho^2), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\left|\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{u}-\frac{1}{2}(0,1-\rho)\right|<\frac{M}{2}(1-\rho), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\left|\boldsymbol{m}+\boldsymbol{u}+\frac{1}{2}(0,1+\rho)\right|<\frac{M}{2}(1+\rho). \tag{2.7}$$

Remark 2.4 *Let us clarify the differences between our notation and the notation in [30]. We are using same notation that [30] in section 4, but with v there replace by $\boldsymbol{u}$ here. The concept of M-subsolution arises in section 2, proposition 2.5 in [30]. To translate this proposition to our language one have to replace u there by $2\boldsymbol{u}+(0,\rho)$ and m there by $\boldsymbol{m}+\frac{1}{2}(0,1)$ (notice that in [30] m, in section 2, pass to $m+\frac{1}{2}(0,1)$ in section 4).*

Definition 2.5 *Let $M>1$ and $T>0$. We will say that $(\rho,\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{m})\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times[0,T])\times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times[0,T])\times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times[0,T])$, is a M-subsolution of the IPM system if there exist open simply connected domains $\Omega^{\pm}(t)$ and $\Omega_{mix}(t)$ with $\overline{\Omega^+}\cup\overline{\Omega^-}\cup\Omega_{mix}=\mathbb{R}^2$ and such that the following holds:*

(No mixing) The density satisfies

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{x},t)=\mp 1\quad \text{in } \Omega^{\pm}(t).$$

(linear constraint) In $\mathbb{R}^2\times[0,T]$ $(\rho,\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{m})$ satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\rho+\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{m}=&0,\\ \rho(x,0)=&\rho_0,\\ \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x})=&\mathcal{BS}(-\partial_{x_1}\rho)\equiv\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})^{\perp}}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|^2}(-\partial_{y_1}\rho(\boldsymbol{y}))d\boldsymbol{y},\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

in a weak sense.

(Relaxation) $(\rho,\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{m})\in K^{\Lambda}_M$ in $\Omega_{mix}(t)\times(0,T)$ and $(\rho,\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{m})\in\overline{K}^{\Lambda}_M$ in $\mathbb{R}^2\times(0,T)$.

(Continuity) $(\rho,\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{m})$ is continuous in $\Omega_{mix}(t)\times(0,T)$.

Remark 2.6 *Along the text we will typically speak about subsolution (rather than M-subsolution) and we only make explicit the constant M when it is needed.*

3 H-principle: Subsolutions yield weak solutions

In this section we follow [30] to find that to prove theorem (1.1) is enough to show the existence of a M-subsolution, for some $M>1$, $(\overline{\rho},\overline{\mathbf{u}},\overline{\mathbf{m}})$. Since $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)\subset L^2(d\mu)$ with $d\mu=\frac{dx}{(1+|x|)^3}$,we will work with $L^2(d\tilde{\mu})$, where $d\tilde{\mu}=d\mu dt$ as the auxiliar space.

Associated to a M-subsolution $(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}}, \overline{\mathbf{m}})$ in $[0,T]$, we define a set X_0.

$$X_0 = \big\{(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m}) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,T] \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,T]) \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,T]) \quad : \\ (\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m}) = (\overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}}, \overline{\mathbf{m}}) \quad \text{a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{mix}, \\ \text{and } (\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m}) \text{ is a subsolution}\big\}.$$

This set is not empty since $(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}}, \overline{\mathbf{m}}) \in X_0$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{m}})$ be a M-subsolution. Then the space X_0 is bounded in $L^2(d\tilde{\mu})$.*

Proof: Let $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m}) \in X_0$. Then $||\rho||_{L^\infty} \leq 1$ and $||u||_{L^\infty} \leq C(M)$, so that for a fixed time $||\rho||_{L^2(d\mu)}$, $||u||_{L^2(d\mu)} \leq C(M)$. Similarly

$$||\mathbf{m}||_{L^2(d\mu)} \leq \left|\left|\mathbf{m} - \rho\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2}\left(0, 1-\rho^2\right)\right|\right|_{L^2(d\mu)} + \left|\left|\rho\mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2}\left(0, 1-\rho^2\right)\right|\right|_{L^2(d\mu)}.$$

Thus $||\mathbf{m}||_{L^2(d\mu)}$ is bounded thanks to (2.4) and to $||\rho||_{L^2(d\mu)}$, $||\mathbf{u}||_{L^2(d\mu)} \leq C(M)$. The claim follows by integrating respect to time in $[0,T]$.

□

Since X_0 is bounded in $L^2(d\tilde{\mu})$ and the weak topology of this space is metrizable, we can consider the space X given by closure of X_0 under this metric.

We will prove the following theorem

Theorem 3.2 *If X_0 is not empty the set of mixing solutions of IPM with ρ_0 as initial data is residual in X.*

The general framework of convex integration applies easily to our setting. For the sake of simplicity we will follow the Appendix from [30] with an slight modification. We consider the unbounded domain $\mathbb{R}^3$ ($\mathbb{R}^2$ in space and $\mathbb{R}$ in time), $z : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^5$ and a bounded set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} A_i \partial_i z = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$z \in K. \tag{3.2}$$

Assumptions:

H1 The wave cone. There exists a closed cone $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ such that for every $\overline{z} \in \Lambda$ and for every ball $B \in \mathbb{R}^3$ there exists a sequence $z_j \in C_c^\infty(B, \mathbb{R}^5)$ such that

- i) $\text{dist}(z_j, [-\overline{z}, \overline{z}]) \to 0$ uniformly,
- ii) $z_j \to 0$ weakly 0 in $L^2(d\tilde{\mu})$ weakly,
- iii) $\int |z_j|^2 d\tilde{\mu} \geq \frac{1}{2}|\overline{z}|^2$.

H2 The Λ convex hull. There exist an open set U with $U \cap K = \emptyset$ and a continuous convex and increasing nonnegative function ϕ with $\phi(0) = 0$ that for every $z \in U$ $z + t\overline{z} \in U$ for $|t| \leq \phi(dist(z, K))$

H3 Subsolutions. There exists a set $X_0 \subset L^2(d\tilde{\mu})$ that is a bounded subset of $L^2(d\tilde{\mu})$ which is perturbable in a fixed subdomain $\mathcal{U} \subset \Omega$ such that any $z \in X_0$ that satisfies $z(y) \in U$ and if $w_j \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R}^5)$ is the approximating sequence from $[H1]$ and $z + w_j \in U$ then $z + w_j \in X_0$.

In the case of the IPM equation with the constraints $|\rho| = 1, |u| \leq M$ both Λ, K_M and K_M^Λ has been extensively studied in [12, 30]. We take $\mathcal{U} = \Omega_{mix}(t) \times (0, T)$. The property [H2] for K_M^Λ was proved in [30, Proposition 3.3]. For the property [H1] we use the sequence z_j as constructed for example in [12, Lema 3.3]. Our Property [H1i)] is stated in the first property stated in that lemma. For property [H1ii)] notice that we know from [12, Lema 3.3] that $z_j \to 0$ weakly star topology of L^∞. However, z_j is uniformly bounded in L^∞ and compactly supported and thus uniformly bounded in $L^2(d\tilde{\mu})$. Thus the weak star convergence implies also weak star convergence in $L^2(d\tilde{\mu})$. Our property [H1iii)] requires some work as μ does not scale uniformly. However as proved for example in [12, Lema 3.3], in addition to the properties listed in [30, H1] it holds that for a Λ segment $\overline{z}$ the approximating sequence satisfies also that,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} |(x,t) \in B : |z_j(x,t)| \neq \pm\overline{z}| = 0$$

and by absolute continuity it holds that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \mu\{(x,t) \in B : |z_j(x,t)| \neq \pm\overline{z}\} = 0$$

Thus by choosing j large enough *iii*) also holds.

We skip the proof of the following lemma as it is identical to [30, Lemma 5.2]

Lemma 3.3 *Let $z \in X_0$ with $\int_{\Omega_{mix}(t)\times[0,T]} F(z((x,t)))d\tilde{\mu} \geq \epsilon > 0$. For all $\eta > 0$ there exists $\tilde{z} \in X_0$ with $d_X(z, \tilde{z}) \leq \eta$ and*

$$\int_{\Omega_{mix}(t)\times[0,T]} |z - \tilde{z}|^2 d\tilde{\mu} \geq \delta.$$

Here $\delta = \delta(\epsilon)$.

Proof of theorem 3.2.

Firstly, as in the proof of [30, theorem 5.1] lemma 3.3 implies that the set of bounded solutions to IPM is residual in X. The proof works in the same way since due to the fact that $\mu(\mathbb{R}^2) < \infty$, convolutions with a standard mollification kernel are continuous from $L^2(d\tilde{\mu}, w)$ to $L^2(d\tilde{\mu})$ and thus the Identity is a Baire one map, with a residual set of points of continuity. That is the set of $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m}) \in X$ which belong to K_M a.e. $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,T]$ is residual in X. This is precisely the set of weak solutions to IPM with the Muskat initial data.

It remains to show the mixing property:

Choose $B((x,t), r) \subset \cup_{0<t<T}\Omega(t)$. Declare

$$X_{B,\pm 1} = \{(\rho, u, m) \in X : \int_B (\pm 1 - \rho) = 0\}.$$

Then $X_{B,\pm 1} \subset X$ is closed by the definition of weak convergence and since $X_{B,\pm 1} \cap X_0 = \emptyset$ (for states in X_0, $|\rho| < 1$) and $X_{B,\pm 1} \subset \overline{X_0}$. Thus, $X_{B,\pm 1}$ has empty interior. Therefore $X \setminus X_{B,\pm 1}$ is residual. Since intersection of residual sets is residual, it follows that

$$\{X \setminus \cup_i X_{B_i,\pm 1} : B_i = B(x_i, t_i, r_i) \subset \cup_{0<t<T}\Omega(t), x_i \in \mathbb{Q}^2, t_i \in \mathbb{Q}, r_i \in Q\}$$

with $\mathbb{Q}$ the rationals is residual. By density of rationals elements in $X \setminus \cup_i X_{B_i,\pm 1}$ satisfy the mixing property and thus the set of mixing solutions is residual in X with respect to the weak topology.

□

Remark 3.4 *We introduce the measure μ to deal with the unboudedness of the domain. However we could have followed instead [17] and consider for capital $N \nearrow \infty$ $I_N : X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ defined by $I_N : \int_{B(0,N)\times[0,T]}(|\rho|^2 - 1)dxdt$. By convexity of the L^2 norm it follows that I_N is lower semicontinous respect to the weak star topology of $L^\infty(X)$. Thus it is a Baire one map with a residual set of points of continuity. By our lemma 3.3 if z is a point of continuity of I_N in X $I_N(z) = 0$. Since elements of X such that $\rho(x,t) = 1$ correspond to weak solutions to IPM and intersection of residual sets is residual the theorem follows.*

Remark 3.5 *The proof presented above only yields weak solutions to the IPM system such that $|\rho(x,t)| = 1$ for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$. However (see the proof of [12, Lemma 3.3]) for every $\overline{z} = (\overline{\rho}, \overline{u}, \overline{m}) \in \Lambda$ with $\overline{\rho} \neq 0$ there exists $(\xi, \xi_t) \in \mathbb{R}^2_x \times \mathbb{R}_t, \xi \neq 0$ such that*

$$D^2(h((\xi,\xi_t)\cdot(x,t))) = h''((\xi,\xi_t)\cdot(x,t)) \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\rho} - \overline{u_2} & \overline{u_1} \\ \overline{u_1} & \overline{\rho} + \overline{u_2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\partial_t \nabla h((\xi,\xi_t)\cdot(x,t)) + \nabla^\perp h'((\xi,\xi_t)\cdot(x,t)) = h''((\xi,\xi_t)\cdot(x,t))\overline{m}$$

This is the analogous of [17, Proposition 4] . Thus one imitate [17, Proposition 2] paper and obtain weak solutions to the IPM systems such that

$$|\rho(x,t)| = 1$$

for every t. We skip the details since there is no essential difference.

Proof of theorem 1.1.

We start with a given initial data of Muskat type $\mathbf{z}^0 - (s,0) \in H^5$, with $\frac{\partial_s z_1^0}{|\partial_s \mathbf{z}^0|} > \varrho > 0$ and choose $0 < c < 2$. By theorem 4.1 there exists a time $T^*(\mathbf{z}_0) > 0$ and a curve $\mathbf{z} \in C([0, T^*(\mathbf{z}_0)], H^4(\mathbb{R}))$, such that $(\varepsilon = ct, \mathbf{z}(s,t))$ solve the system (1.11), (1.12). By theorem 4.2 there exists a M-subsolution in $[0, T(\mathbf{z}_0, M, c)]$, with $T(\mathbf{z}_0, M, c) \leq T^*(\mathbf{z}_0)$, and therefore we can define the space X_0 associated to this subsolution and apply theorem 3.2.

□

4 Constructing a subsolution for the IPM system

This section is divided in two parts and its purpose is to show the existence of a subsolution. In the first part we will find a subsolution for the IPM system in the sense of definition 2.5 assuming that there exist a solution for the system (1.11), (1.12). In the second part we will show the existence of this pair $(\varepsilon, \mathbf{z})$, i.e.,

Theorem 4.1 *Let's $\boldsymbol{z}^0 \in H^5$, with $\frac{\partial_s z_1^0}{|\partial_s \boldsymbol{z}^0|} > \varrho > 0$ and $0 < c < 2$. Then there exist a time $T > 0$ and a curve $\boldsymbol{z} \in C([0,T], H^4(\mathbb{R}))$, such that $(\varepsilon = ct, \boldsymbol{z}(s,t))$ solve the system* (1.11), (1.12).

4.1 Constructing a subsolution. Part 1

This section is dedicated to the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 *Let us assume that $(\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{z})$ solve the equations* (1.11) *and* (1.12) *and that for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{\partial_s z_1^0(s)}{|\partial_s \boldsymbol{z}^0(s)|} > \varrho > 0$. Then there exists a M-subsolution of the IPM system.*

We start by defining the mixing zone. Let $(\varepsilon, \mathbf{z})$ be the solution to the system (1.11) and (1.12). For $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $-\varepsilon < \lambda < \varepsilon$ we define the change of coordinates

$$\mathbf{x}(s, \lambda) = \mathbf{z}(s) + (0, \lambda).$$

Since $\mathbf{z}$ is continuous by continuity there is a time interval such that $\frac{\partial_s z_1(s)}{|\partial_s \mathbf{z}(s)|} > \frac{\varrho}{2} > 0$. For such times $\det(Dx(s, \lambda)) > 0$ and $\mathbf{x}$ is locally one to one. In fact, $\mathbf{z}$ can be parametrized as graph and thus $\mathbf{x}$ is a global injection in its domain of definition.

We define the set $\Omega_{mix} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ as follows

$$\Omega_{mix} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,:\, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(s, \lambda) \quad \text{for} \quad (s, \lambda) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}.$$

Recall that, in Ω_{mix}, our subsolutions $(\rho, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{u})$ should solve

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{m} = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{BS}(-\partial_{x_1} \rho). \tag{4.2}$$

As in the case of Euler we define $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ which measure how much differs a solution to be a solution. Namely,

$$\mathbf{m} = \rho \mathbf{u} - (\beta, \alpha)\left(1 - \rho^2\right)$$

Then the transport equation (4.1) reads

$$\partial_t \rho + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho = \nabla \cdot \left((\beta, \alpha)\left(1 - \rho^2\right)\right) \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand we need $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m}) \in K^{\Lambda}$, in (2.4), which is equivalent to

$$\beta^2 + \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 < \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\rho^2 < 1. \tag{4.5}$$

In fact, we need $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m}) \in K^{\Lambda}_M$, but we will take care of this later.

4.1.1 The equations in (s, λ)-coordinates and the choices of ρ and $\mathbf{m}$

Next we write the equation (4.3) in $(s, \lambda)-$ coordinates. Let $f : \Omega_{mix} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function and $\mathbf{f} : \Omega_{mix} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ a smooth vector field. We will denote

$$f^\sharp(s, \lambda) = f(\mathbf{x}(s, \lambda)), \quad \mathbf{f}^\sharp(s, \lambda) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(s, \lambda)). \tag{4.6}$$

The following lemma gives us the gradient and the divergence in the new variable.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $f, \boldsymbol{f}$ be as above. Then*

$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}(s, \lambda)) = \frac{1}{\partial_s \boldsymbol{x}^\perp \cdot \partial_\lambda \boldsymbol{x}} \left(-\partial_\lambda \boldsymbol{x}^\perp \partial_s f^\sharp + \partial_s \boldsymbol{x}^\perp \partial_\lambda f^\sharp\right),$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}(s\lambda)) = \frac{1}{\partial_s \boldsymbol{x}^\perp \cdot \partial_\lambda \boldsymbol{x}} \left(-\partial_\lambda \boldsymbol{x}^\perp \cdot \partial_s \boldsymbol{f}^\sharp + \partial_s \boldsymbol{x}^\perp \cdot \partial_\lambda \boldsymbol{f}^\sharp\right).$$

Proof: The proof is just a matter of elementary algebra. We present the details in the appendix A.□

Let us analyze the mixing error in these new coordinates. Let

$$\mathbf{E}^\sharp(s,\lambda) = \left(1-\rho^{\sharp 2}\right)(\beta^\sharp, \alpha^\sharp) \tag{4.7}$$

which we split as,

$$\mathbf{E}^\sharp = \bar{\mathbf{f}}^\sharp + \mathbf{e}^\sharp$$

with

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}}^\sharp = \left(1-\rho^{\sharp 2}\right)\left(\beta^\sharp, \alpha^\sharp - \frac{1}{2}\right), \qquad \mathbf{e}^\sharp = \frac{1}{2}(0,1)\left(1-\rho^{\sharp 2}\right).$$

Now we observe that $\partial_\lambda \mathbf{x} = (0,1)$. It will simplify the calculation to make the choices $\bar{\mathbf{f}}^\sharp = h^\sharp(0,1)$ for a suitable $h^\sharp(s,\lambda)$ and $\rho^\sharp(s,\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}$.

Then $\rho^\sharp$ is actually independent of s and produces a density $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ satisfying the condition (4.5) in Ω_{mix}. In addition $\rho^\sharp(\pm\varepsilon) = \pm 1$ thus

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \mp 1 & \text{in } \overline{\Omega^\pm} \\ \rho(\mathbf{x}) & \text{in } \Omega_{mix} \end{cases}, \tag{4.8}$$

where Ω^+ is the open domain below Ω_{mix} and Ω^- is the open domain above Ω_{mix}, is a continuous function in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

After, these choices, the next lemma describes the necessary conditions to be a subsolution.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $\rho^\sharp = \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}^\sharp = \rho^\sharp \boldsymbol{u}^\sharp - h^\sharp(0,1) - \boldsymbol{e}^\sharp$ with $\boldsymbol{e}^\sharp = \frac{1}{2}(0,1)(1-\rho^{\sharp 2})$. Then, ρ, $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}$ satisfy the equation* (4.1) *if and only if*

$$\partial_\lambda h^\sharp = \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} + \partial_t \rho^\sharp + \frac{1}{\partial_s z_1 \varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}^\sharp - \partial_t \boldsymbol{z}) \cdot \partial_s \boldsymbol{z}^\perp.$$

In addition if

$$h^\sharp = \gamma^\sharp(1-\rho^{\sharp 2})$$

the inclusion (4.4) *reads*

$$|\gamma^\sharp| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof:

Applying lemma 4.3, we can compute that

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda)) = \frac{1}{\partial_s \mathbf{x}^\perp \cdot \partial_\lambda \mathbf{x}}(-\partial_\lambda \mathbf{x}^\perp \cdot \partial_s \bar{\mathbf{f}}^\sharp + \partial_s \mathbf{x}^\perp \cdot \partial_\lambda \bar{\mathbf{f}}^\sharp - \partial_\lambda \mathbf{x}^\perp \cdot \partial_s \mathbf{e}^\sharp + \partial_s \mathbf{x}^\perp \cdot \partial_\lambda \mathbf{e}^\sharp)$$

Our choices of $\rho^\sharp$ and $\bar{\mathbf{f}}^\sharp$ imply that

$$\partial_s \mathbf{e}^\sharp = 0 \qquad \partial_\lambda \mathbf{e}^\sharp = -(0,1)\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} \qquad \partial_\lambda \mathbf{x}^\perp \cdot \partial_s \bar{\mathbf{f}}^\sharp + \partial_s \mathbf{x}^\perp \cdot \bar{\mathbf{f}}^\sharp = \partial_s z_1 \partial_\lambda h^\sharp.$$

Hence,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda)) = \partial_\lambda h^\sharp - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2}. \tag{4.9}$$

Differentiating with respect to the time the identity $\rho^\sharp(s,\lambda) = \rho(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda))$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \rho^\sharp =& \left(\partial_t \rho\right)\left(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda)\right) + \partial_t \mathbf{x} \cdot (\nabla\rho)(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda)) \\ =& \left(\partial_t \rho\right)\left(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda)\right) + \mathbf{u}^\sharp \cdot (\nabla\rho)(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda)) + (\partial_t \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{u}^\sharp) \cdot (\nabla\rho)(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda)),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u}^\sharp(s,\lambda) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda))$. Therefore, by using lemma 4.3

$$\left(\partial_t \rho\right)\left(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda)\right) + \mathbf{u}^\sharp \cdot (\nabla\rho)(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda)) \tag{4.10}$$

$$= \partial_t \rho^\sharp + \frac{1}{\partial_s \mathbf{x}^\perp \cdot \partial_\lambda \mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{u}^\sharp - \partial_t \mathbf{z}) \cdot (-\partial_\lambda \mathbf{x}^\perp \partial_s \rho^\sharp + \partial_s \mathbf{x}^\perp \partial_\lambda \rho^\sharp) \tag{4.11}$$

$$= \partial_t \rho^\sharp + \frac{1}{\partial_s z_1 \varepsilon} (\mathbf{u}^\sharp - \partial_t \mathbf{z}) \cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp.$$

Putting together (4.9) and (4.10) we obtain that the equation (4.3) can be written in Ω_{mix} in the following way

$$\partial_\lambda h^\sharp = \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} + \partial_t \rho^\sharp + \frac{1}{\partial_s z_1 \varepsilon} (\mathbf{u}^\sharp - \partial_t \mathbf{z}) \cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp.$$

Finally, if we define

$$\gamma^\sharp = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^{\sharp 2}} h^\sharp,$$

the condition (4.4) reads

$$\gamma^{\sharp 2} < \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2.$$

□

From lemma 4.4 we have that in order to show theorem 4.2 is enough to show that $\gamma^{\sharp 2} < \frac{1}{2}$ with $\gamma^\sharp$ given by

$$\gamma^\sharp \left(1 - \rho^{\sharp 2}\right) = \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\lambda} \left(\frac{\lambda'}{\varepsilon^2} + \partial_t \rho^\sharp + \frac{1}{\partial_s z_1 \varepsilon} (\mathbf{u}^\sharp - \partial \mathbf{z}) \cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp \right) d\lambda' \tag{4.12}$$

$$\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\lambda} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} - \varepsilon_t \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} \right) d\lambda' + \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\partial_s z_1 \varepsilon} (\mathbf{u}^\sharp - \partial \mathbf{z}) \cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp \right) d\lambda'$$

$$= -\frac{(1 - \varepsilon_t)}{2} (1 - \rho^{\sharp 2}) + \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\partial_s z_1 \varepsilon} (\mathbf{u}^\sharp - \partial_t \mathbf{z}) \cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp \right) d\lambda',$$

and $\mathbf{u}$ given by the Biot-Savart law and $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ by (4.8).

4.1.2 The velocity u

The velocity $\mathbf{u}$ is given by the expression

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^\perp}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^2} \partial_{x_1} \rho(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega_{mix}} \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^\perp}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^2} \partial_{x_1} \rho(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Then a change of coordinates yields

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_s z_2(s') \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}(s', \lambda'))^\perp}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}(s', \lambda')|^2} d\lambda' ds'. \tag{4.13}$$

Next we will modify this expression since it will help in the proof of the local existence for the system (1.11), (1.12). This idea has been already introduced in [13]. First we notice that

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_{s'}\log\left(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}(s',\lambda')|^2\right) = -\frac{(x^1-x^1(s',\lambda'))\partial_{s'}z_1(s')}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}(s',\lambda')|^2} - \frac{(x^2-x^2(s',\lambda'))\partial_{s'}z_2(s')}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}(s',\varepsilon'\lambda')|^2},$$

therefore we can write

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\pi}P.V.\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\partial_s\mathbf{z}(s')\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\frac{x_1-x_1(s',\lambda')}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}(s',\lambda')|^2}d\lambda' ds'. \tag{4.14}$$

As we prove in the following lemma this velocity $\mathbf{u}$ is in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Lemma 4.5 *Let $\boldsymbol{u}$ be like in expression (4.14) with $\boldsymbol{z}$ as in theorem 1.1. Then $\boldsymbol{u}\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and*

$$||\boldsymbol{u}(\cdot,t)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq P(||\boldsymbol{z}(s,t)-(s,0)||_{H^4})$$

for some smooth function P.

Proof: First we notice that since $\frac{\partial_x z_1(s)}{|\partial_s\mathbf{z}|} > \varrho > 0$ we can parameterize the interface given by $\mathbf{z}(s)$ as the graph of a function $(x, f(x))$. Then we can write the velocity in (4.14) in the following way

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\pi}P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1,\partial_x f(x'))\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\frac{x_1-x'}{|(x_1-x',x_2-f(x')-\lambda'|^2}d\lambda' dx'$$

And evaluating in $\mathbf{x}(x,\lambda) = (x, f(x)+\lambda)$ we have

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}(x,\lambda)) = \frac{1}{\pi}P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1,\partial_x f(x'))\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\frac{x-x'}{|(x-x',\Delta f(x,x')+(\lambda-\lambda'))|^2}d\lambda' dx'$$

with $\Delta f(x,x') = f(x)-f(x')$. Next we check that the integral

$$\begin{aligned} I(x) =& P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{x-x'}{(x-x')^2+(\Delta f(x,x')+\tau)^2}dx' \\ =& P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{x'}{(x'^2+(\Delta f(x,x-x')+\tau)^2}dx' \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

belongs to $L^\infty(dx)$ uniformly in τ. In order to do it we split (4.15) into two parts

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x) &= P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{x'}{x'^2+(\Delta f(x,x-x')+\tau)^2} - \frac{x'}{x'^2+(\partial_x f(x)x'+\tau)^2}dx' \\ I_2(x) &= P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{x'}{x'^2+(\partial_x f(x)x'+\tau)^2}dx'. \end{aligned}$$

We will denote $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+(\partial_x f(x))^2}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x) &= \sigma(x)P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{x'}{(x'+\sigma(x)\partial_x f(x))^2+\tau^2\sigma(x)^2}dx' \\ &= \sigma(x)P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{x'+\sigma(x)\partial_x f(x)}{(x'+\sigma(x)\partial_x f(x))^2+\tau^2\sigma(x)^2}dx' + \sigma(x)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\sigma(x)\partial_x f(x)\tau}{(x'+\sigma(x)\partial_x f(x))^2+\tau^2\sigma(x)^2}dx' \end{aligned}$$

The first integral on the right hand side of the previous equation is equal to zero. The second one is a bounded integral.

In order to bound $I_1(x)$ we split into two terms

$$I_{11}(x) = \int_{|x'|<1} \frac{x'}{x'^2 + (\Delta f(x, x-x') + \tau)^2} - \frac{x'}{x'^2 + (\partial_x f(x)x' + \tau)^2} dx'$$
$$I_{12}(x) = P.V. \int_{|x'|>1} \frac{x'}{x'^2 + (\Delta f(x, x-x') + \tau)^2} - \frac{x'}{x'^2 + (\partial_x f(x)x' + \tau)^2} dx'.$$

To bound $I_{12}(x)$ we consider $I_{121}(x)$ and $I_{122}(x)$ with

$$I_{121}(x) = P.V. \int_{|x'|>1} \frac{x'}{x'^2 + (\Delta f(x, x-x') + \tau)^2} dx'$$
$$= P.V. \int_{|x'|>1} \left(\frac{x'}{x'^2 + (\Delta f(x, x-x') + \tau)^2} - \frac{x'}{x'^2 + \tau^2} \right) dx'$$

and

$$I_{122}(x) = P.V. \int_{|x'|>1} \frac{x'}{x'^2 + (\partial_x f(x)x' + \tau)^2} dx'$$
$$= P.V. \int_{|x'|>1} \left(\frac{x'}{x'^2 + (\partial_x f(x)x' + \tau)^2} - \frac{x'}{(1 + (\partial_x f(x))^2)x'^2 + \tau^2} \right) dx'$$

Then

$$|I_{121}(x)| \leq C(||f||_{L^\infty} + 1) \int_{|x'|>1} \frac{(1 + |\tau|)}{|x'|(x'^2 + \tau^2)} dx' \leq C(||f||_{L^\infty} + 1)$$

and

$$|I_{122}(x)| \leq C||f||_{C^1} \int_{|x'|>1} \frac{|\tau|}{(1 + (\partial_x f(x))^2 x'^2 + \tau^2)} dx' \leq C(1 + ||f||_{C^1}).$$

To bound $I_{11}(x)$ we notice that

$$(\partial_x f(x)x' + \tau)^2 - (\Delta f(x, x-x') + \tau)^2 = (\partial_x f(x)x' - \Delta f(x, x-x'))(\partial_x f(x)x' + \Delta f(x, x-x') + 2\tau),$$

and then

$$|I_{11}| \leq C(1 + ||f||_{C^2})||f||_{C^1} \int_{|x'|<1} \frac{|x'|^4}{(x'^2 + (\partial_x f(x)x' + \tau)^2)(x'^2 + (\Delta f(x, x-x') + \tau)^2)} dx' \quad (4.16)$$

Since we can bound

$$\frac{|x'|^3(|x'| + |\tau|)}{(x'^2 + (\partial_x f(x)x' + \tau)^2)(x'^2 + (\Delta f(x, x-x') + \tau)^2)} \leq C$$

the first integral in (4.16) is easy to bound. In addition for $|\tau| > 4||f||_{L^\infty}$ we have that

$$(\Delta f(x, x-x') + \tau)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}\tau^2,$$

so that, in this range

$$\frac{|x'|^3|\tau|}{(x'^2 + (\partial_x f(x)x' + \tau)^2)(x'^2 + (\Delta f(x, x-x') + \tau)^2)} \geq \frac{|x'||\tau|}{x'^2 + \frac{1}{4}\tau^2}$$

and we can estimate the second integral. In the range $|\tau| \leq 4||f||_{L^\infty}$ we can apply lemma (C.8). This concludes the proof of the bound of $I(x)$.

Finally we will bound

$$J(x) = P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x'}{(x'^2 + (\Delta f(x, x-x') + \tau)^2} \partial_x f(x-x') dx'.$$

We split into two terms $J_1(x)$ and $J_2(x)$ analogous to $I_1(x)$ and $I_2(x)$. For $J_2(x)$ we operate as for $I_2(x)$ and after the change $x'' = x' + \sigma(x)\partial_x f(x)$ we just have to deal with the convolution with the Poisson kernel and with the conjugate of the Poisson kernel. By the maximum principle this term is under control. For $J_1(x)$ we just operate as for $I_1(x)$.

Then we have achieve the conclusion of lemma 4.5. □

We will introduce the modified velocity $\mathbf{u}_c(\mathbf{x})$. Indeed, we are just adding to the velocity a term in the tangential direction to the curve to obtain

$$\mathbf{u}_c(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} P.V. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\partial_s \mathbf{z}(s) - \partial_s \mathbf{z}(s')) \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{x_1 - x_1(s', \lambda')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}(s', \lambda')|^2} d\lambda' ds'.$$

At this point is important to notice that the identity

$$\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp \cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp = \mathbf{u}^\sharp \cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp$$

holds and therefore the equation for γ can be written equivalently as follows

$$\gamma^\sharp = -\frac{(1-\varepsilon_t)}{2} + \frac{1}{(1-\rho^{\sharp 2})} \frac{1}{\frac{\partial_s z_1}{|\partial_s \mathbf{z}|}\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\lambda} \left(\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp - \partial_t \mathbf{z}\right) \cdot \frac{\partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp}{|\partial_s \mathbf{z}|} d\lambda'. \tag{4.17}$$

Proof of theorem 4.2. We have already constructed a candidate to be our subsolution. This candidate is given by $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m})$ with $\rho^\sharp = \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}$, $\mathbf{u}$ as in (4.13), $\mathbf{m} = \rho\mathbf{u} - \gamma(1-\rho^2)(0,1) - \mathbf{e}$, $\mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{2}(0,1)(1-\rho^2)$, $\gamma^\sharp(s,\lambda) = \gamma(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda))$ and $\gamma^\sharp$ as in (4.17). Next, we show that $|\gamma^\sharp| < \frac{1}{2}$, as stated in lemma 4.4.

We first focus on the first term on the right hand side of the equation (4.17). Because of the equation (1.12), $|1-\varepsilon_t| = |1-c|$ and we will pick up $0 < c < 2$. Then to finish the proof it is enough to prove that the second term in (4.17) is as small as we want by making t small. This term is problematic because the factor $\frac{1}{(1-\rho^{\sharp 2})}$, however we will find a cancelation in order to control it by continuity.

Here it is where we will use the system satisfied by ϵ and $\mathbf{z}$. First we will deal with the lower part of Ω_{mix} $-\varepsilon < \lambda < 0$.

The change of variables $\epsilon\lambda' = \lambda$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{1-\rho^{\sharp 2}} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\lambda} \left(\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp - \partial_t \mathbf{z}\right) \cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp d\lambda' &= \left| \frac{1}{1-\rho^{\sharp 2}} \int_{-1}^{\rho^\sharp} (\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp(s, \varepsilon\lambda') - \partial_t \mathbf{z}) \cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z} d\lambda' \right| \\
&\leq C(\varrho) \frac{1}{1-\rho^\sharp} \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} \sup_{-1<\lambda<0} \left|\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp(s, \varepsilon\lambda) - \partial_t \mathbf{z}(s)\right| \\
&\leq C \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} \sup_{-1<\lambda<0} \left|\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp(s, \varepsilon\lambda) - \partial_t \mathbf{z}(s)\right|
\end{aligned}$$

Here notice that $\rho < 0$.

Then we see that, since

$$\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp(s,\varepsilon\lambda) - \partial_t \mathbf{z}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-1}^{1} \left(\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp(s,\varepsilon\lambda) - \mathbf{u}_c^\sharp(s,\varepsilon\lambda')\right) d\lambda'$$

by lemma D.1, where we see that $\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp(s,\lambda)$ is uniformly continuous in the second variable, $\varepsilon = ct$ and $-1 \leq \lambda, \lambda' < 1$, we have that this term is as small as we want by making t small.

To deal with the upper part of Ω_{mix} we use the system (1.11), (1.12). Indeed, it follows from (1.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\lambda} \left(\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp - \partial_t \mathbf{z}\right)\cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp d\lambda' &= -\int_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} \left(\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp - \partial_t \mathbf{z}\right)\cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp d\lambda' + \underbrace{\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left(\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp - \partial_t \mathbf{z}\right)\cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp d\lambda'}_{=0} \\ &= -\int_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} \left(\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp - \partial_t \mathbf{z}\right)\cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp d\lambda'.\end{aligned}$$

Now the term $\frac{1}{1-\rho^{\sharp 2}}|\int_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} \left(\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp - \partial_t \mathbf{z}\right)\cdot \partial_s \mathbf{z}^\perp d\lambda'|$, can be made arbitrarily small by making t small. Thus there exists $T > 0$, depending on $\mathbf{z}_0$ and c, such that $|\gamma^\sharp(s,\lambda,t)| < \frac{1}{2}$ for $(s,\lambda,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (-\varepsilon(t),\varepsilon(t)) \times [0,T]$ as desired.

Recall that lemma 4.5 implies that $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,T])$.

In order to conclude the proof of theorem 4.2 we need to check that $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{m})$ is continuous in $(0,T) \times \Omega_{mix}(0,t)$ and that also satisfies (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7), for some $M > 1$. The continuity is a consequence of that $\rho(\mathbf{x},t)$ is a Lipschitz function in $(0,T) \times \Omega_{mix}(t)$. Furthermore, if

$$M > 8\left(||\mathbf{u}||_{L^\infty} + 1\right),$$

since $|\rho| \leq 1$ is easy to check (2.5). In addition, in order to satisfy condition (2.6) we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&|\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2}(0, 1-\rho)| = |\mathbf{m} - \rho\mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2}(1-\rho^2) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\rho^2) - (1-\rho)\mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2}(0,1-\rho)| \\ &\leq \left((1+\rho) + |\mathbf{u}| + \frac{1}{2}\right)(1-\rho),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.4). Then we see that (2.6) is satisfied. To check (2.7) we follows similar steps that for (2.6).

4.2 Constructing a subsolution. Part 2.

In order to finish the proof of theorem 1.1 we need to solve the equations (1.11) and (1.12). This section is devoted to do it. As we saw in the previous section we can take the constant c in (1.12) (the speed of growth of the mixing zone) between 0 and 2, but from now on we will take $c = 1$, i.e. $\varepsilon = t$, to alleviate the notation (small changes yields the same result for different a c). Let us sketch the strategy of the proof:

The mean velocity

$$\mathcal{M}\mathbf{u}(s) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_c^\sharp(s,\lambda) d\lambda \tag{4.18}$$

loses a derivative with respect to the variable s. If we want to obtain bounds independent of the smallness of ε we have to deal with two different problems, a derivative we lose in a transport term

and a derivative that we lose in an operator which might resemble the Λ operator as in the Muskat case (with the bad sign). However a more detailed analysis shows that the main term of our velocity can be described in terms of a pseudodifferential operator $m(\xi, x, t)$ which will be shown to be comparable with $\frac{1}{1+t|\xi|}$.

Let us explain our strategy. We first consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f =& \mathcal{L} * \Lambda f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \\ f(x,0) =& f^0(x), \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{L} * f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{1+t|\xi|}\hat{f}(\xi)$. If we just try to bound the L^2-norm of the function f by using a standard energy estimate we find that

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2}\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\xi|}{1+t|\xi|}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \frac{1}{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx$$

since

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\xi|}{1+t|\xi|} \leq \frac{1}{t}.$$

Thus this energy estimate fails to bound the L^2-norm of f. However, taking Fourier transform on the equation (4.19), we can integrate the equation to obtain

$$\hat{f}(\xi, t) = (1 + t|\xi|)\hat{f}^0(\xi).$$

Then we find the bound

$$||f||_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)||f^0||_{H^1}.$$

This means that we control the L^2-norm of the solution by loosing a derivative in the initial data. In the next level of complexity we add a transport term with constant coefficient and a term of order 0

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f = \mathcal{L} * \Lambda f + \partial_x f + f \quad & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \\ & f(x,0) = f^0(x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

We will look to the quantity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+t|\xi|)^2}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Taking a time derivative in the previous functions yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+t|\xi|)^2}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+t|\xi|)^2}|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Therefore, for a fixed time T and $0 < t < T$.

$$\left|\left| \frac{\hat{f}(\xi, t)}{1+T|\xi|} \right|\right|_{L^2} \leq ||\hat{f}^0(\xi)||_{L^2} e^T.$$

Since the equation is linear is easy to see that one can yields the estimate

$$||f(t)||_{H^s} \leq C(T)||f^0||_{H^{s+1}}.$$

In the rigorous proof, we need to consider $(x,t)-$dependent coefficient in the equation that we take care by freezing coefficients and by means of commutators in the context of pseudo-differential

operators. Since we need to work at the level of four derivatives we also have to deal also with lower order terms.

In fact we can not work directly with the equation but we need to regularize the system by introducing two parameters, δ and κ. With the parameter δ we will regularize the transport term and with the parameter κ the Λ operator. By using a Picard's theorem we will be able to show local existence of solutions in some Sobolev's space H^k, but the time of existence of these solutions will depend on δ and κ. Then we will perform energy estimates to obtain bounds in H^k independent of δ and we will pass to the limit in this parameter. This step is rather standard. Then the problem will be reduced to obtain bounds for the solution in H^k independent of the parameter κ. Obtaining these estimates is the main part of this section.

The theorem, concerning the existence of local solutions, for the system (1.11) and (1.12), is stated. The proof will be divided in several steps: 1) a more detailed study of the mean velocity $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{u}$, 2) a priori energy estimates on the equation (1.11), 3) we will regularize the system (1.11) and (1.12), using two parameters κ and δ, apply a Pircard's theorem to obtain existence of solution for the regularized problem and use the a priori energy estimates to pass to the limit. Some of the computations are rather heavy so we prove them in a suitable appendix.

The rest of the section is dedicated to the proof of the theorem 4.1. Since the proof is long and has many steps we subdivided in subsections. The manipulation of the commutators is rather long and it includes some ideas which might be of independent interest in the study of pseudodifferential operators. Thus, we consider that it deserve its own section.

4.2.1 First manipulations of the equation and of the mean velocity $\mathcal{M}u_2$

Since $\frac{\partial_s z_1^0}{|\partial_s \mathbf{z}^0|} > \varrho > 0$ we can parameterize $\mathbf{z}^0$ as the graph of a function f^0 and we will prove existence of solutions of the equation (1.11) of the form $(x, f(x,t))$. By introducing this ansatz in (1.11) we see that the first component of this equation is satisfied. Then we just have to focus on the second one, i.e.,

$$\partial_t f(x) = \mathcal{M}u_2(x) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta\partial_x f(x,y) K_\varepsilon(x,y) dy \tag{4.21}$$

where

$$K_\varepsilon(x,y) \tag{4.22}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon^2}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{x-y}{(x-y)^2 + (\Delta f(x,y))^2 + (\lambda-\lambda)^2 + 2\Delta f(x,y)(\lambda-\lambda')} d\lambda' d\lambda, \tag{4.23}$$

and we omit the time dependence in our notation. In the appendix B we perform the integration in both variables to obtain the explicit and exact expression

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_\varepsilon(x,y)\\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon^2}\left\{-2\Delta f(x,y)\arctan\left(\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right) + (2\varepsilon + \Delta f(x,y))\arctan\left(\frac{2\varepsilon+\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)\right.\\
&(\Delta f(x,y) - 2\varepsilon)\arctan\left(\frac{\Delta f(x,y)-2\varepsilon}{x-y}\right) + (x-y)\log\left((x-y)^2 + \Delta f(x,y)^2\right)\\
&\left.-\frac{x-y}{2}\log\left((x-y)^2 + (2\varepsilon+\Delta f(x,y))^2\right) - \frac{x-y}{2}\log\left((x-y)^2 + (\Delta f(x,y)-2\varepsilon)^2\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

We postpone the proof of this inequality to the appendix.

In order to obtain energy estimates for the equation (4.21) we need to take 5 derivatives with respect to x in both sides of the equation. We describe $\partial_x^5 \mathcal{M} u_2$ as the sum of a main term and lower order terms.

Definition 4.6 *We say that a function $G(x)$ is a l.o.t if and only if*

$$||\mathcal{D}^{-1}G||_{L^2} \leq C\left(||f||_{H^4} + ||\partial_x^5 \mathcal{D}^{-1} f||_{L^2}\right),$$

for some smooth function $C(x)$ and with

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}^{-1}f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{1+t|\xi|}\hat{f}(\xi).$$

Lemma 4.7 *Let $f(x) \in H^6(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then*

$$\partial_x^5 \mathcal{M} u_2 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \partial_x^6 f(x, x-y) K_\varepsilon(x, x-y) dy + l.o.t,$$

Proof: The proof is left to appendix C. □

We still need to simplify the kernel $K_\varepsilon(x,y)$. Actually we can linearize it as the next lemma shows.

Lemma 4.8 *Let $f(x) \in H^6(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then*

$$\partial_x^5 \mathcal{M} u_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^6 f(x-y) K_{\partial_x f(x)}(y) dy + a(x,t)\partial_x^{k+2} f(x) + l.o.t,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_{\partial_x f(x)}(x-y)dy \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon^2}\left\{-2\partial_x f(x)(x-y)\arctan\left(\partial_x f(x)\right)\right. \\
&+(2\varepsilon + \partial_x f(x)(x-y))\arctan\left(\frac{2\varepsilon + \partial_x f(x)(x-y)}{x-y}\right) \\
&(\partial_x f(x)(x-y) - 2\varepsilon)\arctan\left(\frac{\partial_x f(x)(x-y) - 2\varepsilon}{x-y}\right) \\
&+ (x-y)\log\left((x-y)^2(1+\partial_x f(x)^2)\right) \\
&- \frac{x-y}{2}\log\left((x-y)^2 + (2\varepsilon + \partial_x f(x)(x-y))^2\right) \\
&\left.-\frac{x-y}{2}\log\left((x-y)^2 + (\partial_x f(x)(x-y) - 2\varepsilon)^2\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$a(x,t) \equiv -P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_\varepsilon(x,y)dy.$$

Here the definition of l.o.t. is the same that in lemma 4.7.

Proof: This lemma is proven in appendix D. □

Hence, since our aim is to freeze coeffcients, in the kernel $K_{\partial_x f(x)}(x-y)dy$ we replace $\partial_x f(x)$ by a constant A. We will call this function $K_A(y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & K_A(y) \\ & = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon^2}\left\{-2Ay\arctan(A) + (2\varepsilon+Ay)\arctan\left(\frac{2\varepsilon+Ay}{y}\right)\right. \\ & + (Ay-2\varepsilon)\arctan\left(\frac{Ay-2\varepsilon}{y}\right) + y\log\left(y^2(1+A^2)\right) \\ & \left. -\frac{y}{2}\log\left(y^2+(2\varepsilon+Ay)^2\right) - \frac{y}{2}\log\left(y^2+(Ay-2\varepsilon)^2\right)\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

In the following lemma we present the Fourier transform of $K_A(y)$.

Lemma 4.9 *Let K_A as in (4.24). Then its Fourier transform is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}_A(\xi) &= \frac{-isign(\xi)}{8\pi\varepsilon^2|\xi|}\left(4\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2\pi|\xi|\sigma(1-iAsign(\xi))}\left(e^{-4\pi|\xi|\sigma\varepsilon(1-iAsign(\xi))}-1\right)\right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{2\pi|\xi|\sigma(1+iAsign(\xi))}\left(e^{-4\pi|\xi|\sigma\varepsilon(1+iAsign(\xi))}-1\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{-isign(\xi)}{2\pi|\xi|\varepsilon}\left\{1+\frac{1}{4\pi|\xi|\varepsilon}\left[e^{-4\pi|\xi|\varepsilon\sigma}\left(\cos(4\pi|\xi|\varepsilon\sigma A) - A\sin(4\pi|\xi|\varepsilon\sigma A)\right)-1\right]\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

where $\sigma = \frac{1}{1+A^2}$.

Proof: This lemma will be proven in appendix E. □

4.2.2 A priori energy estimates

Lema 4.8 says that if we call $F(x,t) = \partial_x^{k+1} f(x,t)$ and $A(x,t) = \partial_x f(x,t)$ it holds that

$$\partial_t F(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{A(x,t)}(x-y)\partial_x F(y)dy + a(x)\partial_x F(x) + G(x) \tag{4.26}$$

where $G(x)$ is a *l.o.t.* and

$$a(x,t) = P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_\varepsilon(x,y)dy,$$

where the principal value is taken at 0 and at the infinity (see lemma F.7). Let us write the equation closer to the spirit of pseudo-differential operators: We will define the operation

$$K_{A(x)} \otimes f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{A(x)}(x-y)f(y)dy$$

in such a way that the equation reads

$$\partial_t F = K_{A(x,t)} \otimes \partial_x F(x,t) + a(x)\partial_x F(x) + G(x). \tag{4.27}$$

We also define the pseudo-differential operator

$$\mathfrak{m}F(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} m(\xi,x,t)\hat{F}(\xi,t)d\xi,$$

where

$$m(\xi,x,t)=e^{-H(t|\xi|,A(x,t))}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&H(t|\xi|,A(x,t))\\&=\int_0^{t|\xi|}\frac{1}{\tau}\left\{1+\frac{1}{4\pi\tau}\left(e^{-4\pi\tau\sigma}\left(\cos(4\pi\tau\sigma A)-A\sin(4\pi\tau\sigma A)\right)-1\right)\right\}d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

We will obtain our energy estimates in two steps. First we will control the evolution of the L^2-norm of $\mathfrak{m}F$ rather than the L^2-norm of F. Secondly we will show that for small times $\mathfrak{m}F$ is coercive.

Taking a time derivative in $\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{2\pi ix\xi}\partial_t m(\xi,x,t)\hat{F}(\xi,t)d\xi+\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{2\pi ix\xi}m(\xi,x,t)\partial_t\hat{F}(\xi,t)d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Looking at the definition of $m(\xi,x,t)$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t m(\xi,x,t)=&-\frac{1}{t}\left\{1+\frac{1}{4\pi|\xi|t}\left(e^{-4\pi t|\xi|\sigma}\left(\cos(4\pi t|\xi|\sigma A)-A\sin(4\pi t|\xi|\sigma A)\right)-1\right)\right\}\\&\times e^{-H(t|\xi|,A)}\\&-\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A)\partial_t A(x,t)e^{-H(t|\xi|,A)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the equation (4.27), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)\\&=-\partial_t A(x,t)\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{2\pi ix\xi}\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A)m(\xi,x,t)\hat{F}(\xi,t)d\xi\\&-\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{2\pi ix\xi}m(\xi,x,t)\frac{1}{t}\\&\qquad\times\left\{1+\frac{1}{4\pi|\xi|t}\left(e^{-4\pi t|\xi|\sigma}\left(\cos(4\pi t|\xi|\sigma A)-A\sin(4\pi t|\xi|\sigma A)\right)-1\right)\right\}\hat{F}(\xi,t)d\xi\\&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{2\pi ix\xi}m(\xi,x,t)\left(\mathcal{F}[K_A\otimes\partial_x F](\xi,t)+\mathcal{F}[a\partial_x F](\xi,t)+\hat{G}(\xi,t)\right)d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition of $\hat{K}_{A(x,t)}(\xi,t)$ in (4.25) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{t}\left\{1+\frac{1}{4\pi|\xi|t}\left(e^{-4\pi t|\xi|\sigma}\left(\cos(4\pi t|\xi|\sigma A)-A\sin(4\pi t|\xi|\sigma A)\right)-1\right)\right\}\hat{F}(\xi,t)\\&=\hat{K}_{A(x,t)}\widehat{\partial_x F}(\xi,t),\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)\\&=-\partial_t A(x,t)\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{2\pi ix\xi}\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A)m(\xi,x,t)\hat{F}(\xi,t)d\xi\\&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{2\pi ix\xi}m(\xi,x,t)\left(\mathcal{F}[K_A\otimes\partial_x F](\xi,t)-\hat{K}_{A(x,t)}\widehat{\partial_x F}(\xi,t)\right)d\xi\end{aligned}$$

$$+\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} m(\xi,x,t)\left(\mathcal{F}[a\partial_x F](\xi,t)+\hat{G}(\xi,t)\right)d\xi.$$

And adding and subtracting the term

$$a(x,t)\mathfrak{m}\partial_x F(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} m(\xi,x,t)a(x,t)\widehat{\partial_x F}(\xi,t)d\xi$$

we arrive to the expression

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t \mathfrak{m}F(x,t)\\&=-\partial_t A(x,t)\partial_A\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)-\mathfrak{c}_1F(x,t)-\mathfrak{c}_2F(x,t)+a(x,t)\mathfrak{m}\partial_x F(x,t)+\mathfrak{m}G(x,t),\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

where

$$\partial_A\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi}\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A)m(\xi,x,t)\hat{F}(\xi,t)d\xi$$

$$\mathfrak{c}_1F(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} m(\xi,x,t)\left(\hat{K}_{A(x,t)}\widehat{\partial_x F}(\xi,t)-\mathcal{F}[K_A\otimes\partial_x F](\xi,t)\right)d\xi$$

and

$$\mathfrak{c}_2F(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} m(\xi,x,t)\left(a(x,t)\widehat{\partial_x F}-\mathcal{F}[a\partial_x F](\xi,t)\right)d\xi.$$

At this point, we make more explicit the comparison with the toy model introduced at the beginning of the section.

In addition we need to introduce the pseudo-differential operator $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}F$ given by the expression

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}F(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi}\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)\hat{F}(\xi,t)d\xi$$

with

$$\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)=e^{-H(t|\xi|,A)+\log(1+t|\xi|)}$$

and the operator $\mathcal{D}^{-1}$ defined by its Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{D}^{-1}F](\xi)=\frac{1}{1+t|\xi|}\hat{F}(\xi).$$

It holds trivially that

$$\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)=\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}\mathcal{D}^{-1}F$$

and remarkably that $\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)$ is bounded from below and from above

$$\frac{1}{C}\leq\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)\leq C\tag{4.29}$$

with the constant $0<C<\infty$ depending on $||A||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\times L^\infty([0,T))}$.

Inserting the equation (4.28) and these definitions we obtain the equation for the evolution of $\mathfrak{m}F$.

Lemma 4.10 *Let F be a solution to equation* (4.26)*. Then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}\mathcal{D}^{-1}F(x,t)\right|^2 dx = \\
&- Re\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)}\partial_t A(x,t)\partial_A \mathfrak{m}F(x,t)dx\right) - Re\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)}\mathfrak{c}_1 F(x,t)dx\right) \\
&- Re\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)}\mathfrak{c}_2 F(x,t)dx\right) - Re\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)}a(x,t)\mathfrak{m}\partial_x F(x,t)dx\right) \\
&- Re\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)}\mathfrak{m}G(x,t)dx\right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{5} I_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

In order to bound the terms I_i, for $i = 1, ..., 5$, we will deal directly the boundedness of certain pseudo-differential operators F.3 and F.4 or by dealing with our commutators. For the later we need to get deep into the pseudo-differential operator theory.

One of the main ingredients we will use is theorem [21, theorem 2] that we state now:

Theorem 4.11 *[Hwang]Let $m : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ a continuous function whose derivatives $\partial_x m$, $\partial_\xi m$ and $\partial^2_{\xi_x} m$ in the distribution sense satisfy the following conditions: There is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$||m||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})},\ ||\partial_x m||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})},\ ||\partial_\xi m||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})},\ ||\partial^2_{\xi\ x} m||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})} < C. \tag{4.31}$$

Then $\mathfrak{m}$ is continuous from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with its norm bounded by $\tilde{C}||m||$. Where $\tilde{C}$ is a numerical constant and $|||m|||$ is the smallest C such that (4.31) *holds.*

Theorem 4.11 is also obtained in [9] and [11]. We quote first [21] because we will use the proof of this paper in section 5.

The symbol $|||f|||$ will denote some polynomial function evaluated in

$$(1 + ||f||_{H^4})e^{C(1+||f||_{H^4})} \tag{4.32}$$

Lemma 4.12 *The following inequality holds*

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}\mathcal{D}^{-1}F(x,t)\right|^2 dx \leq |||f|||\ ||\mathcal{D}^{-1}F||^2_{L^2}. \tag{4.33}$$

Proof:

We need to bound our five terms. I_1 is a pseoudodifferential operator associated to the first term and it is bounded by using Cauchy Schwartz and corollary F.3 , and F.4 and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 \leq& C||\partial_t A||_{L^\infty} e^{C(1+||A||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})})}||\mathcal{D}^{-1}F||^2_{L^2} \\
\leq& C||f||_{H^3} e^{C(1+||f||_{H^3})}||\mathcal{D}^{-1}F||^2_{L^2} \leq |||f|||\ ||\mathcal{D}^{-1}F||^2_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the transport term I_4 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Re}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)}a(x,t)\mathfrak{m}\partial_x F(x,t)dx\right) \\
=&\mathrm{Re}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)}a(x,t)\partial_x \mathfrak{m}F(x,t)dx\right) - \mathrm{Re}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\mathfrak{m}F(x,t)}a(x,t)\mathfrak{m}_x F(x,t)dx\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{m}_x F(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} \partial_x m(\xi,x,t) \hat{F}(\xi,t) d\xi.$$

Thus, since $a(x,t)$ is real, an integration by parts and lemma F.4 yield

$$I_4 \leq |||f||| \, ||\mathcal{D}^{-1}F||_{L^2}^2.$$

Finally I_5 is bounded in terms of the l.o.t by corollary F.3 and Cauchy Schwarz

$$I_5 \leq |||f||| \, ||\mathcal{D}^{-1}F||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} ||\mathcal{D}^{-1}G||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

Since the l.o.t are also bounded by $|||f|||$ we are left with the commutator terms. The terms I_2 and I_3 are bounded by using lemma 5.1 and lemma 5.2 together with lemma F.7 respectively,

$$I_2,\, I_3 \leq |||f||| \, ||\mathcal{D}^{-1}F||_{L^2}^2$$

□

Inequality from lemma 4.12 and the fact that $||\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}\mathcal{D}^{-1}F||_{L^2}$ is equivalent to $||\mathcal{D}^{-1}F||_{L^2}$ by for small time, as we learn in lemma 6.1, show the main a priori energy estimates for the equation (4.21)

Proposition 1 *Let f be and smooth solution of equation (4.21), with $f_0 \in H^5$. Then*

$$\sup_{0<t<T} ||f||_{H^4} \leq C \left(||f||_{L^2} + \sup_{0<t<T} ||\mathcal{D}^{-1}F||_{L^2} \right) \leq P\left(||f_0||_{H^5} \right)$$

where P is some bounded function, for small enough $T > 0$.

4.2.3 The regularized system and local existence

In order to be able to apply a Picard's theorem we will regularize the system by using two parameters, δ and κ. With the parameter δ we regularize the transport term and with the parameter κ the Λ operator. We will consider the following system for $(\varepsilon^\kappa(t), f^{\kappa,\,\delta}(x,t))$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t f^{\kappa,\,\delta}(x) =& -\frac{1}{\pi}\phi_\delta * \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\phi_\delta * \partial_x f^{\kappa,\,\delta}(x) - \phi_\delta * \partial_y f^{\kappa,\,\delta}(y) \right) K_{\varepsilon^\kappa+\kappa}(x,y) dy && (4.34)\\
&+ \kappa \phi_\delta * \partial_x^2 \phi_\delta * f^{\kappa,\delta} && (4.35)\\
f^{\kappa,\,\delta}(x,0) =& f^0(x) \\
\partial_t \varepsilon^\kappa =& 1 && (4.36)\\
\varepsilon^\kappa(0) =& 0.
\end{aligned}$$

where κ, $\delta > 0$, ϕ is a positive and smooth function with mean equal to one and $\phi_\delta = \frac{1}{\delta}\phi\left(\frac{x}{\delta}\right)$.

The Picard's theorem that we will apply is the following

Theorem 4.13 (Picard) *Let B be a Banach space and $O \subset B$ an open set. Let's consider the equation*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\boldsymbol{X}(t)}{dt} =& \boldsymbol{F}[\boldsymbol{X}] && (4.37)\\
\boldsymbol{X}(0) =& \boldsymbol{X}_0 && (4.38)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{F} : O \to B$$

is bounded and $\boldsymbol{X}_0 \in O$. *Then, if* $\boldsymbol{F}$ *is Lipschitz in* O, *i.e.,*

$$||\boldsymbol{F}[\boldsymbol{X}^1] - \boldsymbol{F}[\boldsymbol{X}^2]||_B \leq C(O)||\boldsymbol{X}^1 - \boldsymbol{X}^2||_B,$$

there exist $T > 0$ *and* $\boldsymbol{X}(t) \in C([0,T], O)$ *solving* (4.37), (4.38). *Here* T *depends on* $C(O)$.

By applying theorem 4.13 the following result holds

Theorem 4.14 *Let* $f^0 \in H^4(\mathbb{R})$ *and* δ, $\kappa > 0$. *Then there exist* $T^{\kappa,\delta} > 0$ *(depending on* κ *and* δ*) and*

$$f^{\kappa,\,\delta} \in C([-T^{\kappa,\delta}, T^{\kappa,\delta}); H^4(\mathbb{R}))$$

such that $(\varepsilon^\kappa = t, f^{\kappa,\,\delta}(x,t))$ *solve the system* (4.34), (4.36).

Proof: In order to apply theorem 4.13 we choose $B = \mathbb{R} \times H^k$,

$$O_{\kappa,\,M} = \{(\varepsilon, f) \in \mathbb{R} \times H^k \,:\, \varepsilon > -\frac{\kappa}{2},\, ||f||_{H^k} < M\},$$

$X_0 = (0, f^0)$ (we take $M > ||f^0||_{H^k}$) and $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, F_2)$ with $F_1 = c$ and

$$F_2 = -\frac{1}{\pi}\phi_\delta * \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\phi_\delta * \partial_x f^{\kappa,\,\delta}(x) - \phi_\delta * \partial_y f^{\kappa,\,\delta}(y)\right) K_{\varepsilon^\kappa+\kappa}(x,y)dy + \kappa\phi_\delta * \partial_x^2\phi_\delta * f^{\kappa,\delta}(x)$$

Because the properties of the mollifiers ϕ_δ and that the kernel $K_{\varepsilon^\kappa+\kappa}$ is not singular in $O_{\kappa,\,M}$ ($\varepsilon^\kappa + \kappa > \frac{\kappa}{2}$ in this open set), the hypothesis of theorem 4.13 can be checked. This is rather standard and we will omit the details. □

proof of Thorem 4.1 Once, we dispose of the solutions $(\varepsilon^\kappa, f^{\delta,\kappa})$ we need to obtain estimates independent of δ and κ, for positive time, in order to be able extend this solutions to an interval $[0,T)$, with T independent of δ and κ and then pass to the limit. After we take four derivatives in F_2 we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x^k F_2 =& \phi_\delta * \left(a(x)\phi_\delta * \partial_x^{k+1} f(x)\right) + \phi_\delta * \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\varepsilon^k+\kappa}(x,y)\phi_\delta * \partial_x^{k+1} f(y)dy \\ &+ \kappa\phi_\delta * \partial_x^2\phi_\delta * f^{\kappa,\delta} + l.o.t.,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a(x) = -P.V. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\varepsilon^\kappa+\kappa}(x,y)dy.$$

Therefore, the main terms in the derivative $\frac{1}{2}\partial_t||f||_{H^4}$ are

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_\delta * \left(a(x)\phi_\delta * \partial_x^{k+1} f(x)\right) \partial_x^k f(x)dx$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_\delta * \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{\varepsilon^k+\kappa}(x,y)\phi_\delta * \partial_x^{k+1} f(y)\, dy\, \partial_x^k f(x)dx.$$

The first term can be bounded in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\phi_\delta * \left(a(x)\phi_\delta * \partial_x^{k+1}f(x)\right)\partial_x^k f(x)dx\right|\\&=\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}a(x)\phi_\delta * \partial_x^{k+1}f(x)\phi_\delta * \partial_x^k f(x)dx\right|\\&\leq C||\partial_x a||_{L^\infty}||\partial_x^k f||_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

And in order to bound the second one we just notice that $K_{\varepsilon^\kappa+\kappa}$ is not singular because $\varepsilon^\kappa+\kappa=t+\kappa$ and then we can integrate by parts in order to gain a derivative in x. Thus the uniform estimate in δ are easy to get (the term coming from the Laplacian operator is treated in the usual way). The main difficulty to prove theorem 4.1 is then performing estimates uniform in κ for the equation

$$\partial_t f^\kappa(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\partial_x f^\kappa(x)-\partial_x f^\kappa(y))K_{\varepsilon^\kappa+\kappa}(x,y)dy+\kappa\partial_x^2 f^\kappa. \tag{4.39}$$

where $\varepsilon^\kappa = t$. We notice that because of the effect of the term $\kappa\partial_x^2 f^\kappa$ the solution to (4.39) are actually smooth, and then, we have enough regularity to apply our energy estimates to obtain estimates uniform in κ as in the proof of Proposition 1. The only difference is that for the regularized system there is the new term coming from the Laplacian but it can be treated again by using lemmas 4.11, 6.1 and F.1. Once we have obtained estimates uniform in the parameter κ we can let κ tend to zero and theorem 4.1 is proved.

5 Commutator estimates

In this section we will prove the estimates we used in section 4.2.2. We recall the main actors who arise in them. The function $A(x,t)$ is supposed to be smooth and with compact support in space. The function $\sigma(x,t)=\frac{1}{1+A(x,t)^2}$. The Kernel $K_{A(x)}(y)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&K_A(y)\\&=\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon^2}\left\{-2Ay\arctan(A)+(2\varepsilon+Ay)\arctan\left(\frac{2\varepsilon+Ay}{y}\right)\right.\\&+(Ay-2\varepsilon)\arctan\left(\frac{Ay-2\varepsilon}{y}\right)+y\log\left(y^2(1+A^2)\right)\\&\left.-\frac{y}{2}\log\left(y^2+(2\varepsilon+Ay)^2\right)-\frac{y}{2}\log\left(y^2+(Ay-2\varepsilon)^2\right)\right\}\end{aligned}$$

and $K_A\otimes f(x)$ means

$$K_A\otimes f(x)\equiv\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}K_{A(x)}(y)f(x-y)dy=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}K_{A(x)}(x-y)f(y)dy$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{K}_{A(x)}(\xi)\\&=\frac{-i\text{sign}(\xi)}{2\pi|\xi|t}\left\{1+\frac{1}{4\pi|\xi|t}\left(e^{-4\pi|\xi|t\sigma(x)}\left(\cos(4\pi|\xi|t\sigma(x)A(x))\right.\right.\right.\\&\qquad\left.\left.\left.-A(x)\sin(4\pi|\xi|t\sigma(x)A(x))\right)-1\right)\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

We also use the notation

$$K(x;\, y) = K_{A(x)}(y).$$

We also define

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}^{-1}f}(x,t) = \frac{1}{1+t|\xi|}\hat{f}(\xi,t) \qquad\qquad \widehat{D^{-1}f}(x,t) = \frac{1}{1+2\pi it\xi}\hat{f}(\xi,t).$$

The pseudo-differential operator $\mathfrak{c}_1 f(x,t)$ and $\mathfrak{c}_2 f(x,t)$ are given by the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{c}_1 f(x,t) &\equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i\xi x} m(\xi,x,t)\left(\hat{K}_{A(x)}(\xi)\widehat{\partial_x f}(\xi,t) - \mathcal{F}\left[K_A \otimes \partial_x f\right](\xi,t)\right) d\xi,\\
\mathfrak{c}_2 f(x,t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} m(\xi,x,t)\left(a(x,t)\widehat{\partial_x f} - \mathcal{F}[a\partial_x f](\xi,t)\right) d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$m(\xi,x,t) = e^{-H(t|\xi|,A(x,t))}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&H(t|\xi|, A(x,t))\\
&= \int_0^{t|\xi|} \frac{1}{\tau}\left\{1 + \frac{1}{4\pi\tau}\left(e^{-4\pi\tau\sigma}\left(\cos(4\pi\tau\sigma A) - A\sin(4\pi\tau\sigma A)\right) - 1\right)\right\} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

It will be also convenient to use the following convection. Given a function, f, depending on two variables, x and y we will write $f(x;\, y)$ to indicate that

$$\hat{f}(x;\, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x;\, y) e^{-2\pi i\xi y} dy$$

is the Fourier transform of f with respect to the variable after the ";" symbol. In the same way

$$\partial f(x;\, y)$$

will be the derivative with respect to the variable after the ";" symbol.

Then the expression (5.1) can be written

$$\hat{K}_{A(x)}(\xi) = \hat{K}(x;\, \xi).$$

Finally $\langle A\rangle$ will denote some polynomial function evaluated in

$$(1 + ||A||_{H^3}) e^{C||A||_{H^3}}. \tag{5.2}$$

5.1 The commutator $\mathfrak{c}_1 f$

Lemma 5.1 *Let $f(\cdot,t)$ a distribution such that, its Fourier transform $\hat{f}(\cdot,t)$ is a function and*

$$\left|\left|\frac{1}{1+t|\cdot|}\hat{f}(\cdot,t)\right|\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$$

for every $t \in [0,T]$, for some $T > 0$. Then

$$||\mathfrak{c}_1 f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2} \leq \langle A\rangle \left|\left|\mathcal{D}^{-1} f(\cdot,t)\right|\right|_{L^2}$$

Proof: We will assume that, for every fixed $t \in [0,T]$, $f(\cdot,t) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. To begin with we will split $\mathfrak{c}_1 f(x)$ in two parts

$$\mathfrak{c}_1 f(x) = \mathfrak{c}_1 f_1(x) + \mathfrak{c}_1 f_2(x)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{c}_1 f_1 = &\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} \tilde{m}(\xi,x,t) \frac{1+2\pi i t\xi}{1+t|\xi|} \\
&\times \left(\hat{K}(x;\,\xi) \mathcal{F}\left[\partial_x D^{-1} f\right](\xi) - \mathcal{F}\left[K_A \otimes \partial_x D^{-1} f\right](\xi) \right) d\xi, \\
\mathfrak{c}_1 f_2 = &\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} \tilde{m}(\xi,x,t) \frac{1+2\pi i t\xi}{1+t|\xi|} \\
&\times \left(\mathcal{F}\left[K_A \otimes \partial_x D^{-1} f\right](\xi) - \mathcal{F}\left[D^{-1} K_A \otimes D\partial_x D^{-1} f\right](\xi) \right) d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

and where $\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)$ is given by

$$\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t) = e^{-H(x,\,t|\xi|)+\log(1+t|\xi|)}.$$

To make the notation more compact we also define

$$m^\sharp(\xi,x,t) = \tilde{m}(\xi,x,t) \frac{1+2\pi i t\xi}{1+t|\xi|}.$$

Estimation for $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_1$:

We first bound the L^2-norm of $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_1$. The proof of this bound starts with the same strategy that the proof of theorem 2 in [21]. We write

$$g(x) = D^{-1} f(x)$$

and notice that, on one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{K}_{A(x)} \widehat{\partial_x g}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i z\xi} K(x;\,z) dz \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i y\xi} \partial_y g(y) dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i y\xi} \partial_y g(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i z\xi} K(x;\,z) dz dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_y g(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x; z-y) e^{-2\pi i z\xi} dz\, dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where in order to obtain the last equality we just make the change of variable $z = z' - y$.

On the other hand

$$\mathcal{F}[K_A \otimes \partial_x g](\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i z\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(z;\,z-y) \partial_y g(y) dy,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{K}_{A(x)} \widehat{\partial_x g}(\xi) - \mathcal{F}[K_A \otimes \partial_x g](\xi) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i z\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(K(x;\,z-y) - K(z;\,z-y) \right) \partial_y g(y) dy\, dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mathfrak{c}_1 f_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i (x-z)\xi} \tilde{m}(\xi,x,t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(K(x;\,z-y) - K(z;\,z-y) \right) \partial_y g(y) dy\, dz\, d\xi.$$

Now we will integrate by parts to gain a derivative in the function g. This gain will cost a factor $\frac{1}{t}$ that it is the main problem we have to deal with in this estimate. Indeed

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x;\, z-y)\partial_y g(y)dy = \frac{1}{t}g(z) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{K}(x;\, z-y)g(y)dy,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{K}(x;y) = \frac{A(x)}{4\pi t^2}\left\{-2\arctan(A(x)) + \arctan\left(A(x) - \frac{2t}{y}\right) + \arctan\left(A(x) + \frac{2t}{y}\right)\right\}\\ + \frac{1}{4\pi t^2}\left\{\log\left(y^2(1+A(x)^2)\right) - \frac{1}{2}\log\left(y^2 + (-2t + A(x)y)^2\right)\right.\\ \left. -\frac{1}{2}\log\left(y^2 + (2t + A(x)y)^2\right)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

By using this inequality in the expression for $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_1$ we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{c}_1 f_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i(x-z)\xi} m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\tilde{K}(x;\, z-y) - \tilde{K}(z;\, z-y)\right)g(y)dy\,dz\,d\xi.$$

Now, as in the proof of theorem 2 in [21], we will use the identity

$$\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-z)}(1+\partial_\xi)e^{2\pi i(x-z)\xi} = e^{2\pi i(x-z)\xi}$$

to integrate by parts and yield

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{c}_1 f_1(\xi)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(x-z)\xi}\frac{m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)}\left(\tilde{K}(x;\, z-y) - \tilde{K}(z;\, z-y)\right)g(y)dy\,dz\,d\xi\\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(x-z)\xi}\frac{\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)}\left(\tilde{K}(x;\, z-y) - \tilde{K}(z;\, z-y)\right)g(y)dy\,dz\,d\xi\\ &\equiv \mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11}(x) + \mathfrak{c}_1 f_{12}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Estimation for $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11}$:

We will focus now in the term $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11}(x)$. Since

$$e^{2\pi i(x-z)\xi} = \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-z)}\partial_\xi e^{2\pi i(x-z)\xi}$$

and integration by parts yields

$$\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(x-z)\xi}\frac{\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)}\frac{\tilde{K}(x;\, z-y) - \tilde{K}(z;\, z-y)}{2\pi i(x-z)}g(y)dy\,dz\,d\xi$$

Writing the difference $\tilde{K}(x;\, z-y) - \tilde{K}(z;\, z-y)$ in the integral form

$$\int_z^x \partial_{x'}\tilde{K}(x';\, z-y)dx'$$

and defining the function

$$l(z-y;x') = \partial_{x'}\tilde{K}(x';z-y)$$

the term $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11}(x)$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11} = &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(x-z)\xi} \frac{\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-z)} \\
&\times \int_z^x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta) e^{2\pi i x'\eta} d\eta dx' g(y) dy\, dz\, d\xi \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(x-z)\xi} \frac{\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} \frac{1}{2\pi i(x-z)} \\
&\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta) \frac{e^{2\pi i x\eta} - e^{2\pi i z\eta}}{2\pi i\eta} d\eta g(y) dy\, dz\, d\xi \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(x-z)\xi} e^{2\pi i z\eta} \frac{\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta} \\
&\times \hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta) g(y) d\eta dy dz d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

To compute the L^2-norm of $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11}$ we take the scalar product of this term with $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and we will use the fourier transform of v to write

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11},\, v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{2\pi i x(\xi+\lambda)} e^{2\pi i z(\eta-\xi)} \frac{\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta} \\
&\quad \times \hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta) g(y) \hat{v}(\lambda) d\eta dy dz d\xi dx d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

An integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11},\, v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{2\pi i x(\xi+\lambda)} e^{2\pi i z(\eta-\xi)} (1-\partial_x) \left(\frac{\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta} \right) \hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta) \\
&\quad \times g(y) \frac{\hat{v}(\lambda)}{1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda)} d\eta dy dz d\xi dx d\lambda.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Expanding the term

$$(1-\partial_x) \left(\frac{\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta} \right)$$

we find three different kind of terms that will be bound separately

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\partial_x) \left(\frac{\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta} \right) \\
&= \partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t) \left(\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} + \frac{2\pi i}{(1+2\pi i(x-z))^2} \right) \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta} \\
&- \partial^2_{x\xi} m^\sharp(\xi,x,t) \frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta} \\
&- \partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t) \frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} \frac{2\pi i(x-z)\eta e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta} - e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta} + 1}{(2\pi i(x-z)\eta)^2} \eta.
\end{aligned}$$

This expansion gives rise to the following splitting

$$(\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11}, v) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \tag{5.4}$$

The term I_1 in (5.4). For the I_1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}|I_1| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{2\pi i x(\xi+\lambda)} e^{2\pi i z(\eta-\xi)} \partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t) \left(\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} + \frac{2\pi i}{(1+2\pi i(x-z))^2} \right) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. \times \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta} \hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta) g(y) \frac{\hat{v}(\lambda)}{1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda)} d\eta dy dz d\xi dx d\lambda. \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t) e^{2\pi i x\xi} G(\xi;x) h(\xi,x) dx d\xi \right|\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}G(\xi;x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} & e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)z} \left(\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} + \frac{2\pi i}{(1+2\pi i(x-z))^2} \right) \\ & \times \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta} \hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta) g(y) d\eta dz dy\end{aligned}$$

and

$$h(\xi,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\lambda} \frac{1}{1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda)} \hat{v}(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Next we compute the derivative of $\tilde{m}$ with respect to ξ. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_\xi \tilde{m}(\xi,x,t) \\ &= -t\mathrm{sign}(\xi) \left(\frac{1}{t|\xi|} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{4\pi t|\xi|} \left(e^{-4\pi t|\xi|\sigma} \left(\cos(4\pi t|\xi|\sigma A) - A\sin(4\pi t|\xi|\sigma A) \right) - 1 \right) \right\} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{1+t|\xi|} \right) \tilde{m}(\xi,x,t).\end{aligned}$$

In addition

$$\partial_\xi \frac{1+2\pi i t\xi}{1+t|\xi|} = t \left(\frac{2\pi i}{1+t|\xi|} - \frac{\mathrm{sign}(\xi)+2\pi i t|\xi|}{(1+t|\xi|)^2} \right).$$

Therefore

$$\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t) \equiv t b(\xi,x,t)$$

with $b(\xi,x,t) \in L^\infty[\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times[0,T)]$ thanks to lemma F.1. (here $A = A(x,t)$ and $\sigma = \sigma(x,t)$). Thank to this factor t we will be able to prove the lemma.

Just applying Hölder inequality yields

$$I_1 \leq \langle A \rangle ||tG||_{L^2\times L^2} ||h||_{L^2\times L^2}.$$

And because of lemma 3.1 in [21] we know that

$$||h||_{L^2\times L^2} \leq C||v||_{L^2}.$$

Then it remains to prove that

$$||tG||_{L^2\times L^2} \leq \langle A \rangle ||g||_{L^2}.$$

In order to do it we first take Fourier transform in x-variable in such a way that

$$||G(\xi;\,x)||_{L^2(d\xi\times dx)} = ||\hat{G}(\xi;\,\alpha)||_{L^2(d\xi\times dx)}.$$

by Plancherel's theorem. Then we are concerned with the L^2- estimate of

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\xi;\alpha) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)z} e^{-2\pi i x\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} + \frac{2\pi i}{(1+2\pi i(x-z))^2}\right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta} \hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta) g(y) d\eta dz dy dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi-\alpha)z} e^{-2\pi i x\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1+2\pi i x} + \frac{2\pi i}{(1+2\pi i x)^2}\right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{e^{2\pi i x\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2 x\eta} \hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta) g(y) d\eta dz dy dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we did the change of variables $x' = x - z$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\xi;\alpha) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)z} e^{-2\pi i x\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-z)} + \frac{2\pi i}{(1+2\pi i(x-z))^2}\right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta} \hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta) g(y) d\eta dz dy dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi-\alpha)z} e^{-2\pi i x\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1+2\pi i x} + \frac{2\pi i}{(1+2\pi i x)^2}\right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{e^{2\pi i x\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2 x\eta} \hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta) g(y) d\eta dz dy dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{S}(\eta;\,\xi+\alpha-\eta)\hat{\psi}(\eta;\,\alpha) d\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\eta;\,z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{l}(z-y;\eta) g(y) dy \\
\psi(\eta;\,x) &= \left(\frac{1}{1+2\pi i x} + \frac{2\pi i}{(1+2\pi i x)^2}\right) \frac{e^{2\pi i x\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2 x\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Once we have arrived to this expression for $\hat{G}(\xi;\alpha)$ we take $L^2 \times L^2$-norm and bound it in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
&||tG||^2_{L^2\times L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} t\hat{S}(\eta;\xi+\alpha-\eta)\psi(\eta;\alpha) \right|^2 d\alpha d\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+2\pi i\eta) t\hat{S}(\eta;\xi+\alpha-\eta)\psi(\eta;\alpha) \frac{1}{1+2\pi i\eta} d\eta \right|^2 d\alpha d\xi \\
&\leq \left|\left| \frac{1}{1+2\pi i\eta} \right|\right|^2_{L^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(1+2\pi i\eta)|^2 \left| t\hat{S}(\eta\,\xi+\alpha-\eta) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}(\eta;\alpha) \right|^2 d\eta d\xi d\alpha \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(1+2\pi i\eta)|^2 \left| t\hat{S}(\eta;\,\xi) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}(\eta;\alpha) \right|^2 d\xi d\alpha d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{\psi}(\eta;\,\alpha)|^2 d\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(\eta;\,x)|^2 dx \leq C.$$

where the constant C does not depend on η. Thus

$$||tG||^2_{L^2\times L^2} \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|(1+2\pi i\eta)t\hat{S}(\eta;\xi)\right|^2 d\xi d\eta$$
$$= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|(1+2\pi i\eta)tS(\eta;z)|^2\, dzd\eta$$

In addition

$$(1+2\pi i\eta)tS(\eta;z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{F}[(1+\partial)tl(z-y;x)](z-y;\eta)g(y)dy,$$

and again we have the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|(1+2\pi i\eta)tS(\eta;z)|^2\, dzd\eta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(1+\partial_x)tl(z-y;x)g(y)dy\right|^2 dzdx.$$

Let's call $Q(z-y;x) = (1+\partial_x)tl(z-y;x)$. Since

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}Q(z-y;x)g(y)dy\right| \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|Q(z-y;x)|dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|Q(z-y;x)|\,|g(y)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}Q(z-y;x)g(y)dy\right|^2 dz \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}|Q(y;x)|dy\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|Q(z-y;x|\,|g(y)|^2 dzdy$$
$$= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|Q(z;x)|dz\right)^2||g||^2_{L^2}.$$

This last estimate yields

$$||tG||^2_{L^2\times L^2} \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|Q(x;z)|dz\right)^2 dx\right)||g||^2_{L^2}.$$

We recall that $Q(x;z) = (1+\partial_x)\partial_x t\tilde{K}(x;z)$ and then by lemma F.5

Then we have proven that

$$|I_1| \leq \langle A\rangle||v||_{L^2}||g||_{L^2}.$$

The term I_2 in (5.4). The term I_2 is given by the expression

$$I_2 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^6}e^{2\pi x(\xi+\lambda)}e^{2\pi iz(\eta-\xi)}\partial^2_{x\xi}\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-z)}\frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta}$$
$$\hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta)g(y)\frac{\hat{v}(\lambda)}{1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda)}d\eta dydzd\xi dxd\lambda.$$

Therefore

$$|I_2| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\partial^2_{\xi\, x}\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)e^{2\pi ix\xi}G(\xi;x)h(\xi,x)dxd\xi\right|$$

where

$$G(\xi;x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)z}\left(\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-z)}\right)\\ \times\frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta}\hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta)g(y)d\eta dzdy$$

and

$$h(\xi,x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi ix\lambda}\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda)}\hat{v}(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

Then, lemma F.1 and similar computations that those one for I_1 yields

$$|I_2|\leq\langle A\rangle||g||_{L^2}||v||_{L^2}.$$

The estimate for I_3 in (5.4). To bound the term I_3 we follow the same steps than for I_2. The only difference is than in this case we need to charge an extra derivative in x on $l(z-y;x)$. Indeed

Estimation for $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{12}$:

We recall the definition of $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{12}$.

$$\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{12}\\ =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(x-z)\xi}\frac{\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)}\left(\tilde{K}(x;\,z-y)-\tilde{K}(z;\,z-y)\right)g(y)dy\,dz\,d\xi.$$

Let us describe the main differences between the bound of $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{12}$ y $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11}$. Since in $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{12}$ the derivative with respect to ξ already arises we do not have to integrate by parts to get an extra factor t as we did for $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11}$. The rest of the proof is similar but we have to deal with one derivative less in the $l(z-y;\,x)$. We will discuss this point in detail. By making the same computation that we did for $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11}$ we can write that

$$(\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{12},v)\\ =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{2\pi ix(\xi+\lambda)}e^{2\pi iz(\eta-\xi)}(1-\partial_x)\left(\frac{\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)}\frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{2\pi i\eta}\right)\\ \times\hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta)g(y)\frac{\hat{v}(\lambda)}{1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda)}d\eta dydzd\xi dxd\lambda.$$

At this point we recall that

$$l(z-y;\,x)=\partial_x\tilde{K}(x;\,z-y)=\partial_x\left(\tilde{K}(x;\,z-y)-\tilde{K}(\infty;\,z-y)\right)\equiv\partial_x\tilde{K}_c(x;\;z-y),$$

where

$$\tilde{K}(\infty;\,z-y)\equiv\lim_{x\to\infty}\tilde{K}(x;\,z-y)=\lim_{x\to-\infty}\tilde{K}(x;\,z-y).$$

Thus if we define $L(z-y;x)\equiv\tilde{K}_c(x;z-y)$ we can write

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i\eta}\hat{l}(z-y;\eta)=\hat{L}(z-y;\eta)$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{12},v)$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{2\pi i x(\xi+\lambda)} e^{2\pi i z(\eta-\xi)}(1-\partial_x)\left(\frac{\partial_\xi m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-z)}\frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{2\pi i}\right)\hat{L}(z-y;\,\eta)$$
$$\times\, g(y)\frac{\hat{v}(\lambda)}{1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda)}d\eta dy dz d\xi dx d\lambda.$$

This expression is equivalent to (5.3) but with $\hat{l}(z-y;\,\eta)$ replace by $\hat{L}(z-y;\,\eta)$ and

$$\frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{(2\pi i)^2(x-z)\eta} \qquad \text{by} \qquad \frac{e^{2\pi i(x-z)\eta}-1}{2\pi i}.$$

Thus similar computation apply and we can prove an estimate for $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{12}$ following the same steps that we did for $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11}$ if we control

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t|\tilde{K}_c(x;z)|dz, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} t|\partial_x\tilde{K}_c(x;z)|dz, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} t|\partial_x^2\tilde{K}_c(x;z)|dz$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Thanks to lemma F.6 we are able to bound these two terms.

Estimate for $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_2$:

The term $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_2$ was given by the expression

$$\mathfrak{c}_1 f_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} m^\sharp(\xi,x,t)\left(\mathcal{F}\left[K_A\otimes\partial_x g\right](\xi) - \mathcal{F}\left[D^{-1}K_A\otimes D\partial_x g\right](\xi)\right)d\xi,$$

where again

$$g = D^{-1}f.$$

By theorem 2 in [21] we can estimate

$$||\mathfrak{c}_1 f_2||_{L^2} \leq \langle A\rangle ||K_A\otimes\partial_x g - D^{-1}K_A\otimes D\partial_x g||_{L^2}.$$

In order to bound the L^2-norm of this commutator we proceed as follows. Since

$$K_A\otimes h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x;x-z)h(z)dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x;\,z)h(x-z)dz$$

we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x K_A\otimes h =& \int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_x K(x;z)h(x-z)dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x;z)\partial_x h(x-z)dz\\ \equiv& K_x\otimes h + K_A\otimes\partial_x h.\end{aligned}$$

where we have called

$$K_x\otimes h = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_x K(x;z)h(x-z)dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_x K(x;z')\right)\big|_{z'=x-z}h(z)dz.$$

Now we write

$$K_A\otimes Dh = K_A\otimes h + tK_A\otimes\partial_x h = DK_A\otimes h - tK_x\otimes h,$$

and taking $h=\partial_x g$ we find that

$$K_A\otimes\partial_x g - D^{-1}K_A\otimes D\partial_x g = D^{-1}K_x\otimes t\partial_x g.$$

Then

$$K_A \otimes \partial_x g - D^{-1}K_A \otimes D\partial_x g = D^{-1}K_x \otimes DD^{-1}t\partial_x g \equiv D^{-1}K_x \otimes DG,$$

where

$$G = D^{-1}t\partial_x g,$$

and therefore the L^2-norm of G is bounded by the L^2-norm of g.

As we did before

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x K_x \otimes G &= \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x K(x;z)G(x-z)dz \\ &= \int_R \partial_x^2 K(x;z)G(x-z)dz + K_x \otimes \partial_x G,\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}K_x \otimes DG =& K_x \otimes G + tK_x \otimes \partial_x G = DK_x \otimes G - t\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^2 G(x-z)dz \\ &\equiv DK_x \otimes G - tK_{xx} \otimes G.\end{aligned}$$

and we find that

$$D^{-1}K_x \otimes DG = K_x \otimes G - tD^{-1}K_{xx} \otimes G.$$

Then we have to bound $K_x \otimes G$ and $K_{xx} \otimes G$ in L^2. In order to do it we notice that

$$K_x \otimes G = \partial_x A \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_A K_A(x-y)G(y)dy = \partial_x A \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i\xi x}\partial_A \hat{K}_A(\xi)\hat{G}(\xi)d\xi$$

where, by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{K}_A(\xi) =& \frac{-i\text{sign}(\xi)}{2\pi|\xi|t}\left\{1 + \frac{1}{4\pi|\xi|t}\left(e^{-4\pi|\xi|t\sigma(x)}\left(\cos(4\pi|\xi|t\sigma(x)A(x))\right.\right.\right. \\ &\left.\left.\left. -A(x)\sin(4\pi|\xi|t\sigma(x)A(x))\right) - 1\right)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking L^∞-norm of $\partial_x A$ we see that is enough to estimate the pseudo-differential operator

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi}\partial_A \widehat{HK}_A(\xi)HG(\xi)d\xi$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{HK}_A(\xi) =& \frac{-1}{2\pi|\xi|t}\left\{1 + \frac{1}{4\pi|\xi|t}\left(e^{-4\pi|\xi|t\sigma(x)}\left(\cos(4\pi|\xi|t\sigma(x)A(x))\right.\right.\right. \\ &\left.\left.\left. -A(x)\sin(4\pi|\xi|t\sigma(x)A(x))\right) - 1\right)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

By using lemma F.1 and theorem 4.11 we have that

$$||K_x \otimes G||_{L^2} \leq \langle A\rangle ||G||_{L^2} \leq \langle A\rangle ||g||_{L^2}.$$

Similar steps also prove that

$$||K_{xx} \otimes G||_{L^2} \leq \langle A\rangle ||g||_{L^2}.$$

This conclude the proof of lemma 5.1. □

5.2 The commutator $\mathfrak{c}_2 f$

Lemma 5.2 *Let $f(\cdot,t)$ a distribution such that, its Fourier transform $\hat{f}(\cdot,t)$ is a function and*

$$\left|\left|\frac{1}{1+t|\cdot|}\hat{f}(\cdot,t)\right|\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}<\infty$$

for every $t\in[0,T]$, for some $T>0$. Then

$$||\mathfrak{c}_2 f(x,t)||_{L^2(dx)}\leq\langle A\rangle||a||_{H^3}\left|\left|\mathcal{D}^{-1}f(x,t)\right|\right|_{L^2(dx)}$$

Proof: We will assume that, for every fixed $t\in[0,T]$, $f(\cdot,t)\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. To begin with we will split $\mathfrak{c}_2 f(x,t)$ in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{c}_2 f(x,t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} m(\xi,x,t)2\pi i\xi\left(a(x,t)\hat{f}(\xi,t)-\widehat{af}(\xi,t)\right)d\xi\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} m(\xi,x,t)\widehat{f\partial_x a}(\xi,t)d\xi\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi}\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)2\pi i\xi\left(a(x,t)\widehat{\mathcal{D}^{-1}f}(\xi,t)-\mathcal{F}[a\mathcal{D}^{-1}f](\xi,t)\right)d\xi\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi}\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)2\pi i\xi\left(\mathcal{F}[a\mathcal{D}^{-1}f](\xi,t)-\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{D}^{-1}(af)]\right)d\xi\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi} m(\xi,x,t)\widehat{f\partial_x a}(\xi,t)d\xi\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi}\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)2\pi i\xi\left(a(x,t)\widehat{\mathcal{D}^{-1}f}(\xi,t)-\mathcal{F}[a\mathcal{D}^{-1}f](\xi,t)\right)d\xi\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi}\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)\left(\mathcal{F}[a\mathcal{D}^{-1}\partial_x f](\xi,t)-\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{D}^{-1}(a\partial_x f)]\right)d\xi\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi}\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)\mathcal{F}[\partial_x a\mathcal{D}^{-1}f](\xi,t)d\xi\\
&\equiv \mathfrak{c}_2 f_1+\mathfrak{c}_2 f_2+\mathfrak{c}_2 f_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimation of $\mathfrak{c}_2 f_1$:

We call $g(x,t)=\mathcal{D}^{-1}f(x,t)$. By integrating by parts we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{c}_2 f_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i(x-y)\xi}\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)2\pi i\xi(a(x)-a(y))g(y)dyd\xi\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i(x-y)\xi}(1-\partial_\xi)\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)2\pi i\xi(a(x)-a(y))\frac{g(y)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}dyd\xi\\
&\equiv \mathfrak{c}_2 f_{11}+\mathfrak{c}_2 f_{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimation of $\mathfrak{c}_2 f_{11}$.

In $\mathfrak{c}_2 f_{11}$ we will integrate by parts to obtain that

$$\mathfrak{c}_2 f_{11}=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i(x-y)\xi}\partial_\xi\left(\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)2\pi i\xi\right)\frac{a(x)-a(y)}{2\pi i(x-y)}\frac{g(y)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}dyd\xi,$$

where

$$b(\xi,x,t)=\partial_\xi\left(\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)2\pi i\xi\right)$$

belongs to $L^\infty \times L^\infty$ by lemma F.1. By using Fourier transform we see that

$$\frac{a(x)-a(y)}{x-y} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\partial_x a}(\eta) \frac{e^{2\pi i \eta x} - e^{2\pi i \eta y}}{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} d\eta.$$

Thus

$$\mathfrak{c}_2 f_{11} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i x \xi} e^{2\pi i (\eta-\xi) y} b(\xi, x, t) \widehat{\partial_x a}(\eta) \frac{e^{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} - 1}{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} \frac{g(y)}{1 + 2\pi i (x-y)} dy d\eta d\xi.$$

Multiplying and integrating by v we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathfrak{c} f_{11}, v) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{2\pi i x (\xi+\lambda)} e^{2\pi i (\eta-\xi) y} b(\xi, x, t) \widehat{\partial_x a}(\eta) \frac{e^{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} - 1}{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{g(y) \hat{v}(\lambda)}{1 + 2\pi i (x-y)} d\lambda dy d\eta d\xi dx d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{2\pi i x (\xi+\lambda)} e^{2\pi i (\eta-\xi) y} (1 - \partial_x) \left\{ \frac{b(\xi, x, t)}{1 + 2\pi i (x-y)} \frac{e^{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} - 1}{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} \right\} \widehat{\partial_x a}(\eta) \\
&\qquad \times \frac{g(y) \hat{v}(\lambda)}{1 + 2\pi i (\xi + \lambda)} d\lambda dy d\eta d\xi dx d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{2\pi i x (\xi+\lambda)} e^{2\pi i (\eta-\xi) y} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi i (x-y)} + \frac{2\pi i}{(1 + 2\pi i (x-y))^2} \right\} \frac{e^{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} - 1}{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} \widehat{\partial_x a}(\eta) \\
&\qquad \times \frac{g(y) \hat{v}(\lambda)}{1 + 2\pi i (\xi + \lambda)} d\lambda dy d\eta d\xi dx d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{2\pi i x (\xi+\lambda)} e^{2\pi i (\eta-\xi) y} \partial_x b(\xi, x, t) \widehat{\partial_x a}(\eta) \frac{e^{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} - 1}{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{g(y) \hat{v}(\lambda)}{1 + 2\pi i (x-y)} d\lambda dy d\eta d\xi dx d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{2\pi i x (\xi+\lambda)} e^{2\pi i (\eta-\xi) y} b(\xi, x, t) \widehat{\partial_x a}(\eta) \partial_x \frac{e^{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} - 1}{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{g(y) \hat{v}(\lambda)}{1 + 2\pi i (x-y)} d\lambda dy d\eta d\xi dx d\xi \\
&\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned}$$

The bound of these three terms is similar that those ones for $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{11}$ in the proof of lemma 5.1. We give the details of the estimation of I_1. By defining

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\xi; x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} &e^{2\pi i (\eta-\xi) y} \widehat{\partial_x a}(\eta) \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi i (x-y)} + \frac{2\pi i}{(1 + 2\pi i (x-y))^2} \right\} \\
&\frac{e^{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} - 1}{2\pi i \eta (x-y)} g(y) dy d\eta
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$h(\xi,x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\lambda}\frac{\hat{v}(\lambda)}{1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda)}d\lambda$$

we can write I_1 in the following way

$$I_1=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i x\xi}b(\xi,x,t)G(\xi;x)h(\xi,x)dxd\xi.$$

And then, by lemma F.1

$$|I_1|\leq\langle A\rangle||G||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}.$$

In addition $||G||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}=||\hat{G}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{G}(\xi;\alpha)\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)y}\widehat{\partial_x a}(\eta)g(y)\\
&\times\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i x\alpha}\left\{\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}+\frac{2\pi i}{(1+2\pi i(x-y))^2}\right\}\frac{e^{2\pi i\eta(x-y)}-1}{2\pi i\eta(x-y)}dxdyd\eta\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i y(\eta-\xi-\alpha)}\widehat{\partial_x a}(\eta)g(y)\hat{\psi}(\eta;\alpha)dyd\eta\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\hat{g}(\xi-\eta+\alpha)\widehat{\partial_x a}(\eta)\hat{\psi}(\eta;\alpha)d\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(\eta;x)=\left\{\frac{1}{1+2\pi i x}+\frac{2\pi i}{(1+2\pi i x)^2}\right\}\frac{e^{2\pi i\eta x}-1}{2\pi i\eta x}.$$

Thus we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
||\hat{G}||^2_{L^(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\hat{g}(\xi-\eta+\alpha)\widehat{\partial_x a}(\eta)\hat{\psi}(\eta;\alpha)d\eta\right|^2 d\alpha d\xi,\\
&\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\mathcal{F}[(1+\partial_x)\partial_x a](\eta)|^2\,|\hat{g}(\xi-\eta+\alpha)|^2\left|\hat{\psi}(\eta;\alpha)\right|^2 d\alpha d\eta d\xi\\
&\leq\sup_{\eta}||\psi(\eta;\cdot)||^2_{L^2}||(1+\partial_x)\partial_x a||^2_{L^2}||g||^2_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

With this estimation we finish the proof of the bound for the L^2-norm of $\mathfrak{c}_2 f_{11}$.

Estimation of $\mathfrak{c}_2 f_{12}$*:*

This estimate follows similar steps that the estimation for $\mathfrak{c}_1 f_{12}$ with the same differences that in the previous estimate.

Estimation of $\mathfrak{c}_2 f_2$*:*

By applying theorem 4.11 and calling again $g=\mathcal{D}^{-1}f$ we have that

$$||\mathfrak{c}f_2||_{L^2}\leq C||a\partial_x g-\mathcal{D}^{-1}\left(a\mathcal{D}\partial_x g\right)||_{L^2}.$$

By definition

$$a\mathcal{D}\partial_x g=a\partial_x g+ta\Lambda g_x=a\partial_x g+t\Lambda(a\partial_x)+t[a,\Lambda]\partial_x g=\mathcal{D}(a\partial_x g)+t[a,\Lambda]\partial_x g$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{D}^{-1}(a\mathcal{D}\partial_x g) - a\partial_x g = \mathcal{D}^{-1}[a,\Lambda]t\partial_x g$$

In addition we can write that

$$\mathcal{D}^{-1}(a\mathcal{D}\partial_x g) - a\partial_x g = \mathcal{D}^{-1}[a,\Lambda]t\partial_x g = \mathcal{D}^{-1}[a,\Lambda]\mathcal{D}t\partial_x\mathcal{D}^{-1}g,$$

and we call $h = t\partial_x\mathcal{D}^{-1}g$. Obviously $||h||_{L^2} \leq ||g||_{L^2}$. Then we have to estimate $\mathcal{D}^{-1}[a,\Lambda]\mathcal{D}h$ in L^2. In order to do it we compute

$$[a,\Lambda]\mathcal{D}h = [a,\Lambda]h + t[a,\Lambda]\Lambda h = \mathcal{D}[a,\Lambda]h + t\left([a,\Lambda]\Lambda h - \Lambda[a,\Lambda]h\right),$$

and we have that

$$\mathcal{D}^{-1}[a,\Lambda]\mathcal{D}h = [a,\Lambda]h + \mathcal{D}^{-1}t\left([a,\Lambda]\Lambda h - \Lambda[a,\Lambda]h\right).$$

Therefore we have an estimate in L^2. We present here the proof for completeness.

$$\begin{aligned}
&||[a,\Lambda]\Lambda h - \Lambda[a,\Lambda]h||_{L^2}^2 = ||\mathcal{F}[[a,\Lambda]\Lambda h - \Lambda[a,\Lambda]h]||_{L^2}^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\xi-\eta| - |\xi|\right)^2 \hat{f}(\xi-\eta)\hat{a}(\eta)d\eta \right|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi-\eta)|\widehat{\partial_x^2 a}(\eta)|d\eta \right|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{f}(\xi-\eta)|^2 |\mathcal{F}[(1+\partial_x)\partial_x^2 a](\eta)|^2 d\xi d\eta \\
&\leq C||f||_{L^2}^2||(1+\partial_x)\partial_x^2 a||_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimation for $\mathfrak{c}_2 f_3$:
The estimation of this term is a direct application of theorem 4.11 and lemma F.1.
Then the proof of lemma 5.2 is already finished. □

6 Coercivity of $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ for small t

In this section we will use the same notation than in section 5. We will prove the following lemma,

Lemma 6.1 *Let $f(\cdot,t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for every $t \in [0,T]$ for some $T > 0$. Then the pseudo-differential operator*

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}f(x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\xi}\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)\hat{f}(\xi)d\xi$$

satisfies

$$||\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}f(x)||_{L^2} \geq \langle A\rangle(1 - \langle A\rangle t)||f||_{L^2}.$$

*Proof:*We will assume that, for every fixed $t \in [0,T]$, $f(\cdot,t) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Let's define the pseudo-inverse

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i\xi x}\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)\hat{f}(\xi)d\xi,$$

where $\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)=\frac{1}{\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)}$. Then

$$f=(\mathbb{I}-\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})\,f+\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}f$$

and taking norms yields

$$||f||_{L^2}\leq||\mathbb{I}-\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}||_{L^2\to L^2}||f||_{L^2}+||\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}||_{L^2\to L^2}||\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}f||_{L^2}.$$

Thus we need to prove that

$$||\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I}||_{L^2\to L^2}\leq\langle A\rangle t. \tag{6.1}$$

In order to prove (6.1) we will write $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\mathfrak{m}f$ as follows

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\mathfrak{m}f=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta)}\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\hat{f}(\eta)d\eta dyd\xi.$$

In addition

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)&=(\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t))\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\\&\quad+\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t)(\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)-\tilde{m}(\eta,x,t))+\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t)\tilde{m}(\eta,x,t)\\&=(\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t))\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\\&\quad+\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t)(\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)-\tilde{m}(\eta,x,t))+1.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\,f(x)&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta)}(\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t))\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\hat{f}(\eta)d\eta dyd\xi\\&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta)}\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t)(\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)-\tilde{m}(\eta,x,t))\hat{f}(\eta)d\eta dyd\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Here is easy to check that the second term in right hand side is equal to zero. Then we have to bound in L^2 the operator

$$(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\,f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta)}(\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t))\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\hat{f}(\eta)d\eta dyd\xi.$$

In order to do it we proceed as follows

$$(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\,f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z)}(\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t))\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)f(z)dzd\eta dyd\xi,$$

and using that

$$\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(y-z)}(1+\partial_\eta)e^{2\pi i(y-z)\eta}=e^{2\pi i(y-z)\eta},$$

an integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}&(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\,f(x)\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z)}(1-\partial_\eta)\left\{(\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t))\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\right\}f(z)dzd\eta dyd\xi\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z)}\left\{(\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t))\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\right\}\frac{f(z)}{1+2\pi i(y-z)}dzd\eta dyd\xi\end{aligned}$$

$$+\underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z)}\partial_\eta\left(\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t)\right)\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t))\,\frac{f(z)}{1+2\pi i(y-z)}dzd\eta dyd\xi}_{=0}$$

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z)}\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)\partial_\eta\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\frac{f(z)}{1+2\pi i(y-z)}dzd\eta dyd\xi$$

$$=\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z)}\left\{(\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t))\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\right\}\frac{f(z)}{1+2\pi i(y-z)}dzd\eta dyd\xi$$

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z)}\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)\partial_\eta\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\frac{f(z)}{1+2\pi i(y-z)}dzd\eta dyd\xi$$

$$\equiv\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I}\right)f_1+\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I}\right)f_2.$$

Estimation for $\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I}\right)f_1$*:*
In order to estimate $\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I}\right)f_1$ we integrate again by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I}\right)f_1\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z)}(1-\partial_\xi)\left\{(\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t))\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\right\}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\frac{f(z)}{(1+2\pi i(y-z))(1+2\pi i(x-y))}dzd\eta dyd\xi\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z)}(\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x,t))\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\frac{f(z)}{(1+2\pi i(y-z))(1+2\pi i(x-y))}dzd\eta dyd\xi\\
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z)}\partial_\xi\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\frac{f(z)}{(1+2\pi i(y-z))(1+2\pi i(x-y))}dzd\eta dyd\xi\\
&\equiv\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I}\right)f_{11}+\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I}\right)f_{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimation for $\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I}\right)f_{11}$*:*
In order to bound $\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I}\right)f_{11}$ we define

$$h_f(y,\eta)\equiv\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i\eta z}\frac{f(z)}{1+2\pi i(y-z)}dz. \tag{6.2}$$

Then we have that

$$\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I}\right)f_{11}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta)}\frac{\left(\tilde{q}(\xi,x)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x)\right)\tilde{m}(\eta,y)h_f(y,\eta)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}d\eta dyd\xi.$$

Now we integrate by parts with respect to y in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I}\right)f_{11}(x)&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{-1}{2\pi i(\xi-\eta)}\partial_y e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta)}\frac{\left(\tilde{q}(\xi,x)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x)\right)\Gamma_f(y,\eta)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}dyd\eta d\xi\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta)}Q(\xi,\eta,x)\partial_y\left(\frac{\Gamma_f(y,\eta)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right)d\eta dyd\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Gamma_f(y,\eta)\equiv\tilde{m}(\eta,y)h_f(y,\eta),$$

$$Q(\xi,\eta,x) \equiv \frac{(\tilde{q}(\xi,x)-\tilde{q}(\eta,x))}{2\pi i(\xi-\eta)}.$$

And integrating again by parts yields

$$(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\, f_{11}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta)} \frac{Q(\xi,\eta,x)}{1+2\pi i(\eta-\xi)}(1-\partial_y)\left(\frac{\Gamma_f(y,\eta)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right) d\eta dy d\xi.$$

Now we proceed by duality

$$\begin{aligned}&((\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\, f_{11}, v) = \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta+\lambda x)} \frac{\hat{v}(\lambda)Q(\xi,\eta,x)}{1+2\pi i(\eta-\xi)}(1-\partial_y)\partial_y\left(\frac{\Gamma_f(y,\eta)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right) d\eta dy d\xi dx d\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

and integrating by parts in x we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&((\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\, f_{11}, v) = \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta+\lambda x)} \frac{\hat{v}(\lambda)}{1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda)}\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(\eta-\xi)} \\ &\times (1-\partial_x)\left(Q(\xi,\eta,x)(1-\partial_y)\partial_y\left(\frac{\Gamma_f(y,\eta)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right)\right) d\eta dy d\xi dx d\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

As usual we take

$$h(x,\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i\lambda x}\frac{}{1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda)} d\lambda,$$

and also,

$$\begin{aligned}G(\xi;x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)y}&\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(\eta-\xi)} \\ &\times (1-\partial_x)\left(Q(\xi,\eta,x)(1-\partial_y)\partial_y\left(\frac{\Gamma_f(y,\eta)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right)\right) d\eta dy.\end{aligned}$$

We then have that

$$\begin{aligned}&((\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\, f_{11}, v) = \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i x\xi} G(\xi;x)h(\xi;x) d\xi dx,\end{aligned}$$

so that we can estimate

$$|((\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\, f_{11}, v)| \leq ||G||_{L^2\times L^2}||h||_{L^2\times L^2} \leq C||G||_{L^2\times L^2}||v||_{L^2}.$$

Let us write $G(\xi;x)$ in the following way

$$G(\xi;x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1+2\pi i(\eta-\xi)} Q^\sharp(\xi,\eta,x) d\eta$$

with

$$Q^\sharp(\xi,\eta,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)y}(1-\partial_x)\left(Q(\xi,\eta,x)(1-\partial_y)\partial_y\left(\frac{\Gamma_f(y,\eta)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right)\right) dy$$

We will bound

$$|G(\xi;x)|^2 \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}} |Q^\sharp(\xi,\eta,x)|^2 d\eta$$

to obtain that

$$||G||_{L^2\times L^2} \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Q^\sharp(\xi,\eta,x)|^2 d\eta d\xi dx.$$

Now notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\partial_x)\left(Q(\xi,\eta,x)(1-\partial_y)\left(\frac{\Gamma_f(\eta,y)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right)\right)\\
&= Q(\xi,\eta,x)(1-\partial_y)\partial_y\left(\Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1-\partial_x)\left(\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right)\right)\\
&- \partial_x Q(\xi,\eta,x)(1-\partial_y)\partial_y\left(\frac{\Gamma_f(y,\eta)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Q^\sharp(\xi,\eta,x)|^2 d\eta d\xi dx\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)y}(1-\partial_x)\left(Q(\xi,\eta,x)(1-\partial_y)\partial_y\left(\frac{\Gamma_f(\eta,y)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right)\right)dy\right|^2 d\xi d\eta dx\\
&\leq ||Q||_{L^\infty\times L^\infty\times L^\infty}\\
&\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\int_R e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)y}(1-\partial_y)\partial_y\left(\Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1-\partial_x)\left(\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right)\right)dy\right|^2 d\eta d\xi dx\\
&+ ||\partial_x Q||_{L^\infty\times L^\infty\times L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)y}(1-\partial_y)\partial_y\left(\frac{\Gamma_f(y,\eta)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right)dy\right|^2 d\eta d\xi dx\\
&\equiv ||Q||_{L^\infty\times L^\infty\times L^\infty} I_1 + ||\partial_x Q||_{L^\infty\times L^\infty\times L^\infty} I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\partial_y)\partial_y\left(\Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1-\partial_x)\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right)\\
&= \partial_y\left(-\partial_y\Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1-\partial_x)\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)} + \Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1-\partial_y)(1-\partial_x)\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right)\\
&= \partial_y\left(-\partial_y\Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1-\partial_x)\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)} + \Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1+\partial_x)(1-\partial_x)\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right)\\
&= -\partial_y^2\Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1-\partial_x)\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)} - \partial_y\Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1-\partial_x)\partial_x\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\\
&+ \partial_y\Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1+\partial_x)(1-\partial_x)\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)} - \Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1+\partial_x)(1-\partial_x)\partial_x\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\\
&= \partial_y^2\Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1-\partial_x)\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)} + \partial_y\Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1-\partial_x)\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\\
&- \Gamma_f(y,\eta)(1+\partial_x)(1-\partial_x)\partial_x\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}.
\end{aligned}$$

and we define

$$g_1(x) = (1-\partial_x)\frac{1}{1+2\pi i x}$$
$$g_2(x) = (1-\partial_x^2)\partial_x\frac{1}{1+2\pi i x}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)y}\left(\partial_y^2\Gamma_f(y,\eta)+\partial_y\Gamma_f(y,\eta)\right)g_1(x-y)dy\right|^2 dxd\xi d\eta \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)y}\Gamma_f(y,\eta)g_2(x-y)dy\right|^2 dxd\xi d\eta \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)y}\left(\partial_y^2\Gamma_f(y,\eta)+\partial_y\Gamma_f(y,\eta)\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\alpha}g_1(x-y)dxdy\right|^2 d\alpha d\xi d\eta \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi)y}\Gamma_f(y,\eta)\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i x\alpha}g_2(x-y)dxdy\right|^2 d\alpha d\xi d\eta \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi+\alpha)y}\left(\partial_y^2\Gamma_f(y,\eta)+\partial_y\Gamma_f(y,\eta)\right)\hat{g}_1(\alpha)dy\right|^2 d\alpha d\xi d\eta \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i(\eta-\xi+\alpha)y}\Gamma_f(y,\eta)\hat{g}_2(\alpha)dy\right|^2 d\alpha d\xi d\eta
\end{aligned}$$

And integrating first in ξ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 = & ||g_1||_{L^2}^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i\xi y}\left(\partial_y^2\Gamma_f(y,\eta)+\partial_y\Gamma_f(y,\eta)\right)dy\right|^2 d\xi d\eta \\
& + ||g_2||_{L^2}^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i\xi y}\Gamma_f(y,\eta)dy\right|^2 d\xi d\eta \\
& \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(|\partial_y\Gamma_f(y,\eta)|^2+|\partial_y^2\Gamma(y,\eta)|^2+|\Gamma_f(y,\eta)|^2\right)dyd\eta \\
& \leq C\left(||\tilde{m}||_{L^\infty\times L^\infty\times L^\infty}, ||\partial_y\tilde{m}||_{L^\infty\times L^\infty\times L^\infty}, ||\partial_y^2\tilde{m}||_{L^\infty\times L^\infty\times L^\infty}\right)^2||f||_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The term I_2 can be bounded in a similar way. Now since

$$||Q||_{L^\infty\times L^\infty\times L^\infty},\ |||\partial_x Q||_{L^\infty\times L^\infty\times L^\infty} \leq t\langle A\rangle$$

we achieve a suitable estimate for the L^2-norm of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})f_{11}$.

Estimation for $(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})f_{12}$*:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})f_{12} \\
& = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z)}\partial_\xi\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t) \\
& \qquad\qquad \times\frac{f(z)}{(1+2\pi i(y-z))(1+2\pi i(x-y))}dzd\eta dyd\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product in L^2 of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})f_{12}$ with v we have that

$$\left((\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})f_{12},v\right)_{L^2}$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z+x\lambda)}\partial_\xi\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t) \times \frac{f(z)\hat{v}(\lambda)}{(1+2\pi i(y-z))(1+2\pi i(x-y))}dzd\eta dyd\xi d\lambda dx.$$

And an integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&((\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\,f_{12},v)_{L^2}\\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z+x\lambda)}(1-\partial_x)\left\{\frac{\partial_\xi\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\right\}\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\\
&\qquad\times\frac{f(z)\hat{v}(\lambda)}{(1+2\pi i(y-z))(1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda))}dzd\eta dyd\xi d\lambda dx\\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z+x\lambda)}\frac{\partial_\xi\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\\
&\qquad\times\frac{f(z)\hat{v}(\lambda)}{(1+2\pi i(y-z))(1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda))}dzd\eta dyd\xi d\lambda dx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z+x\lambda)}\frac{\partial_x\partial_\xi\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\\
&\qquad\times\frac{f(z)\hat{v}(\lambda)}{(1+2\pi i(y-z))(1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda))}dzd\eta dyd\xi d\lambda dx\\
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{2\pi i(x\xi-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z+x\lambda)}\frac{2\pi i\partial_\xi\tilde{q}(\xi,x,t)}{(1+2\pi i(x-y))^2}\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\\
&\qquad\times\frac{f(z)\hat{v}(\lambda)}{(1+2\pi i(y-z))(1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda))}dzd\eta dyd\xi d\lambda dx\\
&\equiv I_1+I_2+I_3.
\end{aligned}$$

The bound of these three terms is quite similar. We will give the details for I_1. We can write that

$$I_1 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i\xi x}\partial_\xi q(\xi,x,t)G(\xi;x)h(\xi,x)d\xi dx$$

where

$$G(\xi;x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i(-\xi y+y\eta-\eta z)}\frac{1}{1+2\pi i(x-y)}\tilde{m}(\eta,y,t)\frac{f(z)}{(1+2\pi i(y-z))}dzd\eta dy$$

and

$$h(\xi,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi ix\lambda}\frac{\hat{v}(\lambda)}{1+2\pi i(\xi+\lambda)}d\lambda$$

and then, because of lemma (F.1),

$$|I_1| \leq \langle A\rangle t||v||_{L^2}||G||_{L^2\times L^2}.$$

As we did previously we can obtain a suitable estimate for G in $L^2\times L^2$. This conclude the estimate for $(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\,f_{12}$. And the we have closed the estimate for $(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\,f_1$.

Estimation of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\,f_2$*:*

In order to bound $(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathbb{I})\,f_2$ in L^2 we proceed as follows

$$(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} - \mathbb{I})\, f_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(x\xi - \xi y + y\eta)} \tilde{q}(\xi, x, t) \partial_\eta \tilde{m}(\eta, y, t) h_f(y, \eta) dy d\eta d\xi$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i(x\xi - \xi y + y\eta)} (1 - \partial_\xi) \tilde{q}(\xi, x, t) \partial_\eta \tilde{m}(\eta, y, t) \frac{h_f(y, \eta)}{1 + 2\pi i(x - y)} dy d\eta d\xi$$

with h_f as in (6.2). Now we proceed by duality

$$((\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} - \mathbb{I})\, f_2, v)$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{2\pi i(x\xi - \xi y + y\eta + \lambda x)} (1 - \partial_\xi) \tilde{q}(\xi, x, t) \partial_\eta \tilde{m}(\eta, y, t) \frac{h_f(y, \eta) \hat{v}(\lambda)}{1 + 2\pi i(x - y)} dy d\eta d\xi \lambda dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{2\pi i(x\xi - \xi y + y\eta + \lambda x)} (1 - \partial_x) \left((1 - \partial_\xi) \frac{\tilde{q}(\xi, x, t)}{1 + 2\pi i(x - y)} \right) \partial_\eta \tilde{m}(\eta, y, t) h_f(y, \eta) h(x, \xi) dy d\eta d\xi dx.$$

We split

$$(1 - \partial_x) \left((1 - \partial_\xi) \frac{\tilde{q}(\xi, x, t)}{1 + 2\pi i(x - y)} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi i(x - y)} (1 - \partial_x)(1 - \partial_\xi) \tilde{q}(\xi, x, t) - (1 - \partial_\xi) \tilde{q}(\xi, x, t) \partial_x \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi i(x - y)}. \tag{6.3}$$

We break $((\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} - \mathbb{I})\, f_2, v)$ into two parts I_1 and I_2 corresponding to each term on the right hand side of (6.3). The bound for I_1 and I_2 runs similar steps. We focus on

$$I_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(x\xi - \xi y + y\eta)} Q(\xi, x, t) \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi i(x - y)} \partial_\eta \tilde{m}(\eta, y, t) h_f(y, \eta) h(x, \xi) dy d\eta d\xi dx,$$

where $Q(\xi, x, t) = (1 - \partial_x)(1 - \partial_\xi)\tilde{q}(\xi, x, t)$. We can bound

$$|I_1| \leq ||Q||_{L^\infty \times L^\infty \times L^\infty} ||G||_{L^2 \times L^2} ||h||_{L^2 \times L^2},$$

where

$$G(\xi; x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{2\pi i(-\xi y + y\eta)} \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi i(x - y)} \partial_\eta \tilde{m}(\eta, y, t) h_f(y, \eta) dy d\eta.$$

Thus we have to bound $||G||_{L^2 \times L^2} = ||\hat{G}||_{L^2 \times L^2}$ and

$$||\hat{G}||^2_{L^2 \times L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i(-\xi y + y\eta)} \partial_\eta \tilde{m}(\eta, y, t) h_f(y, \eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i x\alpha} \frac{1}{1 + 2\pi i(x - y)} dx dy d\eta \right|^2 d\alpha d\xi$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{\psi}(\alpha)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i(-\xi y + y\eta - \alpha y)} \partial_\eta \tilde{m}(\eta, y, t) h_f(y, \eta) dy d\eta \right| d\xi d\alpha$$
$$= ||\psi||^2_{L^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi i(-\xi y + y\eta)} \partial_\eta \tilde{m}(\eta, y, t) h_f(y, \eta) d\eta dy \right| d\xi$$
$$= ||\psi||^2_{L^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_\eta \tilde{m}(\eta, y, t) h_f(y, \eta)|^2 dy d\eta$$
$$\leq \langle A \rangle t^2 ||f||^2_{L^2}.$$

This finish the bound for $(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} - \mathbb{I})\, f_2$.

We then have achieved the conclusion of lemma 6.1. □

7 Mixing solutions in the stable regime

As discussed in the introduction our work was motivated by [30] where it is shown that in the case of horizontal interface there exists subsolutions in the unstable regime but it seems imposible to find them in the stable regime and perhaps they do not exist. Surprisingly, if the flat interface is not horizontal then one can construct mixing solutions with a straight initial interface in both the fully stable and the fully unstable regime. The proof runs along similar steps than the one in [30]. Even if we will need the machinery expose in section 3 to carry out this construction this section only expect to be a remark.

Let's consider the change of variables $\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda) = s\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{n}\lambda$, with $\mathbf{t} = \frac{(\mu_1,\mu_2)}{\sqrt{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}}$, $\mu_1 \geq 0$ and $\mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. We declare $\varepsilon = ct$, with $c > 0$ and $\Omega_{mix} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,:\, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(s,\lambda), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad -\varepsilon(t) < \lambda < \varepsilon(t)\}$. We define ρ, $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{m}$ through $\rho^\sharp = -\text{sign}(\sigma)\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}$, $\mathbf{u}^\sharp = -\frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}}\rho^\sharp\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{m}^\sharp = \rho^\sharp\mathbf{u}^\sharp - \gamma^\sharp\left(1-\left(\rho^\sharp\right)^2\right)\mathbf{n} - \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\left(\rho^\sharp\right)^2\right)(0,1)$, with $\gamma^\sharp \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $\sigma > 0$ yields an initial data in the stable regime and $\sigma < 0$ an initial data in the unstable regime. Formulas in lemma 4.3 then read

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f(x(s,\lambda)) =& \mathbf{t}\partial_s f^\sharp + \mathbf{n}\partial_\lambda f^\sharp\\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{f}(x(s,\lambda)) =& \mathbf{t}\cdot\partial_s\mathbf{f}^\sharp + \mathbf{n}\cdot\partial_\lambda\mathbf{f}^\sharp.\end{aligned}$$

Using this formulas is easy to check that $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} = 0$, $\nabla^\perp\cdot\mathbf{u} = -\partial_{x_1}\rho$ and $\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\rho = 0$. In addition, the equation $\partial_t\rho + \nabla\cdot\mathbf{m} = 0$ transforms to $\gamma^\sharp = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sqrt{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}} + \text{sign}(\sigma)c\right)$

If $\sigma < 0$ then we obtain from (4.4) the constrain $0 < c < 1 + \frac{\mu_1}{\sqrt{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}}$. If $\sigma > 0$ we obtain $0 < c < 1 - \frac{\mu_1}{\sqrt{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}}$, what give rise to a mixing solution in the stable regime but if the interface is flat and horizontal.

Acknowledgements

AC, DC and DF were partially supported by ICMAT Severo Ochoa projects SEV-2011-0087 and SEV-2015-556. AC and DC were partially supported by the grant MTM2014-59488-P (Spain) and DF by the grant MTM2014-57769-P-1 and MTM2014-57769-P-3 (Spain). AC and DF were partially supported by the ERC grant 307179-GFTIPFD. AC was partially supported by the Ramón y Cajal program RyC-2013-14317.

A The change of variables

In this appendix lemma 4.3 is proven. We present again here the statement.

Lemma A.1 *Let $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega_{mix}$, $f^\sharp(s,\lambda) = f(\boldsymbol{x}(s,\lambda))$ and $\boldsymbol{f}^\sharp(s,\lambda) = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}(s,\lambda))$ where f is a smooth function from O_M to $\mathbb{R}$ and $\boldsymbol{f}$ a smooth vector field from O_M to $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}(s,\lambda)) =& \frac{1}{\partial_s\boldsymbol{x}^\perp\cdot\partial_\lambda\boldsymbol{x}}\left(-\partial_\lambda\boldsymbol{x}^\perp\partial_s f^\sharp + \partial_s\boldsymbol{x}^\perp\partial_\lambda f^\sharp\right)\\ \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}(s\lambda)) =& \frac{1}{\partial_s\boldsymbol{x}^\perp\cdot\partial_\lambda\boldsymbol{x}}\left(-\partial_\lambda\boldsymbol{x}^\perp\cdot\partial_s\boldsymbol{f}^\sharp + \partial_s\boldsymbol{x}^\perp\cdot\partial_\lambda\boldsymbol{f}^\sharp\right)\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Differentiating the identity

$$f^\sharp(s,\lambda) = f(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda))$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_s f^\sharp(s,\lambda) =& \partial_{x_1} f(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda))\partial_s x_1 + \partial_{x_2} f(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda))\partial_s x_2\\ \partial_\lambda f^\sharp(s,\lambda) =& \partial_{x_1} f(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda))\partial_\lambda x_1 + \partial_{x_2} f(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda))\partial_\lambda x_2.\end{aligned}$$

We can write this expression in the following way

$$\nabla f^\sharp(s,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_s x_1 & \partial_s x_2 \\ \partial_\lambda x_1 & \partial_\lambda x_2 \end{pmatrix} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}(s,\lambda)),$$

and therefore

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}((s,\lambda))) = \frac{1}{\partial_s x_1 \partial_\lambda x_2 - \partial_s x_2 \partial_\lambda x_1} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\lambda x_2 & -\partial_s x_2 \\ -\partial_\lambda x_1 & \partial_s x_1 \end{pmatrix} \nabla f^\sharp(s,\lambda).$$

From this last expression we can conclude the first identity of the lemma. The second one then easily follows.

B The Kernel $K_\varepsilon(x,y)$

This part is concerned with the computation of the integral

$$K_\varepsilon(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{x-y}{(x-y)^2 + (\Delta f(x,y))^2 + (\lambda-\lambda')^2 + 2\Delta f(x,y)(\lambda-\lambda')} d\lambda' d\lambda,$$

First we shall calculate

$$\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{x-y}{(x-y)^2 + (\Delta f(x,y))^2 + (\lambda-\lambda')^2 + 2\Delta f(x,y)(\lambda-\lambda')} d\lambda',$$

by using the following formula.

Lemma B.1

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \frac{A+B\lambda}{C^2+\lambda^2+2D\lambda} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{A-BD}{\sqrt{C^2-D^2}} \arctan\left(\frac{\lambda+D}{\sqrt{C^2-D^2}}\right) + \frac{B}{2}\log\left(C^2+\lambda^2+2D\lambda\right)\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{d\lambda}\left(\frac{A-BD}{\sqrt{C^2-D^2}} \arctan\left(\frac{\lambda+D}{\sqrt{C^2-D^2}}\right) + \frac{B}{2}\log\left(C^2+\lambda^2+2D\lambda\right)\right)\\ &= \frac{A-BD}{\sqrt{C^2-D^2}} \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{C^2-D^2}}}{1+\left(\frac{\lambda+D}{\sqrt{C^2-D^2}}\right)^2} + B\frac{\lambda+D}{C^2+\lambda^2+2D\lambda}\\ &= \frac{A+B\lambda}{C^2+\lambda^2+2D\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Therefore, since

$$\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon-\lambda}^{\varepsilon-\lambda}\frac{x-y}{(x-y)^2+(\Delta f(x,y))^2+\lambda'^2-2\Delta f(x,y)\lambda'}d\lambda',$$

and taking in lemma B.1

$$A=x-y \qquad B=0$$
$$C=\sqrt{(x-y)^2+(\Delta f(x,y))^2} \qquad D=-(\Delta f(x,y))^2$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\frac{x-y}{(x-y)^2+(\Delta f(x,y))^2+(\lambda-\lambda')^2+2\Delta f(x,y)(\lambda-\lambda')}d\lambda'\\&=\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\left\{\arctan\left(\frac{\varepsilon-\lambda-\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)+\arctan\left(\frac{\varepsilon+\lambda+\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)\right\}\end{aligned}$$

Now we deal with the integral

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\left\{\arctan\left(\frac{\varepsilon-\lambda-\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)+\arctan\left(\frac{\varepsilon+\lambda+\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)\right\}d\lambda\\&=\frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\left\{-\arctan\left(\frac{\lambda}{x-y}-\frac{\varepsilon}{x-y}+\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)\right.\\&\qquad\left.+\arctan\left(\frac{\lambda}{x-y}+\frac{\varepsilon}{x-y}+\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)\right\}d\lambda\end{aligned}$$

By using that

$$\int\arctan(x)dx=x\arctan(x)-\frac{1}{2}\log(1+x^2)$$

and performing a change of variable we learn

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\arctan\left(\frac{\lambda}{x-y}+\frac{\varepsilon}{x-y}+\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)d\lambda=(x-y)\int_{\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}}^{2\frac{\varepsilon}{x-y}+\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}}\arctan(\lambda)d\lambda\\&=(x-y)\left\{\left(2\frac{\varepsilon}{x-y}+\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)\arctan\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{x-y}+\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)\right.\\&-\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\left(2\frac{\varepsilon}{x-y}+\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)^2\right)-\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\arctan\left(\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)\\&\left.+\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\left(\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)^2\right)\right\}\\&=(2\varepsilon+\Delta f(x,y))\arctan\left(\frac{2\varepsilon+\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)-\Delta f(x,y)\arctan\left(\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)\\&-\frac{x-y}{2}\log\left(\frac{(x-y)^2+(2\varepsilon+\Delta f(x,y))^2}{(x-y)^2}\right)+\frac{x-y}{2}\log\left(1+\left(\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)^2\right)\\&=(2\varepsilon+\Delta f(x,y))\arctan\left(\frac{2\varepsilon+\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)-\Delta f(x,y)\arctan\left(\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{x-y}{2}\log\left((x-y)^2+(2\varepsilon+\Delta f(x,y))^2\right)+\frac{x-y}{2}\log\left((x-y)^2+\Delta f(x,y)^2\right)$$

In a similar way we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\arctan\left(\frac{\lambda}{x-y}+\frac{\varepsilon}{x-y}+\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)d\lambda\\
&=\Delta f(x,y)\arctan\left(\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)-\frac{x-y}{2}\log\left((x-y)^2+\Delta f(x,y)^2\right)\\
&-(\Delta f(x,y)-2\varepsilon)\arctan\left(\frac{\Delta f(x,y)-2\varepsilon}{x-y}\right)+\frac{x-y}{2}\log\left((x-y)^2+(\Delta f(x,y)-2\varepsilon)^2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

And finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_\varepsilon(x,y)\\
&=\frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2}\left\{-2\Delta f(x,y)\arctan\left(\frac{\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)+(2\varepsilon+\Delta f(x,y))\arctan\left(\frac{2\varepsilon+\Delta f(x,y)}{x-y}\right)\right.\\
&(\Delta f(x,y)-2\varepsilon)\arctan\left(\frac{\Delta f(x,y)-2\varepsilon}{x-y}\right)+(x-y)\log\left((x-y)^2+\Delta f(x,y)^2\right)\\
&\left.-\frac{x-y}{2}\log\left((x-y)^2+(2\varepsilon+\Delta f(x,y))^2\right)-\frac{x-y}{2}\log\left((x-y)^2+(\Delta f(x,y)-2\varepsilon)^2\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

C Proof of lemma 4.7

In this appendix we prove lemma 4.7. In order to do it we will need to compute the derivatives of the function

$$k_\lambda(x,y)=\frac{y}{y^2+(\Delta f(x,x-y)+\lambda)^2}.$$

To make the notation more compact we will write $\Delta f=\Delta f(x,x-y)$ and $\partial_x^k f(x)=\partial_x^k f$. Then we have

$$\partial_x k_\lambda(x,y)=-2\frac{y(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x\Delta f}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^2}\equiv -2k_\lambda^{11}(x,y),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x^2 k_\lambda(x,y)=&-2\frac{y((\partial_x\Delta f)^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x^2\Delta f)}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^2}+8\frac{y((\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x\Delta f)^2}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^3}\\
&\equiv c_{21}k_\lambda^{21}(x,y)+c_{22}k_\lambda^{22}(x,y),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x^3 k_\lambda(x,y)=&-2y\frac{3\partial_x\Delta f\partial_x^2\Delta f+(\lambda+\Delta f)\partial_x^3\Delta f}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^2} &\text{(C.1)}\\
&+24y\frac{(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x\Delta f((\partial_x\Delta f)^2+(\lambda+\Delta f)\partial_x^2\Delta f)}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^3}\\
&-48y\frac{(\Delta f+\lambda)^3(\partial_x\Delta f)^3}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^4}\\
&\equiv c_{31}k_\lambda^{31}(x,y)+c_{32}k_\lambda^{32}(x,y)+c_{33}k_\lambda^{33}(x,y), &\text{(C.2)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_x^4 k_\lambda(x,y) =& 384y\frac{(\lambda+\Delta f)^4(\partial_x\Delta f)^4}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^5}\\
&- 288y\frac{(\lambda+\Delta f)^2(\partial_x\Delta f)^2((\partial_x\Delta f)^2+(\lambda+\Delta f)\partial_x^2\Delta f)}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^4}\\
&y\frac{24(\partial_x\Delta f)^4+144(\Delta f+\lambda)(\partial_x\Delta f)^2\partial_x^2\Delta f+24(\lambda+\Delta f)^2(\partial_x^2\Delta f)^2}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^3}\\
&+ y\frac{32(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\partial_x\Delta f\partial_x^3\Delta f}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^3}\\
&- y\frac{6(\partial_x^2\Delta f)^2+8\partial_x\Delta f\partial_x^3\Delta f-2(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x^4\Delta f)}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^2}\\
&\equiv c_{41}k_\lambda^{41}(x,y)+c_{42}k_\lambda^{42}(x,y)+c_{43}k_\lambda^{43}(x,y)+c_{44}k_\lambda^{44}(x,y),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_x^5 k_\lambda(x,y) =& -3840y\frac{(\Delta f+\lambda)^5(\partial_x\Delta f)^5}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^6}\\
&+ 3840y\frac{(\lambda+\Delta f)^3(\partial_x\Delta f)^5+(\Delta f+\lambda)^4(\partial_x\Delta f)^3\partial_x^2\Delta f}{(y^2+(\lambda+\Delta f)^2)^5}\\
&+ y\frac{240(\partial_x\Delta f)^3\partial_x^2\Delta f+360(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x\Delta f(\partial_x^2\Delta f)^2+240(\Delta f+\lambda)(\partial_x\Delta f)^2\partial_x^3\Delta f}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^4}\\
&+ y\frac{80(\lambda+\Delta f)^2\partial_x^2\Delta f\partial_x^3\Delta f+40(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\partial_x\Delta f\partial_x^4\Delta f}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^3}\\
&- y\frac{10\partial_x\Delta f\partial_x^4\Delta f+2(\lambda+\Delta f)\partial_x^5\Delta f}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^2}\\
&\equiv c_{51}k_\lambda^{51}(x,y)+c_{52}k_\lambda^{52}(x,y)+c_{53}k_\lambda^{53}(x,y)+c_{54}k_\lambda^{54}(x,y)+c_{55}k_\lambda^{55}(x,y),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we notice that the numbers c_{ij} $i,j=1,2,3,4,5$ are harmless coefficients. We can write

$$
\mathcal{M}u_2=\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon^2}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}}k_{\lambda-\lambda'}(x,y)\partial_x\Delta f(x,x-y)dyd\lambda' d\lambda,
$$

and we want to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left|\mathcal{D}^{-1}\left(\partial_x^5\mathcal{M}u_2-\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon^2}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}}k_{\lambda-\lambda'}(\cdot,y)\partial_x\Delta f(\cdot,\cdot-y)dyd\lambda' d\lambda\right)\right|\right|_{L^2}\\
&\leq C\left(||f||_{H^4},||\mathcal{D}^{-1}\partial_x^5 f||_{L^2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by applying Minkowsky inequality, we need to bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{5}\left|\left|\mathcal{D}^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_x^j k_{\lambda-\lambda'}(\cdot,y)\partial_x^{5-j}\partial_x\Delta f(\cdot,\cdot-y)dy\right|\right|_{L^2}\\
&=\sum_{j=1}^{5}\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left|\left|\mathcal{D}^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}k_{\lambda-\lambda'}^{ji}(\cdot,y)\partial_x^{5-j}\partial_x\Delta f(\cdot,\cdot-y)dy\right|\right|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}
$$

independently of $\lambda-\lambda'$. The highest order terms in this sum are given by

$$
\mathcal{D}^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}k_{\lambda-\lambda'}^{11}(x,y)\partial_x^5\Delta f(x,x-y)dy \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{D}^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}k_{\lambda-\lambda'}^{55}(x,y)\partial_x\Delta f(x,x-y)dy. \tag{C.3}
$$

Since there are 5 derivatives of the function f in both term we have to use the operator D^{-1}. The rest of the terms can be bounded in the following way

$$\sum_{j=2}^{5}\sum_{i=1}^{\min(j,4)}\left|\left|\mathcal{D}^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}k^{ji}_{\lambda-\lambda'}(\cdot,y)\partial_x^{5-j}\partial_x\Delta f(\cdot,\cdot-y)dy\right|\right|_{L^2} \tag{C.4}$$
$$\leq\sum_{j=2}^{5}\sum_{i=1}^{\min(j,4)}\left|\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}k^{ji}_{\lambda-\lambda'}(\cdot,y)\partial_x^{5-j}\partial_x\Delta f(\cdot,\cdot-y)dy\right|\right|_{L^2}$$

We split the proof into two parts. First we estimate the terms in the sum (C.4). After that we estimate both terms in (C.3).

We will use the following convection. We will write:

1. $\precsim$ meaning "is bounded in absolute value by ".
2. $f(x)\sim g(x)$ if $||f-g||_{L^2}\leq\langle A\rangle$.
3. $f(x)\approx g(x)$ if $||f-g||_{L^\infty}\leq\langle A\rangle$.
4. We will denote by $k^{ji}(x)$ the integral
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}k^{ji}_{\lambda}(x,y)\partial_x^{6-j}\Delta f dy.$$
5. $C_{k+\alpha}$ will be a constant depending on $||f||_{C^{k+\alpha}}$, with k an integer and $0\leq\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$. $C_{k+\alpha}(x)$ will be a function whose $L^\infty-$norm is bounded by a constant depending on $||f||_{C^{k+\alpha}}$.
6. Given an integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(x,y)dy$ we will denote $\int_{|y|>1}f(x,y)dy$ its $in-$part and $\int_{|y|<1}f(x,y)dy$ its $out-$part. Several terms $k^i_j(x)$, with i and j integers, will arise in the computations above. In these terms there always will be an integration of the form
$$k^i_j(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}...dy.$$
We will call $k^i_{j\,in}(x)$ and $k^i_{j\,out}(x)$ to its $in-$part and to its $out-$part respectively.
7. We will write $\Delta_\lambda f\equiv\Delta f(x,x-|\lambda|y)$.
8. We always assume that $\varepsilon<1$.
9. In every integral we take a principal value.

We will also use the lemmas below. Lemmas C.1, C.2, C.3 and C.4 will be use to prove lemmas C.5, C.7, C.9, C.11, C.13, C.6, C.8, C.10, C.12, and C.14. Lemmas C.5, C.7, C.9, C.11, C.13, C.6, C.8, C.10, C.12, and C.14 will be applied to bound in L^2 the functions $k^{ij}(x)$.

Lemma C.1 *There exist a constant $1<C_A<\infty$ depending only on the L^∞-norm of the $\partial_x f(x)$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{(y\pm\sigma(x)A(x))^2+\sigma(x)^2}\leq\mathcal{C}_A(y)\equiv\begin{cases}C_A & |y|\leq C_A\\ \frac{C_A}{y^2} & |y|>C_A\end{cases}\qquad\forall x\in\mathbb{R}$$

Here $A(x)=\partial_x f(x)$ and $\sigma(x)=\frac{1}{1+A(x)^2}$.

Proof: Along this proof C_A denotes a constant bigger that 1 and depending only in $||A||_{L^\infty}$. Firstly we notice that

$$\frac{1}{(y \pm \sigma(x)A(x))^2 + \sigma^2} \leq \frac{1}{(y \pm \sigma(x)A(x))^2 + \left(\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x)\right)^2},$$

where

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + ||A||^2_{L^\infty}} \equiv \sigma_{inf}.$$

Fixed x the function $\frac{1}{(y\pm\sigma(x)A(x))^2+(\sigma_{inf})^2}$ is a translation of the function $\frac{1}{y^2+(\sigma_{\inf})^2}$ which is bounded by $\frac{1}{(\sigma_{inf})^2}$ and decay like $\frac{C_A}{y^2}$. But $-C_A \leq \sigma(x)A(x) \leq C_A$ and then the conclusion of the lemma follows easily. □

Lemma C.2 *There exists a constant c_A which depend on $||f||_{C^1}$ such that for $|y| < c_A$ the following inequality holds:*

$$1 \pm \frac{\Delta f(x, x - |\lambda|y)}{|\lambda|y} y \geq \frac{1}{2}$$
$$1 \pm \partial_x f(x) y \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof: The proof is straightforward. □

Lemma C.3 *Given a kernel $J_\lambda(x, y)$ satisfying $|J_\lambda(x, y)| \leq j(y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$, with the integral*

$$I_\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_\lambda(x, y) f(x - \lambda y) dy$$

is bounded in L^2 by $||I_\lambda||_{L^2} \leq C(||j||_{L^1})||f||_{L^2}$.

Proof: Again the proof is straightforward by using Minkowsky inequality. □

Lemma C.4 *The following bounds follows*

$$\frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a} - \frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f + \lambda)^2\right)^a}$$
$$\precsim C_2 \sum_{l=-1}^{2(a-1)} \frac{|y|^{2a-l}|\lambda|^{l+1}}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a \left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^a}$$

for $a \geq 2$.

Proof: We just write that

$$\frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a} - \frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^a}$$
$$= \frac{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^a - \left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a \left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^a}$$

and, since, $c^a - b^a = (c-b)\sum_{l=1}^{a} c^{a-l}b^{l-1}$ for $c, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^a - \left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a \\
&= \left((\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2 - (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right) \sum_{l=1}^{a} \left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^{a-l} \left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^{l-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we introduce the expansions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left((\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2 - (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right) = (\partial_x f y - \Delta f)\,(\partial_x f y + \Delta f + 2\lambda) \\
&\precsim C_2 |y|^2 \,(|y| + |\lambda|)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^{a-l} = \sum_{i=0}^{a-l} c(i, a-l) y^{2(a-l-i)} (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^{2i} \\
&= \sum_{i=0,n=0}^{a-l,2i} c(i, a-l) c(n, 2i) y^{2(a-l)-n} (\partial_x f)^{2i-n} \lambda^n \\
&\precsim C_1 \sum_{i=0,n=0}^{a-l,2i} |y|^{2(a-l)-n} |\lambda|^n
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^{l-1} \\
&\precsim C_1 \sum_{j=0,m=0}^{l-1,2i} |y|^{2(l-1)-m} |\lambda|^m
\end{aligned}$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^a - \left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a \\
&\precsim C_2 |y|^2 (|y| + |\lambda|) \sum_{i=0,n=0}^{a-l,2i} \sum_{j=0,m=0}^{l-1,2i} |y|^{2(a-1)-(n+m)} |\lambda|^{n+m} \\
&\precsim C_2 |y|^2 (|y| + |\lambda|) |y|^{2(a-1)} \sum_{l=0}^{2(a-1)} |y|^{-l} |\lambda|^l = C_2 |y|^{2a} (|y| + |\lambda|) \sum_{l=0}^{2(a-1)} |y|^{-l} |\lambda|^l \\
&= C_2 y^{2a} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{2(a-1)} |y|^{-l+1} |\lambda|^l + \sum_{l=0}^{2(a-1)} |y|^{-l} |\lambda|^{l+l} \right) \\
&\precsim C_2 |y|^{2a} \sum_{l=-1}^{2(a-1)} |y|^{-l} |\lambda|^{l+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this last inequality is easy to achieve the conclusion of the lemma. □

Lemma C.5 *Let $g \in L^2$ and $a = 2, 3, 4$ or 5. Then*

$$k(x) = \int_{|y|<1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2a-1} |\lambda|^i |y|^{2a-1-i} \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a} - \frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f + \lambda)^2\right)^a} \right) g(x-y)dy$$

is in L^2 with L^2-norm bounded by $\langle A \rangle ||g||_{L^2}$.

Proof: By lemma C.4 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} k(x) \precsim & C_2 \int_{|y|<1} \sum_{i=0}^{2a-1} |\lambda|^i |y|^{2a-1-i} \\ & \times \sum_{l=-1}^{2(a-1)} \frac{|y|^{2a-l} |\lambda|^{l+1}}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a \left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^a} |g(x-y)|dy \\ = & C_2 \sum_{l=-1,\, i=0}^{2(a-1),\, 2a-1} \int_{|y|<1} \frac{|y|^{4a-1-(i+l)} |\lambda|^{i+l+1}}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a \left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^a} |g(x-y)|dy. \end{aligned}$$

We call

$$k_{l,\,i}(x) = \int_{|y|<1} \frac{|y|^{4a-1-(i+l)} |\lambda|^{i+l+1}}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a \left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^a} |g(x-y)|dy.$$

After a change of variables we have that

$$\begin{aligned} k_{l,\,i}(x) &= |\lambda| \int_{|y|<\frac{1}{|\lambda|}} \frac{|y|^{4a-1-l-i}}{\left(y^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta_\lambda f}{|\lambda| y} y + \mathrm{sign}(\lambda)\right)^2\right)^a \left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \mathrm{sign}(\lambda))^2\right)^a} g(x - |\lambda| y)dy \\ &= \int_{|y|<c_A} \ldots dy + \int_{c_A<|y|<\frac{1}{|\lambda|}} \ldots dy \end{aligned}$$

The integrand in $k_{l,\,i}(x)$ is bounded in $|y| < c_A$ by lemma C.2 for every $-1 \le l \le 2(a-1)$ and $0 \le i \le 2a-1$. In $|y| > c_A$, the integrand is bounded by $C|y|^{-1-i-l}$ for every $-1 \le l \le 2(a-1)$ and $0 \le i \le 2a-1$. Then Minkowsky inequality yields

$$||k_{l,\,i}||_{L^2} \le C_1 ||g||_{L^2} \left(1 + |\lambda| \int_{c_A \le |y| \le \frac{1}{|\lambda|}} |y|^{-1-i-l} dy\right) \le C_1 ||g||_{L^2}$$

for every $-1 \le l \le 2(a-1)$ and $0 \le i \le 2a-1$. □

Lemma C.6 *Let $a \ge 2$. Then*

$$\overline{k}(x) = \int_{|y|<1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2a-1} |\lambda|^i |y|^{2a-1-i} \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a} - \frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f + \lambda)^2\right)^a} \right) dy$$

is in L^∞ with L^∞-norm bounded by $\langle A \rangle$.

Proof: The proof follows the same steps than the proof of lemma C.5. We just need to replace $g(x-y)$ by 1. □

Lemma C.7 *Let $g \in L^2$ and $a \geq 2$. Then*

$$k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2a} \int_{|y|<1} \frac{|y|^{2a-i}|\lambda|^i}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a} |g(x-y)|dy$$

is in L^2 with L^2-norm bounded by $\langle A \rangle ||g||_{L^2}$.

Proof: We call $k_i(x) = \int_{|y|<1} \frac{|y|^{2a-i}|\lambda|^i}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^a} |g(x-y)|dy$. After a change of variables we have that

$$\begin{aligned} k_i(x) &= \int_{|y|<\frac{1}{|\lambda|}} |\lambda| \frac{|y|^{2a-i}}{\left(y^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta_\lambda f}{\lambda y} y + \text{sign}(\lambda)\right)^2\right)^a} |g(x - |\lambda| y)| dy \\ &= \int_{|y|<c_A} ... \, dy + \int_{c_A<|y|<\frac{1}{|\lambda|}} ... \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

For every $i = 0, ..., 2a$, in the region $|y| < c_A$ we can apply lemma C.2. In the region $c_A < |y| < \frac{1}{|\lambda|}$ we can estimate

$$\frac{|y|^{2a-i}}{\left(y^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta_\lambda f}{\lambda y} y + \text{sign}(\lambda)\right)^2\right)^a} \leq C_A |y|^{-i}$$

for every $i = 0, ..., 2a$. Then we can apply Minkowski inequality to prove the lemma. □

Lemma C.8 *Let $a \geq 2$. Then*

$$\overline{k}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2a} \int_{|y|<1} \frac{|y|^{2a-i}|\lambda|^i}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a} dy$$

is in L^∞ with L^∞-norm bounded by $\langle A \rangle$.

Proof: The proof follows the same steps that the proof of lemma C.7. We just need to replace $g(x-y)$ by 1. □

Lemma C.9 *Let $g \in L^2$ and $a = 2, ..., 10$. Then*

$$k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{2a-1} \int_{|y|<1} \frac{|y|^{2a-1-i}|\lambda|^i}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a} g(x-y)dy$$

is in L^2 with L^2-norm bounded by $\langle A \rangle ||g||_{L^2}$.

Proof: we call

$$k_i(x) = \int_{|y|<1} \frac{|y|^{2a-1-i}|\lambda|^i}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a} g(x-y)dy.$$

After a change of variable

$$k_i(x) = \int_{|y|<\frac{1}{|\lambda|}} \frac{|y|^{2a-1-i}}{\left(y^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta_\lambda f}{|\lambda| y} y + \text{sign}(\lambda)\right)^2\right)^a} g(x - |\lambda| y) dy$$

$$= \int_{|y|<c_A} \ldots \quad dy + \int_{c_A<|y|<\frac{1}{|\lambda|}} \ldots \quad dy.$$

In the region $|y| < c_A$ we can apply lemma C.2. In the region $c_A < |y| < \frac{1}{|\lambda|}$ the integrand $\precsim C|y|^{-1-i}|g(x - |\lambda|y)|$ and we can apply C.3 (notice that $i > 0$). □

Lemma C.10 *Let $a \geq 2$. Then*

$$k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{2a-1} \int_{|y|<1} \frac{|y|^{2a-1-i}|\lambda|^i}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^a} dy$$

is in L^∞ with L^∞-norm bounded by $\langle A \rangle$.

Proof: The proof follows the same steps that the proof of lemma C.9. We just need to replace $g(x-y)$ by 1. □

Lemma C.11 *Let $g \in L^2$ and $a \geq 2$. Then*

$$k(x) = \int_{|y|>1} \frac{y^{2a-1}}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^a} g(x - y) dy$$

is in L^2 with L^2-norm bounded by $\langle A \rangle ||g||_{L^2}$.

Proof: In order to prove the lemma we write $k(x)$ in the following form

$$k(x) = \int_{|y|>1} \left(\frac{y^{2a-1}}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^a} - \frac{1}{(1 + (\partial_x f)^2)^a} \frac{1}{y} \right) g(x - y) dy$$

$$+ \int_{|y|>1} \frac{g(x-y)}{y} dy$$

$$\sim \int_{|y|>1} \left(\frac{y^{2a-1}}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^a} - \frac{1}{(1 + (\partial_x f)^2)^a} \frac{1}{y} \right) g(x - y) dy.$$

The last line follows from the boundedness of the Hilbert transform in L^2. Now we can compute

$$\frac{y^{2a-1}}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^a} - \frac{1}{(1 + (\partial_x f)^2)^a} \frac{1}{y} = \frac{(1 + (\partial_x f)^2)^a y^{2a} - (y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^a}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^a (1 + (\partial_x f)^2)^2 y}.$$

Then the numerator in the right hand side is a polinomial in y of degree $2a - 1$ with coefficients bounded by C_1. The numerator is bounded from bellow by $C_1|y|^{2a+1}$. Therefore we can apply lemma C.3 to finish the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma C.12 *Let $a \geq 2$. Then*

$$k(x) = \int_{|y|>1} \frac{y^{2a-1}}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^a} dy$$

is in L^∞ with L^∞-norm bounded by $\langle A \rangle ||g||_{L^2}$.

Proof: The proof follows the same steps that the proof of lemma C.11. We just need to replace $g(x-y)$ by 1 and noticing that $\int_{|y|>1} \frac{1}{y} dy = 0$. □

Lemma C.13 *Let $g \in L^2$ and $a = 2, 3, 4$ or 5. Then the integral*

$$I(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^{2a-1}}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^a} g(x-y) dy.$$

is in L^2 with L^2-norm bounded by $\langle A \rangle ||g||_{L^2}$.

Proof: As we did before we denote $\sigma = (1 + f_x(x)^2)^{-1}$ and $A = \partial_x f(x)$. Therefore

$$y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2 = \sigma^{-1}((y + A\sigma\lambda)^2 + \sigma^2\lambda^2).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I(x) =& C_1(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^{2a-1}}{((y + A\sigma\lambda)^2 + \sigma^2\lambda^2)^a} g(x-y) dy \\ &= C_1(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(y + A\sigma\lambda)^{2a-1}}{((y + A\sigma\lambda)^2 + \sigma^2\lambda^2)^a} g(x-y) dy \\ &+ C_1(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^{2a-1} - (y + A\sigma\lambda)^{2a-1}}{((y + A\sigma\lambda)^2 + \sigma^2\lambda^2)^a} g(x-y) dy \\ &\equiv I_1(x) + I_2(x). \end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

Now we use the identity

$$H\left[\frac{x^{2a-1}}{(x^2 + \sigma^2\lambda^2)^{2a-1}}\right](x) = -\frac{\sigma|\lambda|}{(x^2 + \sigma^2\lambda^2)^a} \sum_{l=0}^{a-1} \alpha_{al} \left(\sigma|\lambda|\right)^{2(a-1-l)} x^{2l}$$

where the α_{al}'s are harmless coefficients. Then

$$I_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma|\lambda|}{((y + A\sigma\lambda)^2 + \sigma^2\lambda^2)^a} \sum_{l=0}^{a-1} \alpha_{al} \left(\sigma|\lambda|\right)^{2(a-1-l)} (y + A\sigma\lambda)^{2l} H\partial_x^4 g(x-y) dy,$$

and after a change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x) &\precsim C_1 \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(y + A\sigma \text{sign}(\lambda))^{2i}}{((y + \sigma A \text{sign}(\lambda))^2 + \sigma^2)^a} H\partial_x^4 g(x - \lambda y) dy \\ &\precsim C_1 \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |y|^{2i}) C_A(y) \partial_x^4 H g(x-y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where we have applied lemma C.1. Then $I_1(x)$ is bounded in L^2 thanks to lemma C.3. To bound $I_2(x)$ we notice that we can write this term in the following way

$$I_2(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^{2a-1} - (y + \sigma A \text{sign}(\lambda))^{2a-1}}{((y - \sigma A \text{sign}(\lambda))^2 + \sigma^2)^a} \partial_x^4 g(x - \lambda y) dy$$

and since the numerator is a polinomial in y of order $2(a-1)$ we can apply again lemmas C.1 and C.3 to obtain a suitable estimate in L^2. □

Lemma C.14 *Let $a = 2, 3, 4$ or 5. Then the integral*

$$I(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^{2a-1}}{(y^2 + (\partial_x y + \lambda)^2)^a} dy.$$

is in L^∞ with $L^\infty-$norm bounded by $\langle A \rangle ||g||_{L^2}$.

Proof: The proof follows the same steps that the proof of lemma C.14. We just need to replace $g(x-y)$ by 1 and noticing that the analogous term to $I_1(x)$ in (C.5) is equal to zero in this case. □

1. Estimation of the terms in the sum (C.4)**.**

1.1. Terms in (C.4) **with** $j = 2$**,** $i = 1, 2$**.**

1.1.1. Let's estimate $k^{21}(x)$. We split into two terms $k^{21}(x) = k_1^{21}(x) + k_2^{21}(x)$, with

$$k_1^{21}(x) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\lambda^{21}(x,y)\partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy \quad \text{and} \qquad k_2^{21}(x) = \partial_x f^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\lambda^{21}(x,y)dy.$$

We split k_1^{21} in two terms $k_1^{21}(x) = k_{11}^{21}(x) + k_{12}^{21}(x)$, with

$$k_{11}^{21}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y\lambda\partial_x^2\Delta f}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy, \tag{C.6}$$

$$k_{12}^{21}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y\left((\partial_x\Delta f)^2 + \Delta f\partial_x^2\Delta f\right)}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy. \tag{C.7}$$

The function $k_{11}^{21}(x)$ can be bounded as follows. The integrand of $k_{11}^{21} \precsim C_2|y|^{-3}|\partial_x^4 f(x-y)|$. Then $k_{11\,out}^{21}(x)$ is estimated by lemma C.3. Also the integrand of $k_{11}^{21}(x) \precsim C_3 \frac{y^2|\lambda|}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^2}|\partial_x^4 f(x-y)|$ and we can apply lemma C.9 to bound $k_{11\,in}^{21}(x)$.

To bound $k_{12}^{21}(x)$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} k_{12}^{21}(x) = &-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{y\left((\partial_x\Delta f)^2 + \Delta\partial_x^2\Delta f\right)}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} - \frac{y^3\left((\partial_x^2 f)^2 + \partial_x f\partial_x^3 f\right)}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \right) \partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy \\ &- \left((\partial_x^2 f)^2 + \partial_x f\partial_x^3 f\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^3}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy \\ &\equiv k_{121}^{21}(x) + k_{122}^{21}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

To bound $k_{121\,out}^{21}(x)$ we notice that $\frac{(\partial_x\Delta f)^2+\Delta\partial_x^2\Delta f}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^2} \precsim C_2|y|^{-3}$ and we can apply C.11 to the other part, with $a = 2$. To bound $k_{121\,in}^{21}(x)$ we split in two terms,

$$\begin{aligned} k_{121\,in}^{21}(x) = &-\int_{|y|<1} \left(\frac{y(\partial_x\Delta f^2 + \Delta f\partial_x^2\Delta f) - y^3((\partial_x^2 f)^2 + \partial_x f\partial_x^3 f)}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \right) \partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy \\ &- ((\partial_x^2 f)^2 + \partial_x f\partial_x^3 f) \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^3 \left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \right) \partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy \\ \sim &-\int_{|y|<1} \left(\frac{y(\partial_x\Delta f^2 + \Delta f\partial_x^2\Delta f) - y^3((\partial_x^2 f)^2 + \partial_x f\partial_x^3 f)}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \right) \partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy, \end{aligned}$$

where we have applied lemma C.5, with $a = 2$. Therefore, applying lemma C.7, with $a = 2$ we check that

$$k_{121\,in}^{21}(x) \precsim \int_{|y|<1} \frac{C_3|y|^4 + C_{3+\alpha}|y|^{3+\alpha}}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} |\partial_x^4 f(x-y)|dy$$

$$\sim \int_{|y|<1} \frac{C_{3+\alpha}|y|^{3+\alpha}}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}|\partial_x^4 f(x-y)|dy \precsim \int_{|y|<1}|y|^{-1+\alpha}|\partial_x^4 f(x-y)|dy.$$

Thus, we can apply lemma C.3 to finish the estimate of $k^{21}_{121\,in}(x)$.

To bound $k^{21}_{122}(x)$ we apply lemma C.13 with $a=2$. This finish the bound for $k^{21}_1(x)$.

To bound $k^{21}_2(x)$ in L^2 is enough to bound $\overline{k}^{21}_2(x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} k^{21}_\lambda(x,y)dy$ in L^∞. We split $\overline{k}^{21}_2(x) \equiv \overline{k}^{21}_{21}(x) + \overline{k}^{21}_{22}$, with $\overline{k}^{21}_{21}$ as $k^{22}_{21}(x)$ in (C.6) and $\overline{k}^{21}_{22}(x)$ as $k^{21}_{12}(x)$ in (C.7) but replacing $\partial_x^4 f(x-y)$ by 1. $\overline{k}^{21}_{21\,out}$ is bounded easily and $\overline{k}^{21}_{21\,in}(x)$ is bounded by applying lemma C.10. To bound $\overline{k}^{21}_{22}(x)$ we split this term in $\overline{k}^{21}_{221}(x)+\overline{k}^{21}_{221}$ (analogous to $k^{21}_{121}(x)$ and $k^{21}_{122}(x)$ in (C.8)). $\overline{k}^{21}221\,out(x)$ is bounded by using lemmas C.3 and C.12. For $\overline{k}^{21}_{221\,in}(x)$ we do the analogous splitting than for $k^{21}_{121\,in}$ and we apply the argument together with, C.6 and C.8. To bound $\overline{k}^{21}_{222}(x)$ we use lemma C.14. This finish the estimate of $k^{21}(x)$ in L^∞.

This completes the proof of the estimate of $k^{21}(x)$ in L^2.

1.1.2. Let's estimate $k^{22}(x)$. We split into two terms

$$k^{22}(x) = k^{22}_1(x) + k^{22}_2(x), \tag{C.9}$$

with

$$k^{22}_1(x) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} k^{22}_\lambda(x,y)\partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy, \quad \text{and} \qquad\qquad k^{22}_2 = \partial_x^4 f \int_{\mathbb{R}} k^{22}_\lambda(x,y)dy.$$

We split $k^{22}_1(x)$ in two terms, $k^{22}_1(x) = k^{22}_{11}(x) + k^{22}_{12}(x)$, with

$$k^{22}_{11}(x) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\frac{(\Delta\partial_x f)^2(\lambda^2+2\lambda\Delta f)}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^3}\partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy \tag{C.10}$$

$$k^{22}_{12}(x) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\frac{(\Delta\partial_x f)^2(\Delta f)^2}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^3}\partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy. \tag{C.11}$$

The function $k^{22}_{11}(x)$ can be bounded in L^2 as follows. The integrand of $k^{22}_{11}(x) \precsim C_1|y|^{-5}|\partial_x^4 f(x-y)|$. Then $k^{22}_{11\,out}(x)$ is estimated by lemma C.3. Also the integrand of $k^{22}_{11}(x) \precsim C_2\frac{y^3(\lambda^2+2|\lambda|y)}{(y^3+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^3}|\partial_x^4 f(x-y)|$ and we can apply lemma C.9 with $a=3$ to bound $k^{22}_{11\,in}(x)$.

To bound $k^{22}_{12}(x)$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} k^{22}_{12}(x) = &-\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{y(\Delta\partial_x f)^2\Delta f^2}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^3} - \frac{(\partial_x^2 f)^2(\partial_x f)^2 y^5}{(y^2+(\partial_x f+\lambda)^2)^3}\right)\partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy \\ &-(\partial_x f)^2(\partial_x^2 f)^2\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{y^5}{(y^2+(\partial_x f+\lambda)^2)^3}\partial_x^4 f(x-y) \\ &\equiv k^{22}_{121}(x) + k^{22}_{122}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

To bound $k^{22}_{121,\,out}(x)$ we notice that $\frac{y(\Delta\partial_x f)^2\Delta f^2}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^3} \precsim C_1|y|^{-5}$ and we can apply C.11 to the other part with $a=3$. To bound $k^{22}_{121,in}(x)$ we split in two terms,

$$\begin{aligned} k^{22}_{121,\,in} = &\int_{|y|<1}\left(\frac{y(\Delta f)^2(\Delta\partial_x f)^2 - y^5(\partial_x f)^2(\partial_x^2 f)^2}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^3}\right)\partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy \\ &+(\partial_x f)^2(\partial_x^2 f)^2\int_{|y|<1} y^5\left(\frac{1}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^3} - \frac{1}{(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2)^3}\right)\partial_x^4 f(x-y)dy, \end{aligned}$$

in such a way that we can apply lemma C.7 with $a=3$, since $y(\Delta f)^2\Delta(\partial_x f)^2 - y^5(\partial_x f)^2(\partial_x^2 f)^2 \precsim C_3 y^6$, and lemma C.5 with $a=3$.

To bound $k^{22}_{122}(x)$ we apply lemma C.13 with $a=3$. This finish the bound for $k^{22}_1(x)$.

To bound $k^{22}_2(x)$ in L^2 is enough to bound $\overline{k}^{22}_2(x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} k^{22}_\lambda(x,y)dy$ in L^∞. We split $\overline{k}^{22}_2(x) \equiv \overline{k}^{22}_{21}(x)+\overline{k}^{22}_{22}(x)$, with $\overline{k}^{22}_{21}(x)$ as $k^{22}_{11}(x)$ in (C.10) and $\overline{k}^{22}_{22}(x)$ as $k^{22}_{12}(x)$ in (C.11) but replacing $\partial^4_x f(x-y)$ by 1. $\overline{k}^{22}_{21\,out}(x)$ is bounded easily and $\overline{k}^{22}_{21\,in}(x)$ is bounded by applying C.10. To bound $\overline{k}^{22}_{22}(x)$ we split this term in $\overline{k}^{22}_{221}(x)+\overline{k}^{22}_{222}(x)$ (analogous to $k^{22}_{121}(x)$ and $k^{22}_{122}(x)$ in (C.12)). $\overline{k}^{22}_{221\,out}(x)$ is bounded by using lemmas C.3 and C.12. For $\overline{k}^{22}_{221\,in}(x)$ we do the analogous splitting than for $k^{22}_{121\,in}(x)$ and we apply lemma C.6 and C.8. To bound $\overline{k}^{22}_{222}(x)$ we use lemma C.14. This finish the estimate of $\overline{k}^{22}_2(x)$ in L^∞.

This completes the proof of the estimate of $k^{22}(x)$ in L^2.

1.2. Terms in (C.4) **with** $j=3$ **and** $i=1$**.**

Let's bound $k^{31}(x)$. Unfortunately the proof of the estimation of $k^{31}(x)$ does not follows the same steps than the rest of the functions $k^i_j(x)$. Indeed we need to do something different.

We split into two terms $k^{31}(x) = k^{31u}(x) + k^{31d}_2(x)$ with

$$k^{31u}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{\partial_x \Delta f \partial_x^2 \Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\Delta\partial_x^3 f dy$$
$$k^{31d}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x^3 \Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\Delta\partial_x^3 f dy$$

The proof for $k^{31u}(x)$ will be the usual one. For $k^{31d}(x)$ we need new estimates. Let's bound $k^{31d}(x)$ first. We split this function in two parts,

$$k^{31d}_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{\lambda\partial_x^3 \Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\Delta\partial_x^3 f dy \quad \text{and} \quad k^{31d}_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{\Delta f\Delta\partial_x^3 f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\Delta\partial_x^3 f dy \tag{C.13}$$

To bound $k^{31d}_2(x)$ we use that $\Delta(\partial_x^3 f)^2 = 2\partial_x^3 f\Delta\partial_x^3 f - \Delta\left((\partial_x^3 f)^2\right)$, and then, we need to show that the integral

$$N(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y\Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\Delta g dy \tag{C.14}$$

is in L^2 with either $g=\partial_x^3 f$ or $(\partial_x^3 f)^2$. Notice that, in both cases, we can allow in our estimates that $||g||_{H^1}$ appears. We will split $N(x)$ in two terms $N_1(x)$ and $N_2(x)$ with

$$N_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\frac{\Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2} - \frac{\partial_x f y}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)\Delta g dy, \tag{C.15}$$
$$N_2(x) = \partial_x f \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^2}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\Delta g dy. \tag{C.16}$$

And we split $N_1(x) = -L_1(x) + L_2(x)$ with

$$L_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\frac{\Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2} - \frac{\partial_x f y}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right) g(x-y) dy \tag{C.17}$$
$$L_2(x) = g(x)\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\frac{\Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2} - \frac{\partial_x f y}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right) dy. \tag{C.18}$$

To bound $L_1(x)$ we again split

$$\begin{aligned}L_1(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\frac{y\Delta f - \partial_x f y}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}g(x-y)dy \\ &+ \partial_x f\int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2\left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}-\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)g(x-y)dy \\ &\equiv L_{11}(x)+L_{12}(x).\end{aligned}$$

$L_{11}(x)$ need to be also split in two parts

$$\begin{aligned}L_{11}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\frac{\Delta f-\partial_x f y}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}+\frac{\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 f y^2}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)g(x-y)dy \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 f\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{y^3}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)}g(x-y)dy \\ &\sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\frac{\Delta f-\partial_x f y}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}+\frac{\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 f y^2}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)g(x-y)dy,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used lemma C.13, with $a=2$, to arrive to the last line. We know estimate $L_{11\,out}(x)$ by using that $\frac{(\Delta f-\partial_x f y)y}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)}\precsim C_1|y|^{-2}$, and applying lemma C.11 to the other part, with $a=2$. To bound $L_{11\,in}(x)$ we use the splitting

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\Delta f-\partial_x f y}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}+\frac{\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 f y^2}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{\Delta f-\partial_x f y+\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 f y^2}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}+\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 f y^2\left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)}-\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta f-\partial_x f y+\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 f y^2\precsim C_2 y^3$, we can apply lemmas C.7 and C.5 to bound $L_{11\,in}(x)$.

Next we bound $L_{12}(x)$. We can write this term as follows

$$L_{12}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2\frac{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2-\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}g(x-y)dy, \tag{C.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2-\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2 \\ &= 4\lambda(\partial_x f y-\Delta f)(\partial_x f y\lambda+\lambda^2+\Delta f\lambda) \\ &+ (\partial_x f y-\Delta f)(\Delta f+\partial_x f y)(2y^2+(\partial_x f)^2 y^2+(\Delta f)^2).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we will split $L_{12}(x)$ in two term, $L_{121}(x)$ and $L_{122}(x)$ with

$$L_{121}(x)=4\int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2\lambda\frac{(\partial_x f y-\Delta f)(\partial_x f y\lambda+\lambda^2+\Delta f\lambda)}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}g(x-y)dy. \tag{C.20}$$

$$L_{122}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2\frac{(\partial_x f y-\Delta f)(\Delta f+\partial_x f)(2y^2+(\partial_x f)^2 y^2+(\Delta f)^2)}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}g(x-y)dy. \tag{C.21}$$

$L_{121\,out}(x)$ is easy to bound. In addition, the integrand of $L_{121}(x)\precsim C_2 y^4(|\lambda|^3+\lambda^2|y|)$ and we can apply lemma C.9, with $a=4$ (notice that we actually need a small modification of lemma C.9, but this modification does not introduce any difficulty).

To bound $L_{122}(x)$ we have to split

$$\begin{aligned} L_{122}(x) = &\int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 \left(\frac{(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)(\Delta f + \partial_x f y)(2y^2 + (\partial_x f)^2 y^2 + (\Delta f)^2)}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^2 (y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^2} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{2\partial_x^2 f \partial_x f (1 + (\partial_x f)^2) y^5}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^4} \right) g(x-y) dy \\ &+ 2\partial_x^2 f \partial_x f (1 + (\partial_x f)^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^7}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^4} g(x-y) dy \\ &\sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 \left(\frac{(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)(\Delta f + \partial_x f y)(2y^2 + (\partial_x f)^2 y^2 + (\Delta f)^2)}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^2 (y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^2} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{2\partial_x^2 f \partial_x f (1 + (\partial_x f)^2) y^5}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^4} \right) g(x-y) dy, \end{aligned} \tag{C.22}$$

where we have applied lemma C.13 with $a = 4$. $L_{122\,out}(x)$ is estimated by noticing that $(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)(\Delta f + \partial_x f y)(2y^2 + (\partial_x f)^2 y^2 + (\Delta f)^2) \precsim C_1(|y|^4 + 1)$ and by using lemma $C.11$ with $a = 4$. To bound $L_{122in}(x)$ we split

$$\begin{aligned} L_{122\,in}(x) \sim &\int_{|y|<1} y^2 \\ &\times \frac{(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)(\Delta f + \partial_x f y)(2y^2 + (\partial_x f)^2 y^2 + (\Delta f)^2) + 2\partial_x^2 f \partial_x f (1 + (\partial_x f)^2) y^5}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^2 (y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^2} g(x-y) dy \\ &+ 2\partial_x^2 f \partial_x f (1 + (\partial_x f)^2) \\ &\times \int_{|y|>1} y^7 \left(\frac{1}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^2 (y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^4} \right) g(x-y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

These terms can be bound by using lemmas C.5 and C.7 with $a = 4$ (notice that we actually need a small modification of lemma C.9, but this modification does not introduce any difficulty).

This finishes the estimation of $L_1(x)$. To bound $L_2(x)$ is enough to prove that the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} y \left(\frac{\Delta f}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^2} - \frac{\partial_x f y}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^2} \right) dy$$

is in L^∞. In order to prove it we follow the same steps than in the proof of the estimation of $L_1(x)$ but using lemmas C.10, C.8, C.6, C.12 and C.14 instead of C.9, C.7, C.5, C.11 and C.13.

It remains to bound $N_2(x)$. In order to do it we proceed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(x) = &\partial_x f \sigma^2 \left(g(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^2}{((y + \sigma A \lambda)^2 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2)^2} dy \right. \\ &\left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^2}{((y + \sigma A \lambda)^2 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2)^2} g(x-y) dy \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now we can compute that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^2}{((y + \sigma A \lambda)^2 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2)^2} dy = \frac{\pi}{2\sigma^2 |\lambda|}$$

and by lemma E.1 we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^2}{((y+\sigma A\lambda)^2+\sigma^2\lambda^2)^2} g(x-y)dy$$
$$= \partial_x f \frac{\pi}{2\sigma^2|\lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i\xi x}\hat{g}(\xi) e^{2\pi i\xi A\sigma\lambda} e^{-2\pi\sigma|\lambda||\xi|}$$
$$\times (1+2\pi\sigma^2|\lambda||\xi|(A^2-1)+4\pi i A\sigma^2\xi\lambda)d\xi,$$

so that

$$N_2(x) = \partial_x f \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i\xi x} \frac{1}{|\xi||\lambda|} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i A\sigma\xi\lambda} e^{-2\pi\sigma|\xi||\lambda|}\right) |\xi|\hat{g}(\xi)d\xi$$
$$- \partial_x f \sigma^2\pi^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i\xi x} e^{2\pi i A\sigma\xi\lambda} e^{-2\pi\sigma|\xi||\lambda|}((A^2-1)+2i\text{sign}(\xi)\text{sign}(\lambda))|\xi|\hat{g}(\xi)d\xi.$$

Therefore by applying lemma 4.11 we obtain that the L^2-norm of $N_2(x)$ is bounded by $\langle A\rangle||\Lambda g||_{L^2}$.

This concludes the proof of the estimate of the L^2-norm of $k_2^{31d}(x)$.

Now we estimate $k_1^{31d}(x)$. By using again that $(\Delta\partial_x^3 f)^2 = 2\partial_x^3 f\Delta\partial_x^3 f - \Delta\left((\partial_x^3 f)^2\right)$ we have that is enough to bound the integral

$$M(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y\lambda}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)^2} \Delta g(x-y)dy \tag{C.23}$$

in terms of the H^1-norm of g. We first split into two terms

$$M_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\lambda \left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right) \Delta g(x-y)dy$$
$$M_2(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y\lambda}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2} \Delta g(x-y)dy.$$

And we split again $M_1(x) = -\tilde{L}_1(x) + \tilde{L}_2(x)$, analogous to $L_1(x)$ and $L_2(x)$ in (C.17) and (C.18). The proof of the bound for $\tilde{L}_1(x)$ runs the same steps than the proof for $L_{12}(x)$ in (C.19). We split $\tilde{L}_1$ into $\tilde{L}_{121}(x)$ and $\tilde{L}_{122}(x)$ analogous to $L_{121}(x)$ and $L_{122}(x)$ in (C.20) and (C.21). $\tilde{L}_{121}(x)$ is bounded in the same way that $L_{121}(x)$. For $\tilde{L}_{122}(x)$ we remark the main difference: we proceed like in (C.22), but here, instead to estimate the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^7}{(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2)^4} g(x-y)dy$ we need to estimate $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^6|\lambda|}{(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2)^4} g(x-y)dy$. The $out-$part of this integral is easy to estimate. The $in-$part is estimated by using lemma C.9.

This finish the estimate for $\tilde{L}_1(x)$. To bound $\tilde{L}_2(x)$ is enough to prove that the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\lambda \left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right) dy$$

is in L^∞. In order to prove it we follow the same steps than in the proof the estimation of $\tilde{L}_1(x)$ but using lemmas C.10, C.8, C.6, C.12 and C.14 instead of C.9, C.7, C.5, C.11 and C.13.

Therefore to finish the estimation in L^2 of $k_1^{31d}(x)$ remains to bound $M_2(x)$. To bound this term we just notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y\lambda}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2} dy = -\frac{A\pi}{2\sigma^2|\lambda|},$$

and the same argument than for $N_2(x)$ in (C.16) works.

We have already estimated $k^{31d}(x)$. To finish the proof of the boundness in L^2 of $k^{31}(x)$ we need to estimate $k^{31u}(x)$. This bound follows similar steps than the estimation of $k_{12}^{21}(x)$ in (C.7).

1.3.The rest of the terms in (C.4)**.**

The estimation of the rest of the terms in (C.4) follow the same steps than the estimation for either $k^{21}(x)$ or $k^{22}(x)$.

2. Estimation of the terms in (C.3)**.** The first term in (C.3) that we will estimate is $k^{11}(x)$. Here we recall that we are concern with $||D^{-1}k^{11}||_{L^2}$. In order to bound this norm we will proceed as follows

$$k^{11}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\lambda^{11}(x,y)\partial_x^5 \Delta f dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\lambda^{11}(x,y) D g dy,$$

where $g \equiv= D^{-1}\partial_x^5 f$ (we clarify that the operator $D = (1 + t\partial_x)$ acts on x rather than y). Then we would like to estimate $||D^{-1}k^{11}||_{L^2} \leq \langle A\rangle ||g||_{L^2}$. In order to do it we notice that

$$\partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\lambda^{11}(x,y)\Delta g dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x k_\lambda^{11}(x,y)\Delta g dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\lambda^{11}(x,y)\partial_x \Delta g dy,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} D^{-1}k^{11}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\lambda^{11}(x,y)\Delta g dy - tD^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x k_\lambda^{11}(x,y)\Delta g dy \\ &\equiv S_1(x) + tD^{-1}S_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

We then obtain that

$$||D^{-1}k^{11}||_{L^2} \leq ||S_1||_{L^2} + ||S_2||_{L^2}.$$

The proof of the estimation for S_1 and S_2 follow the same steps that the estimation of $k^{22}(x)$ in (C.9).

The function $k^{55}(x)$ is estimated in the same way.

This finish the proof of lemma 4.7.

D Proof of lemma 4.8

In this section we will prove lemma 4.8. We will use the same convection that in appendix C. We have to control the L^2-norm of the function

$$D^{-1}\frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{y}{y^2+(\Delta f+(\lambda-\lambda'))^2} - \frac{y}{y^2+(\partial_x f y+(\lambda-\lambda'))^2}\right) \times \partial_x^6 f(x-y)dyd\lambda\lambda'$$

by $\langle A\rangle ||D^{-1}\partial_x^5 f||_{L^2}$. In order to do it, by applying Minkowsky inequality, is enough to show that

$$D^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{y}{y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2} - \frac{y}{y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2}\right)\partial_x^6 f(x-y)dy$$

is in L^2 with L^2-norm bounded by $\langle A\rangle ||D^{-1}\partial_x^5 f||_{L^2}$ uniformly in λ (for small λ).

Let's call $g(x) = D^{-1}\partial_x^5 f(x)$, then we have to control the function

$$P(x) = D^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{y}{y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2} - \frac{y}{y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2}\right) D\partial_x g(x-y)dy$$

By noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}
P(x) =&\partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{y}{y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2} - \frac{y}{y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2} \right) \partial_x g(x-y) dy \\
=& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \left(\frac{y}{y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2} - \frac{y}{y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2} \right) \partial_x g(x-y) dy \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \left(\frac{y}{y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2} - \frac{y}{y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2} \right) \partial_x^2 g(x-y) dy \\
&\equiv S(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \left(\frac{y}{y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2} - \frac{y}{y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2} \right) \partial_x^2 g(x-y) dy
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P(x) =& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{y}{y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2} - \frac{y}{y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2} \right) \partial_x g(x-y) dy - tD^{-1} S(x) \\
\equiv& M(x) + tD^1 S(x)
\end{aligned}$$

We will estimate both $M(x)$ and $S(x)$ in L^2.

To bound $M(x)$ we first integrate by parts, recalling that $\partial_x g(x-y) = -\partial_y g(x-y)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
M(x) =& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_y \left(\frac{y}{y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2} - \frac{y}{y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2} \right) g(x-y) dy \\
=& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2} \right) g(x-y) dy \\
=& 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \left(\frac{y + (\partial_x f y + \lambda) \partial_x f}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^2} - \frac{y + (\Delta f + \lambda) \partial_x f(x-y)}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \right) g(x-y) dy \\
\equiv& M_1(x) + 2M_2(x).
\end{aligned}$$

We split $M_1(x)$ in two terms $M_{11}(x)$ and $M_{12}(x)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{11}(x) &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)\lambda}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)} g(x-y) dy \\
M_{12}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)(\Delta f + \partial_x f y)}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)} g(x-y) dy
\end{aligned}$$

$M_{11\,out}(x)$ is easy to estimate. And for estimating $M_{11\,in}(x)$ we can apply lemma C.9 with $a = 4$ (actually we need to use a small modification of this lemma but this modification does not introduce any difficulty).

To bound $M_{12}(x)$ we split this term in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_{12}(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)(\Delta f + \partial_x f y)}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)} + \frac{\partial_x^2 f \partial_x f y^3}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \right) g(x-y) dy \\
&+ \partial_x^2 f \partial_x f \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^3}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^2} g(x-y) dy \\
&\sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)(\Delta f + \partial_x f y)}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)} + \frac{\partial_x^2 f \partial_x f y^3}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \right) g(x-y) dy, \qquad \text{(D.1)}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have applied lemma C.13 with $a = 2$.

Then to bound $M_{12\,out}(x)$ we notice that $\frac{(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)(\Delta f + \partial_x f y)}{(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2)} \precsim C_1|y|^{-2}$ and that we can apply lemma C.11 to the other part. To bound $M_{12\,in}(x)$ we split as follows this term

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{12\,in}(x) &\sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)(\Delta f + \partial_x f y) + \partial_x^2 f \partial_x f y^3}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)\,(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)} g(x-y)dy \\
&+ \partial_x^2 f \partial_x f \\
&\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^3 \left(\frac{1}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)\,(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)} - \frac{1}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^2} \right) g(x-y)dy
\end{aligned}$$

and we can apply lemmas C.5 and C.7. Thus we have already estimate $M_1(x)$.

To bound $M_2(x)$ we split it into two parts

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2(x) &= 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{(\partial_x f y + \lambda)\partial_x f - (\Delta f + \lambda)\partial_x f(x-y)}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^2} g(x-y)dy \\
&+ 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x f y + \lambda)\partial_x f y \left(\frac{1}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^2} \right) g(x-y)dy \\
&\equiv M_{21}(x) + M_{22}(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{D.2}$$

To bound $M_{21}(x)$ we split it into two term

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{21}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{\lambda \Delta \partial_x f}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^2} g(x-y)dy \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)\partial_x f + \Delta f \Delta \partial_x f}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^2} g(x-y)dy \\
&\equiv M_{211}(x) + M_{212}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

$M_{211\,out}(x)$ is easy to estimate. And applying lemma C.9 we bound $M_{211\,in}(x)$.

To estimate $M_{212}(x)$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_{212}(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \left(\frac{(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)\partial_x f + \Delta f \Delta \partial_x f}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^2} - \frac{-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 f \partial_x f y^2 + \partial_x f \partial_x^2 f y^2}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^2} \right) g(x-y)dy \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\partial_x f \partial_x^2 f \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^3}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^2} g(x-y)dy \\
&\sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \left(\frac{(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)\partial_x f + \Delta f \Delta \partial_x f}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^2} - \frac{-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 f \partial_x f y^2 + \partial_x f \partial_x^2 f y^2}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^2} \right) g(x-y)dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used lemma C.13, with $a = 2$. Then, to bound $M_{212}(x)$ we just use that $(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)\partial_x f + \Delta f \Delta \partial_x f \precsim C_1(|y| + 1)$ and lemma C.11. To estimate $M_{212\,in}(x)$ we notice that $(\partial_x f y - \Delta f)\partial_x f + \Delta f \Delta \partial_x f + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 f \partial_x f y^2 - \partial_x f \partial_x^2 f y^2 \precsim C_3|y|^3$, and we can apply lemmas C.5 and C.7. This finish the estimation of $M_{21}(x)$.

To estimate $M_{22}(x)$ we split it into two terms

$$M_{22}(x) = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda \partial_x f y \left(\frac{1}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^2} \right) g(x-y)dydy$$

$$+2\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\partial_x f)^2 y^2\left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}-\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)g(x-y)dy$$
$$\equiv M_{221}(x)+M_{222}(x).$$

$M_{221\,out}(x)$ is easy to estimate and $M_{221\,in}(x)$ can be estimated by using lemma C.4, with $a=2$ and then lemma C.9, with $a=4$ (actually we need a small modification of lema C.9 but this modification does not cause any difficulty). $M_{222}(x)$ is bounded in the same way that $L_{12}(x)$ in (C.19).

We have completed the estimation of $M(x)$. It remains to bound $S(x)$. This function is given by

$$S(x)=-2\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\frac{(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x\Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}-\frac{(\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f y}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)\partial_x g(x-y)dy.$$

Taking into account that $\partial_x g(x-y)=-\partial_y g(x-y)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(x)\\
&=-2\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x\Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}-\frac{(\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f y}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)g(x-y)dy\\
&-2\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\frac{\partial_x f(x-y)\partial_x\Delta f+(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f(x-y)}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{\partial_x f\partial_x^2 f y+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)g(x-y)dy\\
&+8\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\frac{(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x\Delta f(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)(y+(\Delta f+\lambda))\partial_x^2 f(x-y)}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{(\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f y(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2)(y+(\partial_x f y+\lambda))\partial_x^2 f}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)g(x-y)dy\\
&\equiv -2S_1(x)-2S_2(x)+8S_3(x).
\end{aligned}$$

To bound $S_1(x)$ we split it in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1(x)&=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x\Delta f-(\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f y}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}g(x-y)dy\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f y\left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}-\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)g(x-y)dy\\
&\equiv S_{11}(x)+S_{12}(x).
\end{aligned}\tag{D.3}$$

To bound $S_{11}(x)$ we split into two terms

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_{11}(x)\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\Delta f\partial_x\Delta f-\partial_x f\partial_x^2 f y^2}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}g(x-y)dy+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\lambda(\partial_x\Delta f-\partial_x^2 f y)}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}g(x-y)dy\\
&\sim\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\Delta f\partial_x\Delta f-\partial_x f\partial_x^2 f y^2}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}g(x-y)dy.
\end{aligned}$$

The last line follow from the bound $(\partial_x\Delta f-\partial_x^2)\precsim C_2(|y|+1)$ and then we can bound the $out-$part and from the bound $(\partial_x\Delta f-\partial_x^2)\precsim C_3|y|^3$ and lemma C.9 that allow us to estimate the in-part.

Now we write

$$\begin{aligned}S_{11}(x) =& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\Delta f \partial_x \Delta f - \partial_x f \partial_x^2 y^2}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x f + \partial_x^3 f + (\partial_x^2 f)^2) y^3}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \right) g(x-y) dy \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x f + \partial_x^3 f + (\partial_x^2 f)^2) y^3}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^2} g(x-y) dy \\ & \sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\Delta f \partial_x \Delta f - \partial_x f \partial_x^2 y^2}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x f + \partial_x^3 f + (\partial_x^2 f)^2) y^3}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \right) g(x-y) dy.\end{aligned}$$

The last line follows from lemma C.13.

To bound $S_{11}(x)$ we proceed as follows. $S_{11\,out}(x)$ is easy to bound from the inequality $\Delta f \partial_x \Delta f - \partial_x f \partial_x^2 y^2 \precsim C_2(1+y^2)$ and lemma C.11. In addition to bound $S_{11\,in}(x)$ we proceed as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}S_{11\,in}(x) =& \int_{|y|<1} \frac{\Delta f \partial_x \Delta f - \partial_x f \partial_x^2 f y^2}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} g(x-y) dy \\ & + \int_{|y|<1} \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x f \partial_x^3 f + (\partial_x^2 f)^2) y^3 \left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \right) dy\end{aligned}$$

Then the estimate for $S_{11}(x)$ follows from lemma C.5 and from the inequality $\Delta f \partial_x \Delta f - \partial_x \partial_x^2 f y \precsim C_{3+\alpha}|y|^{3+\alpha}$ together with lemma C.3.

$S_{12}(x)$ can be estimated as $M_2(x)$ in (D.2). This finish the estimation of $S_1(x)$.

To bound $S_2(x)$ we split it into two terms

$$\begin{aligned}& S_2(x) \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \left(\frac{\partial_x f(x-y) \partial_x \Delta f + (\Delta f + \lambda) \partial_x^2 f(x-y) - \partial_x f \partial_x^2 f y - (\partial_x f y + \lambda) \partial_x^2 f}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \right) g(x-y) dy \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \left(\partial_x f \partial_x^2 f y + (\partial_x f y + \lambda) \partial_x^2 f \right) \left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)} \right) g(x-y) dy \\ & \equiv S_{21}(x) + S_{22}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{D.4}$$

We first bound $S_{21}(x)$. We write it as follows

$$\begin{aligned}& S_{21}(x) \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{-(\Delta \partial_x f)^2 + \partial_x f(\partial_x \Delta f - \partial_x^2 f y) - \partial_x^2 \Delta f (\Delta f + \lambda) + \partial_x^2 f (\Delta f - \partial_x f y)}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} g(x-y) dy.\end{aligned}$$

The integral corresponding to the first term in the numerator of the integrand of $S_{21}(x)$ was bounded for $k_2^{21}(x)$ in C.7. The third one appears in $k_{11}^{21}(x)$ in (C.6) together with $k_{12}^{21}(x)$ in (C.7). The structure of the forth one is the same that the structure of the second one (actually is less singular). The bound for the second one is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{\partial_x \Delta f - \partial_x^2 f y}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} g(x-y) dy \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \left(\frac{\partial_x \Delta f - \partial_x^2 f y}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} + \frac{\frac{1}{2} \partial_x^3 f y^2}{\left(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \right) g(x-y) dy\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^3 f\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{y^3}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}dy\\
&\sim\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\frac{\partial_x\Delta f-\partial_x^2 f y}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}+\frac{\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^3 f y^2}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)g(x-y)dy,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have applied C.13. Now we split

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\frac{\partial_x\Delta f-\partial_x^2 f y}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}g(x-y)dy\\
&\sim\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\frac{\partial_x\Delta f-\partial_x^2 f y+\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^3 f y^2}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}g(x-y)dy\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^3 f\int_{\mathbb{R}} y^3\left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}-\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)dy,
\end{aligned}
$$

so that we can proceed as for $S_{11}(x)$ and $S_{12}(x)$ in (D.3).

It remains to bound $S_3(x)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_3(x)=&\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\frac{(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x\Delta f(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2)(y+\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f(x-y)}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^4}\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{-(\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f y(y^2+(\partial_x f+\lambda)^2)(y+\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^4}\right)g(x-y)dy\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}} y(\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f y(y^2+(\partial_x f+\lambda)^2)(y+\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f\left(\frac{1}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^4}\right.\\
&\left.-\frac{}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)^4}\right)g(x-y)dy\\
\equiv&\, S_{31}(x)+S_{32}(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

We will use the splitting

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x\Delta f\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)(y+\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f(x-y)\\
&-(\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f y\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)(y+\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f\\
&=-(\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x\Delta f\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)(y+\Delta f+\lambda)\Delta\partial_x^2 f\\
&+\partial_x^2 f\left((\Delta f+\lambda)\partial_x\Delta f\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)(y+\Delta f+\lambda)\right.\\
&\left.-(\partial_x f y+\lambda)\partial_x^2 f y\left(y^2+(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)(y+\partial_x f y+\lambda)\right)\\
&=-\Delta f\partial_x\Delta f(y^2+(\Delta f)^2)(y+\Delta f)\Delta\partial_x^2 f+h_1(x,y)\\
&+\partial_x^2 f\left((\Delta f-\partial_x f y)\partial_x\Delta f\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)(y+\Delta f+\lambda)\right.\\
&+\partial_x f y(\partial_x\Delta f-\partial_x^2 f y)\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)(y+\Delta f+\lambda)\\
&\left.+\partial_x f y\partial_x^2 y\left((\Delta f+\lambda)^2-(\partial_x f y+\lambda)^2\right)(y+\Delta f+\lambda)\right.\\
&=-\Delta f\partial_x\Delta f(y^2+(\Delta f)^2)(y+\Delta f)\Delta\partial_x^2 f\\
&+\partial_x^2 f(\Delta f-\partial_x f y)\partial_x\Delta f(y^2+(\Delta f)^2)(y+\Delta f)+h_2(x,y),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_i(x,y)\precsim C_3\sum_{l=1}^4|y|^{6-l}|\lambda|^l$, and $h_i(x,y)\precsim C_3(|y|^4+1)$, with $i=1,2$. Then

$$S_{31}(x) \sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{-\Delta f \partial_x \Delta f (y^2 + (\Delta f)^2)(y + \Delta f) \Delta \partial_x^2 f}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^4} g(x-y) dy$$
$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{\partial_x^2 f (\Delta f - \partial_x f y) \partial_x \Delta f (y^2 + (\Delta f)^2)(y + \Delta f)}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^4} g(x-y) dy.$$

Since we can control the $out-$part of the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} y h_2(x,y) g(x-y) dy$$

by lemma C.3 and the $in-$part by lemma C.9.

Next we split $S_{31}(x)$ in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_{31}(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \left(\frac{-\Delta f \partial_x \Delta f (y^2 + (\Delta f)^2)(y + \Delta f) \Delta \partial_x^2 f + \partial_x^2 f (\Delta f - \partial_x f y) \partial_x \Delta f (y^2 + (\Delta f)^2)(y + \Delta f)}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^4} \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{(1 + (\partial_x f)^2)(1 + \partial_x f)(\partial_x f \partial_x^2 f \partial_x^3 f + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x^2 f)^3) y^6}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^4} \right) g(x-y) dy \\
&+ (1 + (\partial_x f)^2)(1 + \partial_x f)(\partial_x f \partial_x^2 f \partial_x^3 f + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x^2 f)^3) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^7}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^4} g(x-y) dy \\
&\sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \left(\frac{-\Delta f \partial_x \Delta f (y^2 + (\Delta f)^2)(y + \Delta f) \Delta \partial_x^2 f + \partial_x^2 f (\Delta f - \partial_x f y) \partial_x \Delta f (y^2 + (\Delta f)^2)(y + \Delta f)}{(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2)^4} \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{(1 + (\partial_x f)^2)(1 + \partial_x f)(\partial_x f \partial_x^2 f \partial_x^3 f + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x^2 f)^3) y^6}{(y^2 + (\partial_x f y + \lambda)^2)^4} \right) g(x-y) dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have applied lemma C.13, with $a = 4$ in the last line. So that we can finish the bound of $S_{13}(x)$ by noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \Delta f \partial_x \Delta f (y^2 + (\Delta f)^2)(y + \Delta f) \Delta \partial_x^2 f + \partial_x^2 f (\Delta f - \partial_x f y) \partial_x \Delta f (y^2 + (\Delta f)^2)(y + \Delta f) \\
&- (1 + (\partial_x f)^2)(1 + \partial_x f)(\partial_x f \partial_x^2 f \partial_x^3 f + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x^2 f)^3) y^6 \precsim C_{3+\alpha} |y|^{6+\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore, for example, one can proceed as for $M_{12}(x)$ in (D.1). This finish the estimation of $S_{31}(x)$. To finish the estimate of $S_3(x)$ it remains to bound $S_{32}(x)$. This function can be estimated in the same way that $S_{22}(x)$ in (D.4).

Then we have achieved the conclusion of lemma 4.8.

Lemma D.1 *The velocity $\boldsymbol{u}_c^\sharp(s,\lambda)$ for $|\lambda| < \varepsilon$ is uniformly continuous in λ.*

Proof: We will work with the parametrization $\mathbf{z}(s,t) = (x, f(x,t))$. Then

$$\mathbf{u}_c^\sharp(x,\lambda) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y}{y^2 + (\Delta f + (\lambda - \lambda'))^2} \Delta f dx$$

Then it is enough to prove that the function

$$h(x,\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y}{y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2} \Delta f dx$$

satisfies $||\partial_\lambda h||_{L^\infty(dx)} \leq |||f|||$ for every λ (see (4.32) for a definition of $|||f|||$). In addition, by Sobolev's embedding we reduce the problem to prove that $||\partial_\lambda h||_{H^1(dx)} \leq |||f|||$. We notice that

$$\partial_\lambda h(x,\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2y(\Delta f + \lambda)}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \Delta f.$$

The $L^2 - norm$ of this function can be bounded in the same way we bound $k_2^{31d}(x)$ in (C.13) and $N(x)$ in (C.14). By taking a derivative with respect to x we have

$$\partial_x \partial_\lambda h(x,\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y\Delta\partial_x f}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \Delta\partial_x f + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y(\Delta f + \lambda)}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} \partial_x^2 \Delta f dy$$
$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} y(\Delta f + \lambda)\Delta\partial_x f \partial_x \frac{1}{\left(y^2 + (\Delta f + \lambda)^2\right)^2} dy.$$

The first term can be bounded as $N(x)$ in (C.14). The second term can be bounded in the same way that $k_2^{31d}(x)$ in (C.13) and $N(x)$ in (C.14). The last term can be bounded by using a similar strategy and using lemma E.1.

□

E Fourier transform of $K_A(x)$

In this appendix it will be compute the Fourier transform of the function

$$\begin{aligned} & K_A(y) \\ & = \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2}\left\{-2Ay\arctan(A) + (2\varepsilon + Ay)\arctan\left(\frac{2\varepsilon + Ay}{y}\right)\right. \\ & + (Ay - 2\varepsilon)\arctan\left(\frac{Ay - 2\varepsilon}{y}\right) + y\log\left(y^2(1+A^2)\right) \\ & \left. -\frac{y}{2}\log\left(y^2 + (2\varepsilon + Ay)^2\right) - \frac{y}{2}\log\left(y^2 + (Ay - 2\varepsilon)^2\right)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{E.1}$$

In order to do it we will use a different expression for $K_A(y)$. By following section 4.2.1 it is easy to check that

$$K_A(y) = \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{y}{(1+A^2)y^2 + (\lambda - \lambda')^2 + 2Ay(\lambda - \lambda')} d\lambda' d\lambda.$$

We rewrite the first integral in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(y) &= \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{y}{(1+A^2)y^2 + (\lambda - \lambda')^2 + 2Ay(\lambda - \lambda')} d\lambda' \\ &= \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\frac{1}{(1+A^2)}\int_{-\varepsilon-\lambda}^{\varepsilon-\lambda} \frac{y}{y^2 + \frac{\lambda'^2}{1+A^2} - 2\frac{A}{1+A^2}y\lambda'} d\lambda', \end{aligned}$$

and calling $\sigma = \frac{1}{1+A^2}$ we have that

$$I_1(y) = \frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon-\lambda}^{\varepsilon-\lambda} \frac{y}{y^2 + \sigma\lambda'^2 - 2\sigma Ay\lambda'} d\lambda' = \frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon-\lambda}^{\varepsilon-\lambda} \frac{y}{\left(y - \sigma A\lambda'\right)^2 + \sigma\lambda'^2 - \sigma^2 A^2\lambda'^2} d\lambda'$$
$$= \frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon-\lambda}^{\varepsilon-\lambda} \frac{y}{\left(y - \sigma A\lambda'\right)^2 + \sigma\lambda'^2 - \sigma^2 A^2\lambda'^2} d\lambda' = \frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon-\lambda}^{\varepsilon-\lambda} \frac{y}{\left(y - \sigma A\lambda'\right)^2 + (\sigma\lambda')^2} d\lambda'$$

$$\frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon-\lambda}^{\varepsilon-\lambda}\frac{y}{(y-\mu)^2+\upsilon^2}d\lambda',$$

where $\mu=\sigma A\lambda'$ and $\upsilon=\sigma|\lambda'|$. Now it is easy to compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{y}{(y-\mu)^2+\upsilon^2}\right)=\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{y-\mu}{(y-\mu)^2+\upsilon^2}\right)+\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{\mu}{(y-\mu)^2+\upsilon^2}\right)\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{y-\mu}{(y-\mu)^2+\upsilon^2}e^{-2\pi iy\xi}dy+\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{}{(y-\mu)^2+\upsilon^2}e^{-2\pi iy\xi}dy\\
&=e^{-2\pi i\mu\xi}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{y}{y^2+\upsilon^2}e^{-2\pi i\xi y}dy+\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{y^2+\upsilon^2}e^{-2\pi i\xi y}dy\right)\\
&=\pi e^{-2\pi i\mu\xi}e^{-2\pi\upsilon|\xi|}\left(-i\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)+\frac{\mu}{\upsilon}\right)\\
&=\pi e^{-2\pi\sigma|\xi||\lambda'|(1+i\,A\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\mathrm{sign}(\lambda'))}\left(-i\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)+A\,\mathrm{sign}(\lambda')\right)\\
&=-i\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\pi e^{-2\pi\sigma|\xi||\lambda'|(1+i\,A\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\mathrm{sign}(\lambda'))}(1+i\,A\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\mathrm{sign}(\lambda')).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{I}_1(\xi)=-i\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\frac{\pi\sigma}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon-\lambda}^{\varepsilon-\lambda}e^{-2\pi\sigma|\xi||\lambda'|(1+i\,A\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\mathrm{sign}(\lambda'))}(1+i\,A\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\mathrm{sign}(\lambda'))d\lambda'\\
&=-i\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\frac{\pi\sigma}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon-\lambda}^{0}e^{2\pi\sigma|\xi|\lambda'(1-i\,A\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}(1-i\,A\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi))d\lambda'\\
&-i\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\frac{\pi\sigma}{2\varepsilon}\int_{0}^{\varepsilon-\lambda}e^{-2\pi\sigma|\xi|\lambda'(1+i\,A\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}(1+i\,A\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi))d\lambda'\\
&=-i\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\frac{\pi\sigma}{2\varepsilon}\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma|\xi|}\left(2-e^{-2\pi\,\sigma|\xi|(\varepsilon+\lambda)(1-i\,A\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}-e^{-2\pi\sigma|\xi|(\varepsilon-\lambda)(1+iA\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}\right)\\
&=-i\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\frac{1}{4\varepsilon|\xi|}\left(2-e^{-2\pi\,\sigma|\xi|(\varepsilon+\lambda)(1-i\,A\,\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}-e^{-2\pi\sigma|\xi|(\varepsilon-\lambda)(1+iA\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\hat{I}_1(\xi)d\lambda\\
&=\frac{-i\mathrm{sign}(\xi)}{8\varepsilon^2|\xi|}\left(4\varepsilon+\frac{1}{2\pi|\xi|\sigma(1-iA\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}\,e^{-2\pi|\xi|\sigma(\varepsilon+\lambda)(1-iA\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}\Big|_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\right.\\
&\left.-\frac{1}{2\pi|\xi|\sigma(1+iA\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}\,e^{-2\pi|\xi|\sigma(\varepsilon+\lambda)(1+iA\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}\Big|_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\right)\\
&=\frac{-i\mathrm{sign}(\xi)}{8\varepsilon^2|\xi|}\left(4\varepsilon+\frac{1}{2\pi|\xi|\sigma(1-iA\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}e^{-4\pi|\xi|\sigma\varepsilon(1-iA\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}-\frac{1}{2\pi|\xi|\sigma(1-iA\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{1}{2\pi|\xi|\sigma(1+iA\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}e^{-4\pi|\xi|\sigma\varepsilon(1+iA\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}-\frac{1}{2\pi|\xi|\sigma(1+iA\mathrm{sign}(\xi))}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This last expression proves lemma 4.9.

Lemma E.1 *The following identities hold:*

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{y^2}{\left((y+\sigma A\lambda)^2+\sigma^2\lambda^2\right)^2}\right](\xi)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2\sigma^2|\lambda|} e^{2\pi i A\sigma\xi\lambda} e^{-2\pi\sigma|\lambda||\xi|} \left(1 + 2\pi\sigma^2(A^2-1) + 4\pi i A\sigma^2\xi\lambda\right)$$

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{y\lambda}{\left(y^2 + (Ay+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right](\xi)$$

$$= -\frac{\pi}{2\sigma^2|\lambda|} e^{2\pi i A\sigma\lambda\xi} e^{-2\pi\sigma|\lambda||\xi|} (A + 2i\pi\sigma\xi\lambda + 2\pi\sigma|\xi||\lambda|)$$

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{y^6}{((y+\sigma A\lambda)^2+\sigma^2\lambda^2)^4}\right](\xi) = e^{2\pi i A\sigma\xi\lambda} e^{-2\pi\sigma|\lambda||\xi|} \left(\frac{15\pi}{48\sigma^4|\lambda|} + |\xi| p_2(A, t\xi)\right)$$

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{y^5\lambda}{((y+\sigma A\lambda)^2+\sigma^2\lambda^2)^4}\right](\xi) = e^{2\pi i A\sigma\xi\lambda} e^{-2\pi\sigma|\lambda||\xi|} \left(\frac{-15\pi A}{48\sigma^4|\lambda|} + |\xi| p_2(A, t\xi)\right)$$

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{y^4\lambda^2}{((y+\sigma A\lambda)^2+\sigma^2\lambda^2)^4}\right](\xi) = e^{2\pi i A\sigma\xi\lambda} e^{-2\pi\sigma|\lambda||\xi|} \left(\frac{(3+18A+15A^2)\pi}{48\sigma^3|\lambda|} + |\xi| p_2(A, t\xi)\right)$$

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{y^3\lambda^3}{((y+\sigma A\lambda)^2+\sigma^2\lambda^2)^4}\right](\xi) = e^{2\pi i A\sigma\xi\lambda} e^{-2\pi\sigma|\lambda||\xi|} \left(\frac{-3A(3+5A^2)\pi}{48\sigma^4|\lambda|} + |\xi| p_2(A, t\xi)\right)$$

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{y^4}{((y+\sigma A\lambda)^2+\sigma^2\lambda^2)^3}\right](\xi) = e^{2\pi i A\sigma\xi\lambda} e^{-2\pi\sigma|\lambda||\xi|} \left(\frac{3\pi}{8\sigma^2|\lambda|} + |\xi| p_1(A, t\xi)\right)$$

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{y^3\lambda}{((y+\sigma A\lambda)^2+\sigma^2\lambda^2)^3}\right](\xi) = e^{2\pi i A\sigma\xi\lambda} e^{-2\pi\sigma|\lambda||\xi|} \left(\frac{-3\pi A}{8|\sigma^3\lambda|} + |\xi| p_1(A, t\xi)\right)$$

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{y^2\lambda^2}{((y+\sigma A\lambda)^2+\sigma^2\lambda^2)^3}\right](\xi) = e^{2\pi i A\sigma\xi\lambda} e^{-2\pi\sigma|\lambda||\xi|} \left(\frac{(1+3A^2)\pi}{8|\lambda|\sigma^3} + |\xi| p_1(A, t\xi)\right)$$

where $p_j(A, t|\xi|)$ is some polynomial in $t\xi$, $t|\xi|$, $|\lambda|\xi$ and $|t||\xi|$ of degree j whose coefficient are smooth function on A.

Proof: The proof is just a straightforward computation. □

F Estimate on the symbol $\tilde{m}$, on the K-kernels and on the coefficient $a(x,t)$

F.1 Estimates on the symbol $\tilde{m}$

The symbol

$$\tilde{m}(\xi, x, t) = e^{-H(t|\xi|, A) + \log(1+t|\xi|)},$$

where

$$H(t|\xi|, A) = \int_0^{t|\xi|} \frac{1}{\tau} \left\{1 + \frac{1}{4\pi\tau} \left(e^{-4\pi\tau\sigma} \left(\cos(4\pi\tau\sigma A) - A\sin(4\pi\tau\sigma A)\right) - 1\right)\right\} d\tau$$

with $\sigma = \frac{1}{1+A^2}$, satisfies the following lemma:

Lemma F.1 *The next estimates holds:*

1. $$||\tilde{m}||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\times L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq e^{C(1+||A||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})})}$$

2.

$$\partial_\xi \tilde{m}(\xi, x, t) = tb(\xi, x, t)$$

with

$$||b||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\times L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq Ce^{C(1+||A||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})})}$$

3.

$$||\partial_x \tilde{m}||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\times L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq e^{C(1+||A||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})})}||\partial_x A||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$$

4.

$$\partial^2_{x\xi} \tilde{m}(\xi, x, t) = tg(\xi, x, t)$$

with

$$||\partial^2_{x\xi} g||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\times L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq Ce^{C(1+||A||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})})}||\partial_x A||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$$

Remark F.2 *Notice that all these quantities are bounded by* $\langle A\rangle$.

Proof: In order to prove the first estimate we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4\pi\tau}\left(-1+e^{-4\pi\sigma\tau}\left(\cos(4\pi\sigma A\tau)-A\sin(4\pi\sigma A\tau)\right)\right)\\
&=-\int_0^1 e^{-4\pi\sigma\tau\tau_1}\cos(4\pi\sigma A\tau\tau_1)d\tau_1
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\tau}\left\{1+\frac{1}{4\pi\tau}\left(e^{-4\pi\tau\sigma}\left(\cos(4\pi\tau\sigma A)-A\sin(4\pi\tau\sigma A)\right)-1\right)\right\}\\
&=4\pi\sigma\int_0^1\int_0^1 e^{-4\pi\sigma\tau\tau_1\tau_2}\tau_1\left(\cos(4\pi\sigma A\tau\tau_1\tau_2)+A\sin(4\pi\sigma A\tau\tau_1\tau_2)\right)d\tau_2 d\tau_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, for $t|\xi|\leq 1$, we have that

$$|H(t|\xi|, A)| \leq C.$$

For $t|\xi| > 1$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&H(t|\xi|, A)\\
&=\int_0^1\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\left(1+\frac{1}{4\pi\tau}\left(-1+e^{-4\pi\sigma\tau}\left(\cos(4\pi\sigma A\tau)-A\sin(4\pi\sigma A\tau)\right)\right)\right)-\frac{1}{1+\tau}\right)d\tau\\
&+\int_1^{t|\xi|}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\left(1+\frac{1}{4\pi\tau}\left(-1+e^{-4\pi\sigma\tau}\left(\cos(4\pi\sigma A\tau)-A\sin(4\pi\sigma A\tau)\right)\right)\right)-\frac{1}{1+\tau}\right)d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&|H(t|\xi|, A)| \leq C+\int_1^{t|\xi|}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}-\frac{1}{1+\tau}\right)\\
&+\left|\int_1^{t|\xi|}\frac{1}{4\pi\tau^2}\left(-1+e^{-4\pi\sigma\tau}\left(\cos(4\pi\sigma A\tau)-A\sin(4\pi\sigma A\tau)\right)\right)d\tau\right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(1+|A|).$$

Therefore for every $t|\xi|$ we have that

$$|\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)| \leq e^{C(1+|A|)}.$$

To prove the second inequality we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_\xi \tilde{m}(\xi,x,t) \qquad\qquad (F.1)\\
&= -t\text{sign}(\xi)\left(\frac{1}{t|\xi|}\left(1+\frac{1}{4\pi t|\xi|}\left(-1+e^{-4\pi\sigma t|\xi|}\left(\cos(4\pi\sigma At|\xi|)-A\sin(4\pi\sigma At|\xi|)\right)\right)\right)\right.\\
&\left.-\frac{1}{1+t|\xi|}\right)\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t) \equiv tb(\xi,x,t),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|b(\xi,x,t)| \leq C(1+|A|)e^{C(1+|A|)}.$$

The third inequality follows from the expression

$$\partial_x \tilde{m}(\xi,x,t) = -\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A)\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)\partial_x A.$$

Just a computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A) \qquad\qquad (F.2)\\
&= \int_0^{t|\xi|} \frac{\sigma}{4\pi\tau^2} e^{-4\pi\sigma\tau}\left(4A\pi\tau\cos(4\pi\tau\sigma A)-(1+A^2+4\pi\tau)\sin(4\pi\tau\sigma A)\right)d\tau. \qquad (F.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$4\pi A\tau\cos(4\pi\tau\sigma A)-(1+A^2)\sin(4\pi\tau\sigma A) = -16\pi^2A^2\sigma\tau^2\int_0^1 \tau_1\sin(4\pi\sigma A\tau\tau_1)d\tau_1$$

and

$$\sin(4\pi A\sigma\tau) = 4\pi A\tau\int_0^1\cos(4\pi A\sigma\tau\tau_1)d\tau_1$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A)\\
&= -4\pi A^2\sigma^2\int_0^{t|\xi|} e^{-4\pi\sigma\tau}\int_0^1\left(\tau_1\sin(4\pi\sigma A\tau\tau_1)+\cos(4\pi\sigma A\tau\tau_1)\right)d\tau_1 d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$|\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A)| \leq C.$$

Therefore

$$|\partial_x\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)| \leq e^{C(1+|A|)}|\partial_x A|$$

In order to prove the fourth estimate we look at the expression (F.1). Taking a derivative with respect to x yields

$$\partial^2_{x\xi}\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -t\text{sign}(\xi)\partial_x A\left(\frac{\sigma}{4\pi t^2|\xi|^2}e^{-4\pi\sigma t|\xi|}\left(4A\pi t|\xi|\cos(4\pi t|\xi|\sigma A)\right.\right.\\
&\left.-(1+A^2+4\pi t|\xi|)\sin(4\pi t|\xi|\sigma A)\right)\times\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)\\
&-t\text{sign}(\xi)\left(\frac{1}{t|\xi|}\left(1+\frac{1}{4\pi t|\xi|}\left(-1+e^{-4\pi\sigma t|\xi|}\left(\cos(4\pi\sigma At|\xi|)-A\sin(4\pi\sigma At|\xi|)\right)\right)\right)\right.\\
&\left.-\frac{1}{1+t|\xi|}\right)\partial_x\tilde{m}(\xi,x,t)\\
&\equiv tg(\xi,x,t)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$|g(\xi,x,t)|\leq C|\partial_x A|e^{C(1+|A|)}.$$

□

Corollary F.3 *Let $T\leq 1$ and $t\in[0,T]$. Then the pseudo-differential operator*

$$\mathfrak{m}f(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{2\pi i\xi x}m(\xi,x,t)\hat{f}(\xi)d\xi$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&||\mathfrak{m}f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\leq C(1+||A||^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})})^2e^{C(1+||A||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})})}||\partial_x A||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}||\mathcal{D}^{-1}f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\leq\langle A\rangle||\mathcal{D}^{-1}f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}
\end{aligned}
$$

*Proof:*This corollary is a consequence of theorem 4.11 and lemma F.1. □

Corollary F.4 *Let $T\leq 1$ and $t\in[0,T]$. Then the pseudo-differential operators*

$$\partial_A\mathfrak{m}f(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{2\pi i\xi x}\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A)m(\xi,x,t)\hat{f}(\xi)d\xi$$

$$\mathfrak{m}_x f(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{2\pi i\xi x}\partial_x m(\xi,x,t)\hat{f}d\xi$$

satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
&||\partial_A\mathfrak{m}f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\leq C\left(1+||\partial_x A||^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\right)e^{C\left(1+||A||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\right)}||\mathcal{D}^{-1}f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\leq\langle A\rangle||\mathcal{D}^{-1}f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&||\mathfrak{m}_x f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\leq C\left(1+||\partial_x A||^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}+||\partial_x^2 A||_{L^\infty}\right)e^{C\left(1+||A||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\right)}||\mathcal{D}^{-1}f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\leq\langle A\rangle||\mathcal{D}^{-1}f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}
\end{aligned}
$$

*Proof:*By theorem 4.11 and lemma F.1 we just have to control the L^∞- norms of

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A) && \partial_x\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A)\\ &\partial_\xi\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A) && \partial^2_{x\xi}\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A). \end{aligned}$$

Taking a derivative with respect to A in (F.2) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_A^2 H(t|\xi|,A)\\ &= \int_0^{t|\xi|} 4\pi\sigma^3 e^{-4\pi\sigma\tau}\left((-1+3A^2)\cos(4\pi\sigma A\tau)+A(-3+A^2)\sin(4\pi\sigma A\tau)\right)d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left|\partial_A^2 H(t|\xi|,A)\right| \le C\sigma^3(1+|A|^3)\int_0^{t|\xi|} e^{-4\pi\sigma\tau}d\tau \le C\sigma^2(1+|A|^3)\le C.$$

And since

$$\partial_x\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A) = \partial_x A\partial_A^2 H(t|\xi|,A)$$

we have

$$|\partial_x\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A)| \le C|\partial_x A|.$$

Taking a derivative with respect to ξ in (F.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_\xi\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A) = t\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\times\\ &\frac{\sigma}{4\pi t^2|\xi|^2}e^{-4\pi\sigma t|\xi|}\left(4A\pi t|\xi|\cos(4\pi t|\xi|\sigma A)-(1+A^2+4\pi t|\xi|)\sin(4\pi t|\xi|\sigma A)\right) \end{aligned}$$

And therefore

$$|\partial_\xi\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A)| \le Ct.$$

Finally taking a derivative we have that

$$\partial^2_{x\xi}\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A) = \partial_x A\partial_A\partial^2_{\xi A}H(t|\xi|,A)$$

where one can compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial^3_{\xi AA}H(t|\xi|,A) = t\mathrm{sign}(\xi)\\ &\times 4\pi\sigma^3 e^{-4\pi\sigma t|\xi|}\left((-1+3A^2)\cos(4\pi\sigma At|\xi|)+A(-3+A^2)\sin(4\pi\sigma At|\xi|)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\left|\partial^2_{x\xi}\partial_A H(t|\xi|,A)\right| \le Ct.$$

And then we achieve the conclusion of the lemma. □

F.2 Estimates on the K-Kernels

We recall the expression of the Kernel

$$\tilde{K}(x;y) = \frac{A}{4\pi t^2}\left\{-2\arctan(A) + \arctan\left(A - \frac{2t}{y}\right) + \arctan\left(A + \frac{2t}{y}\right)\right\}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{4\pi t^2}\left\{\log\left(y^2(1+A^2)\right) - \frac{1}{2}\log\left(y^2 + (-2t + Ay)^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}\log\left(y^2 + (2t + Ay)^2\right)\right\}.$$

We will omit the dependence on x and t of $A = A(x,t)$ in the notation. Then our purpose is to show the next lemma.

Lemma F.5 *The following estimates hold:*

1.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t\left|\partial_x \tilde{K}(x;y)\right| dy < 2|\partial_x A(x)|.$$

2.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t\left|\partial_x^2 \tilde{K}(x;y)\right| dy \leq C\left(|\partial_x A|^2 + |\partial_x^2 A|\right).$$

3.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t\left|\partial_x^3 \tilde{K}(x;y)\right| dy \leq C\left(|\partial_x A|^3 + |\partial_x A|^2 + |\partial_x^2 A|^2 + |\partial_x^3 A|\right).$$

Proof: In order to prove the lemma we notice that

$$t\tilde{K}(x;y) = \frac{1}{4\pi t}\left\{-2A\arctan(A) + A\arctan\left(A - \frac{2}{y'}\right) + A\arctan\left(A + \frac{2}{y'}\right)\right\}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{4\pi t}\left\{\log\left(y'^2(1+A^2)\right) - \frac{1}{2}\log\left(y'^2 + (-2 + Ay')^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}\log\left(y'^2 + (2 + Ay')^2\right)\right\}.$$

with $y' = \frac{y}{t}$.

We can compute that

$$\partial_x \tilde{K}(x;y) = \partial_x A \frac{1}{4\pi t}\left(-2\arctan(A) + \arctan\left(A - \frac{2}{y}\right) + \arctan\left(A + \frac{2}{y}\right)\right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{16Ay'^2}{16 - 8(-1+A^2)y'^2 + (1+A^2)^2 y'^4)}\right)$$

Then, after a change of variables, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t\left|\partial_x \tilde{K}(x;y)\right| dy$$
$$= \frac{|\partial_x A|}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|-2\arctan(A) + \arctan\left(A - \frac{2}{y}\right) + \arctan\left(A + \frac{2}{y}\right)\right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{16Ay^2}{16 - 8(-1+A^2)y^2 + (1+A^2)^2 y^4}\right| dy$$

In addition we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{16Ay^2}{16 - 8(-1+A^2)y^2 + (1+A^2)^2 y^4} \right| dy = 4\pi |A| \sigma.$$

Also, the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| 2\arctan(A) - \arctan\left(A - \frac{2}{y}\right) - \arctan\left(A + \frac{2}{y}\right) \right| dy$$

is invariant under the change $A \to -A$ and therefore we can assume that A is positive. For positive A is easy to check that

$$2\arctan(A) - \arctan(A-x) - \arctan(A+x) \geq 0,$$

(it is enough to notice that at $x = 0$ is zero and the derivative with respect to x has the sign of x). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| 2\arctan(A) - \arctan\left(A - \frac{2}{y}\right) - \arctan\left(A + \frac{2}{y}\right) \right| dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\arctan(A) - \arctan\left(A - \frac{2}{y}\right) - \arctan\left(A + \frac{2}{y}\right) dy \\ &= 4\pi A \sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{F.4}$$

These two estimates show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t \left| \partial_x \tilde{K}(x;y) \right| dy < 2|\partial_x A|.$$

In addition

$$\partial_x^2 \tilde{K}(x;y) = (\partial_x A)^2 \, \partial_A^2 \tilde{K}(x;y) + \partial_x^2 A \partial_A \tilde{K}(x;y),$$

(allow us, please, to use this notation). The second term on the right hand side is already bounded. It is remained to bound $\partial_A^2 \tilde{K}(x;y)$. A computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &t\partial_A^2 \tilde{K}(x;y) \\ &= \frac{2\sigma}{\pi t} \frac{-64 + 16(-1+7A^2)y'^2 + (4 + 56A^2 - 12A^4)y'^4 - (1+A^2)^2(-1+3A^2)y'^6}{\left(16 - 8(-1+A^2)y'^2 + (1+A^2)^2 y'^4\right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

where again $y' = \frac{y}{t}$. Thus, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{64}{\left(16 - 8(-1+A^2)y'^2 + (1+A^2)^2 y'^4\right)^2} dy &= \frac{(5+A^2)\pi}{32} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{16(1+7A^2)y^2}{\left(16 - 8(-1+A^2)y'^2 + (1+A^2)^2 y'^4\right)^2} dy &= \frac{\pi(1+7A^2)}{32} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(4+56A^2+12A^4)y^4}{\left(16 - 8(-1+A^2)y'^2 + (1+A^2)^2 y'^4\right)^2} dy &= \frac{(1+14A^2+3A^4)\pi}{32(1+A^2)} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(1+A^2)^2(1+3A^2)y^4}{\left(16 - 8(-1+A^2)y'^2 + (1+A^2)^2 y'^4\right)^2} dy &= \frac{(5+A^2)(1+3A^2)\pi}{32(1+A^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| t\partial_A^2 \tilde{K}(x;y) \right| dy \leq C,$$

and we can conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t \left| \partial_x^2 \tilde{K}(x;y) \right| dy \leq C \left(|\partial_x A|^2 + |\partial_x^2 A| \right).$$

Similar computations yield the third estimate of the lemma. □

Next we will estimate the $L^1(dz)-$norm of

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{K}_c(x;y) \equiv \tilde{K}(x;y) - \tilde{K}(\infty;y) \qquad \text{(F.5)} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi t} \left\{ -2A \arctan(A) + A \arctan\left(A - \frac{2}{y'} \right) + A \arctan\left(A + \frac{2}{y'} \right) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi t} \left\{ \log\left(y'^2(1+A^2) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(y'^2 + (-2+Ay')^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(y'^2 + (2+Ay')^2 \right) \right. \\ &\qquad \left. - \log(y'^2) + \log\left(y'^2 + 4 \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

In fact we will prove the lemma below:

Lemma F.6 *The following estimates hold:*

1. $$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t|\tilde{K}_c(x;z)|dz \leq 2A^2\sigma$$

2. $$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t|\partial_x \tilde{K}_c(x;z)|dz \leq |\partial_x A|$$

3. $$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t|\partial_x^2 \tilde{K}_c(x;z)|dz \leq C(|\partial_x A|^2 + |\partial_x^2 A|)$$

Proof: The part corresponding with the first term on the right hand side of (F.5) has already been bounded in (F.4). For the second term we notice that the function

$$\begin{aligned} f(A,y) =& \log(1+A^2) + \log(4+y^2) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(y^2 + (2+Ay)^2 \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \log\left(y^2 + (2-Ay)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

is positive. It is enough to observe that $f(A,0) = (1+A^2) > 0$, $x = 0$ is a local minimum for all A, there is only another local critical point for $y > 0$ at $x = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3+A^2}}$ and $\lim_{y\to 0} f(A,y) = 0$. Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{4\pi t} |f(A,y')| dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{4\pi} f(A,y') dy' = A^2\sigma.$$

Therefore the first estimate of the lemma holds. The second and third ones are a consequence of lemma F.5. □

F.3 Estimates on the coefficient $a(x,t)$.

The function $a(x,t)$ is given by the expression

$$a(x,t) = P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_\varepsilon(x,y)dy$$

where the principal value is taken at 0 and at the infinity. We need to prove the next lemma

Lemma F.7 *The following estimate holds:*

$$||\partial_x a||_{H^2} \leq |||f|||.$$

Proof: We will use the same convection that in appendix C. We recall that we can write

$$K_\varepsilon(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{y}{y^2+(\Delta f+(\lambda-\lambda'))^2}d\lambda d\lambda',$$

thus, by using Minkowsky inequality, we find that it is enough to bound the integral

$$\partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y}{y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2}dy$$

in H^2 uniformly in λ. We will give the details of the most singular term, i.e.,

$$\partial_x^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y}{y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2}dy$$

The bound of this term in L^2 implies that we have to control in L^2 the function $k^{31}(x)$, $k^{32}(x)$ and k^{33} (see (C.1)). The most singular function of these three is $k^{33}(x)$.

To bound $k^{33}(x)$ we proceed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} k^{31}(x) =& -6\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\frac{\partial_x\Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\partial_x^2\Delta f dy - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} y\frac{(\Delta f+\lambda)}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\partial_x^3\Delta f dy \\ \equiv& -6k_1^{31}(x) - 2k_2^{32}(x). \end{aligned}$$

To bound $k_1^{31}(x)$ we split it into two terms

$$\begin{aligned} k_1^{31}(x) =& \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\frac{\partial_x\Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2} - \frac{\partial_x^2 fy}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x fy+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)\partial_x^2\Delta f dy \\ &+ \partial_x^2 f\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^2}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x fy+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\partial_x^2\Delta f dy \\ \sim& \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\frac{\partial_x\Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2} - \frac{\partial_x^2 fy}{\left(y^2+(\partial_x fy+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\right)\partial_x^2\Delta f dy. \end{aligned}$$

The last line follows from the estimation for $N_2(x)$ in (C.16). Then we can finish the proof proceeding as for $N_1(x)$ in (C.15) (the term we bound here is more singular than $N_1(x)$ since instead of $\Delta f - \partial_x fy$ arises $\Delta\partial_x f - \partial_x^2 fy$. The only difficulty that this difference introduces is that we have to use the $C^{3+\alpha}$-norm of f to bound the analogous term of $L_{122\,in}(x)$).

Finally to bound $k_2^{31}(x)$ we split into two terms

$$k_2^{31}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\frac{\Delta f}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}\partial_x^3 f dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y\lambda}{\left(y^2+(\Delta f+\lambda)^2\right)^2}dy$$

The first one is bounded as $N(x)$ in (C.14) and the second one as $M(x)$ in (C.23).

This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

References

[1] Bronzi, A. C., Lopes Filho, M. C. and Nussenzveig Lopes, H. J., Wild solutions for 2D incompressible ideal flow with passive tracer. Commun. Math. Sci., 13, 5, 1333–1343 (2015).

[2] Buckmaster, T., De Lellis, C., Isett, P., and Székelyhidi Jr., L., Anomalous dissipation for 1/5-Holder Euler flows. Ann. of Math., 182, 127–172 (2015).

[3] Castro, A., Córdoba, D., Fefferman, C., Gancedo, F., and López-Fernández, M., Rayleigh-Taylor breakdown for the Muskat problem with application to water waves. Ann. of Math., 175 , 909–948 (2012).

[4] Chiodaroli, E., A counterexample to well-posedeness of entropy solutions to the compressible Euler system. J. Hyperbol. Differ. Eq., 11, 493-519 (2014).

[5] Chiodaroli, E., De Lellis and C., Kreml, O., Global ill-posedness of the isentropic system of gas dynamics. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 68, 1157–1190 (2015).

[6] Chiodaroli, E., Feireisl and E., Kreml, O., On the weak solutions to the equations of a compressible heat conducting gas. Annales IHP-ANL, 32, 225–243 (2015).

[7] Chiodaroli, E., KREML, O., On the Energy Dissipation Rate of Solutions to the Compressible Isentropic Euler System. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 214, 1019-1049 (2014).

[8] Choffrut, A., h-Principles for the Incompressible Euler Equations. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 210, 1, 133–163 (2013).

[9] Coifman, R. R. and Meyer, Y., Au delá des opérators pseudo-differentials. Asterisque, 57 (1978).

[10] Constantin, P., Gancedo, F., Vicol, V. and Shvydkoy. R., Global regularity for 2D Muskat equation with finite slop. ArXiv: 150701386, (2015).

[11] Cordes, H. O., On compactness of commutators of multiplications and convolutions, and boundedness of pseudo-differentials operator. J,. Funct. Anal. 18, 115–131 (1975).

[12] Córdoba, D., Faraco, D., and Gancedo, F., Lack of uniqueness for weak solutions of the incompressible porous media equation. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 200 , 3 725–746 (2011),

[13] Córdoba, D. and Gancedo, F., Contour dynamics of incompressible 3-D fluids in a porous medium with different densities. Comm. Math. Phys., 273, 2, 445–471 (2007).

[14] Cheng, C. H. A., Granero-Belinchón, R. and Shkoller, S. Well-possednes of the Muskat problem with H^2-inital data. Adv. Math., 286, 32–104 (2016).

[15] Daneri, S., Cauchy Problem for Dissipative Holder Solutions to the Incompressible Euler Equations. Comm. Math. Phys., 329, 2, 745–786 (2014).

[16] De Lellis, C. and Székelyhidi Jr, L., The Euler equations as a differential inclusion. Ann. of Math. 170, 1417–1436 (2009).

[17] De Lellis, C., and Székelyhidi Jr, L., On Admissibility Criteria for Weak Solutions of the Euler Equations. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 195, 225–260 (2010).

[18] De Lellis, C., and Székelyhidi Jr, L., The h-principle and the equations of fluid dynamics. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 49, 3, 347–375 (2012).

[19] De Lellis, C., and Székelyhidi Jr, L., Dissipative continuous Euler flows. Invent. Math., 193, 2, 377–407 (2013).

[20] De Lellis, C., and Székelyhidi Jr, L., Dissipative Euler flows and Onsagers conjecture. J. Eur. Math. Soc., 16, 7, 1467–1505 (2014) (2014).

[21] Hwang, I. L., The L^2-Boundedness of Pseudodifferential Operators. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 302, 1, 55–76, (1987).

[22] Isett, P. and Vicol, V., Holder continuous solutions of active scalar equations. Ann. of PDE 1, 1, 1-77 (2015).

[23] Kirchheim, B., Rigidity and Geometry of Microstructures. Habilitation thesis, University of Leipzig, 2003.

[24] Kirchheim, B. and Müller, S. and Šverák, V., Studying nonlinear pde by geometry in matrix space. Geometric analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations. 347–395.

[25] Müller, S. and Sverák, V., Convex integration for Lipschitz mappings and counterexamples to regularity, Ann. of Math. , 157, 715–742 (2003).

[26] Otto, F., Evolution of microstructure in unstable porous media flow: a relaxational approach. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 52, 7 , 873–915 (1999).

[27] Saffman, P.G. and Taylor, G., The penetration of a fluid into a porous medium or Hele-Shaw cell containing a more viscous liquid., Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 245, 312–329 (1958).

[28] Shvydkoy, R., Convex integration for a class of active scalar equations, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 24, 1159–1174 (2011).

[29] Seonghak, K. and Baisheng, Y., Convex integration and infinitely many weak solutions to the Perona-Malik equation in all dimensions. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 47, 4, 2770–2794 (2015).

[30] Székelyhidi Jr., L., Relaxation of the incompressible porous media equation, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér., 45, 3, 491–509 (2012).

[31] Székelyhidi Jr., L., Weak solutions to the incompressible Euler equations with vortex sheet initial data, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 349, 19-20, 1063–1066 (2011).

[32] Székelyhidi Jr, L., and Wiedemann, E., Young measures generated by ideal incompressible fluid flows. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 206, 1, 333–366 (2012).

Angel Castro
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas-CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM
Email: angel_castro@icmat.es

Diego Córdoba
Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas
Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas
C/ Nicolas Cabrera, 13-15, 28049 Madrid, Spain
Email: dcg@icmat.es

Daniel Faraco
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas-CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM
Email: daniel.faraco@uam.es